

2014 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

12-11-2014

In Re: Gerald Bush

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Gerald Bush" (2014). 2014 Decisions. 1247. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014/1247

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2014 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 14-4040

IN RE: GERALD BUSH, Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania (Related to E.D. Pa. Civ. No. 2:11-cv-02612)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. December 4, 2014

Before: FISHER, SHWARTZ and SLOVITER, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: December 11, 2014)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

On September 30, 2014, pro se petitioner Gerald Bush filed a letter in this Court, complaining that the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania had not resolved his civil rights action docketed at No. 2:11-cv-02612. The Clerk of this Court construed the letter as a petition for a writ of mandamus, and she issued an order deferring action on the petition because Bush had yet to serve the petition on counsel for

^{*} This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

the other parties or provide a copy to the District Judge pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 21(a)(1). Bush subsequently complied with Rule 21(a)(1) and indicated that he indeed is seeking mandamus relief. On October 24, 2014, the District Court dismissed the amended complaint in Bush's civil rights action with prejudice and closed that case. Bush appealed from that dismissal, and that appeal remains pending. See C.A. No. 14-4377.

To the extent that Bush's mandamus petition asks us to direct the District Court to adjudicate his civil rights action, that request is now moot. To the extent that Bush claims that (1) the District Court's delay in adjudicating his case prejudiced him, and (2) the District Court erred in denying his motions for appointment of counsel, mandamus relief is not warranted because Bush may raise those claims in his appeal in C.A. No. 14-4377. See Madden v. Myers, 102 F.3d 74, 77 (3d Cir. 1996) (explaining that mandamus is not a substitute for an appeal, and that "a writ of mandamus may not issue if a petitioner can obtain relief by appeal"). Accordingly, we will deny Bush's mandamus petition. To the extent that he seeks appointment of counsel in this mandamus action, that request is denied.