



2019 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

12-20-2019

USA, ex rel. v. UPMC

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2019

Recommended Citation

"USA, ex rel. v. UPMC" (2019). *2019 Decisions*. 1015.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2019/1015

This December is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2019 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-1693

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ex rel.
J. WILLIAM BOOKWALTER, III, M.D.;
ROBERT J. SCLABASSI, M.D.; ANNA MITINA

v.

UPMC; UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH PHYSICIANS,
d/b/a UPP DEPARTMENT OF NEUROSURGERY

J. WILLIAM BOOKWALTER, III, M.D.;
ROBERT J. SCLABASSI, M.D.; ANNA MITINA,
Appellants

(W.D. Pa. No. 2:12-cv-00145)

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Present: SMITH, Chief Judge, and McKEE, AMBRO, CHAGARES, JORDAN,
HARDIMAN, GREENAWAY, JR., SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO,
BIBAS, MATEY, PHIPPS, and FUENTES,* Circuit Judges

The petition for rehearing filed by Appellees in the above-captioned case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision of this Court and to all the other available circuit judges of the circuit in regular active service, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the petition for rehearing is **GRANTED IN PART**. A majority of the judges who participated in the decision of the Court having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by

* Judge FUENTES's vote is limited to panel rehearing only.

the panel is **GRANTED**. The opinion and judgment filed September 17, 2019, are hereby **VACATED**. A subsequent opinion and judgment are herewith issued.

The majority has made changes to the language that appeared at pages 3–4, 6, 11, 15, 27–30, 32–33, 35–37, and 39–40 of the original opinion. Most of the material that appeared at pages 18–25 of the original opinion has been deleted. Judge AMBRO’s opinion concurring in the judgment has been withdrawn.

A majority of the judges of the circuit in regular service not having voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing by the Court en banc is **DENIED**.

By the Court,

s/ Stephanos Bibas
Circuit Judge

Dated: December 20, 2019

NMR/cc: Patrick K. Cavanaugh, Esq.
Stephen J. Del Sole, Esq.
Gregory M. Simpson, Esq.
Andrew M. Stone, Esq.
Kirti Datla, Esq.
Jessica L. Ellsworth, Esq.
Sarah C. Marberg, Esq.