

2017 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

12-21-2017

In Re: Jacob Ben-Ari

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Jacob Ben-Ari" (2017). 2017 Decisions. 1179. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017/1179

This December is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2017 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

.....

No. 17-2723

IN RE: JACOB BEN-ARI,

Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the

Board of Immigration Appeals (Related to Agency No. A078-404-560)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. November 16, 2017

Before: CHAGARES, GREENAWAY, JR., and GREENBERG, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: December 21, 2017)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Petitioner Jacob Ben-Ari seeks a writ of mandamus to compel the Board of Immigration Appeals ("BIA") to show cause why it refuses to rule on three motions that he filed with the agency.

Ben-Ari is an Israeli citizen who was ordered removed to Israel by an Immigration Judge ("IJ") sitting in Miami, Florida, on November 1, 2016, in connection with a 2011

^{*} This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not

mail fraud conviction. The BIA affirmed the IJ's decision and dismissed Ben-Ari's appeal in an order dated April 10, 2017. The BIA also denied Ben-Ari's motion to stay the proceedings pending the outcome of his collateral attack on his conviction in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit. It appears that Ben-Ari thereafter returned to the BIA with, inter alia, a motion seeking reconsideration of the April 10th order. Given Ben-Ari's submission of evidence, the BIA also treated the motion as one seeking reopening pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2 (c). In an order dated August 8, 2017, the BIA denied Ben-Ari's motion for reconsideration. It also concluded that the motion did not warrant reopening of his immigration proceedings. The BIA specifically noted in its decision that it had considered Ben-Ari's multiple filings in rendering its decision.

On the same date that the BIA rendered its decision, Ben-Ari's mandamus petition was received in this Court.¹ The issuance of the BIA's order on August 8th came more than two months before the Clerk's receipt of Ben-Ari's motion for leave to proceed with this mandamus petition in forma pauperis. Even assuming arguendo that mandamus is available for use as Ben-Ari proposes, he has received the relief sought in his mandamus petition. Thus, we will dismiss the petition as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).

constitute binding precedent.

¹ The petition is dated August 2, 2017. The Government advised the Court that Ben-Ari had been removed to Israel before his mandamus petition was received and filed on the docket.