



1983

Editor's Preface

Frances M. Visco

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/vlr>



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Frances M. Visco, *Editor's Preface*, 28 Vill. L. Rev. 649 (1983).

Available at: <https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/vlr/vol28/iss3/5>

This Issues in the Third Circuit is brought to you for free and open access by Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Villanova Law Review by an authorized editor of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

1982-83]

Third Circuit Review

EDITOR'S PREFACE

Ten years ago the Board of Editors of Volume 19 of the *Villanova Law Review* presented the first survey of selected opinions of the Third Circuit. The present, and tenth annual, *Third Circuit Review* attempts to fulfill the intent of the inaugural editors to review "cases which not only reflect the breadth of the decisions of the Third Circuit, but which also indicate the court's approach to issues of first impression or which are of practical importance because of their refinement or elaboration of already established doctrines."¹ It is our hope that the ten years of Third Circuit Reviews represent a contribution that is of value to practitioner and academic alike.

While the number of cases heard by the Third Circuit has grown over the past ten years,² so has the length of a student authored case-note. Consequently, the tenth annual survey of cases contains only a sampling of the many noteworthy opinions rendered in 1982 by the Third Circuit. Two opinions, *Pfeifer v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.*³ and *Behring International Inc. v. NLRB*,⁴ were reviewed in earlier issues because the United States Supreme Court heard argument this term on the issues presented.

The Third Circuit Review Editor extends her appreciation to the Judges of the Third Circuit who have suggested topics for review and to the Board of Editors and staff of the Law Review for their assistance. The federal judiciary will surely continue to face difficult decisions and the Third Circuit will again be involved in a "significant reshaping of the law" in response to 'great movements in society' or 'great ground swells of popular opinion.'⁵ In order that the *Third Circuit Review* may continue to serve the bench, bar, and academic community throughout these challenging times, we would appreciate

1. 19 VILL. L. REV. 277 (1973).

2. Cf. S.B. PRESSER, STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF THE UNITED STATES COURTS OF THE THIRD CIRCUIT 214-15 (1982).

3. 678 F.2d 453 (3d Cir. 1982), noted in 28 VILL. L. REV. 253 (1982).

4. 675 F.2d 83 (3d Cir. 1982), noted in 28 VILL. L. REV. 470 (1982). See *NLRB v. Transportation Management Corp.*, 51 U.S.L.W. 4761 (1982).

5. S.B. PRESSER, *supra* note 2, at 235-36 (citing The Future of The American Judiciary — Part I, University of Delaware Honors Program, Remarks by the Honorable Collins J. Seitz, Chief Judge, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, November 24, 1980).

650

VILLANOVA LAW REVIEW

[Vol. 28: p. 649

comments and criticisms to assist in improving the *Review*. Please address any input to the Third Circuit Review Editor.

Frances M. Visco
Third Circuit Review Editor