



2016 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

11-23-2016

In Re: David James Ward

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2016

Recommended Citation

"In Re: David James Ward" (2016). *2016 Decisions*. 1223.

http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2016/1223

This November is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2016 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. For more information, please contact Benjamin.Carlson@law.villanova.edu.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 16-3932

IN RE: DAVID JAMES WARD,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 2-16-cv-04101)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
October 27, 2016

Before: CHAGARES, VANASKIE and KRAUSE, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: November 23, 2016)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

In July 2016, David James Ward filed a motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 in the District of New Jersey. In September 2016, Ward filed a motion for summary judgment. By order and opinion entered on October 18, 2016, the District Court dismissed Ward's § 2255 motion for lack of jurisdiction, and declined to issue a

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not

certificate of appealability. Ward now seeks a writ of mandamus, directing the District Court to rule on his motion for summary judgment. Because the District Court has already dismissed Ward's § 2255 motion, we will deny as moot his petition for a writ of mandamus. See In re Austrian & German Holocaust Litig., 250 F.3d 156, 162-63 (2d Cir. 2001) (per curiam) (mandamus petition requesting that court of appeals compel district court action generally may be dismissed as moot upon district court's entry of final order).

constitute binding precedent.