

2010 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

2-8-2010

In Re: Omini Onen Williams

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\_2010

## **Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Omini Onen Williams " (2010). *2010 Decisions*. 1913. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\_2010/1913

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2010 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

\_\_\_\_\_

No. 09-4485

\_\_\_\_\_

IN RE: OMINI ONEN WILLIAMS,
Petitioner

\_\_\_\_\_

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Related to E.D. Pa. Crim. No. 09-cr-00423-1)

\_\_\_\_\_

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
January 7, 2010
Before: BARRY, FISHER and ROTH, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: February 8, 2010)

\_\_\_\_\_

**OPINION** 

## PER CURIAM

In May 2009, Omini Onen Williams was arrested for allegedly possessing and conspiring to distribute 100 grams or more of heroin. See 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B). The Government filed a motion seeking to detain Williams prior to trial. Following a pretrial detention hearing, a Magistrate Judge denied the Government's motion and ordered that Williams be released on \$50,000 bail, subject to various conditions. The Government appealed to the District Court, which determined that

Williams had failed to overcome the presumption that no condition or combination of conditions would reasonably assure his appearance. See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e). Williams then filed a motion seeking review of the detention order, which the Government opposed. The District Court denied the motion by order entered July 17, 2009. There was no further action concerning Williams' detention until November 19, 2009, when he filed in this Court a pro se petition for a writ of mandamus. We will deny the petition.

A writ of mandamus is an extraordinary remedy. See In re Pasquariello, 16 F.3d 525, 528 (3d Cir. 1994). The petitioner must have no other adequate means to obtain the relief desired and the petitioner must show a "clear and indisputable" right to the writ.

See Kerr v. United States District Court, 426 U.S. 394, 403 (1976). In addition, mandamus is not a substitute for an appeal; if a petitioner can obtain relief by an ordinary appeal, a court will not issue the writ. See In re Ford Motor Co., 110 F.3d 954, 957 (3d Cir. 1997).

In this case, Williams had an alternate means to challenge the District Court's denial of his motion seeking review of the detention order. In particular, Williams could have filed a notice of appeal. See 18 U.S.C. § 3145(c) (providing that "[a]n appeal from a release or detention order, or from a decision denying revocation or amendment of such an order, is governed by the provisions of section 1291 of title 28"); Fed. R. App. P. 9(a) (specifying documents that must filed with the court of appeals by a party appealing an order regarding release or detention). We note that the time for filing an appeal from a

ruling on a detention order is governed by the 10-day limit set forth in Fed. R. App. P. 4(b)(1)(A). See United States v. Kolek, 728 F.2d 1280, 1281 (9th Cir. 1984). Williams filed the mandamus petition approximately four months after the District Court denied his motion seeking review of the detention order. Mandamus relief is not appropriate, however, merely because a petitioner "allowed the time for an appeal to expire." Oracare DPO, Inc. v. Merin, 972 F.2d 519, 523 (3d Cir. 1992).

Accordingly, the petition for a writ of mandamus is denied. We also deny

Petitioner's "Motion for Counsel of Record to Withdraw," which raises a matter that
would properly be adjudicated in the District Court.