



---

2002 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

9-17-2002

## USA v. Marino

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2002](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2002)

---

### Recommended Citation

"USA v. Marino" (2002). *2002 Decisions*. 577.

[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2002/577](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2002/577)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2002 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 01-4087

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANTHONY J. MARINO,  
Appellant

---

APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA  
(D.C. Crim. No. 00-cr-00239-01 )  
District Judge: Honorable A. Richard Caputo

---

Submitted Under Third Circuit L.A.R. 34.1(a)  
September 10, 2002  
Before: NYGAARD, ROTH, and WEIS, Circuit Judges.

Filed September 17, 2002

---

OPINION

WEIS, Circuit Judge.

Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to distribute more than 100 kilograms of marijuana, in violation of 21 U.S.C. 846. The district judge sentenced him to 76 months incarceration and supervised release, and fined him in the amount of \$2,500. The only issue on appeal is whether the district judge erred in failing to ask defendant during the guilty plea colloquy if his plea was the result of force or threats.

Because this issue was not raised in the District Court, we review for plain error. We have carefully read the plea colloquy, as well as the plea agreement itself. Defendant's signed statement, appended to the plea agreement, states that "No promise, threats or any other inducements of any kind have been made to me in regard to my plea of guilty, apart from the plea agreement. I am entering into this plea voluntarily. . . ."

In addition, during the plea colloquy, the district judge asked, "Now, has anyone, anyone, made any kind of a promise to you, other than anything that's in the plea agreement by the Government, in order to encourage you to plead guilty or induce you to plead guilty?" The defendant responded, "No."

Our reading of the record in this case persuades us that the district judge properly concluded that defendant freely and voluntarily entered into the guilty plea. Under the plain error standard of review, the conviction is valid. See *United States v. Akinsola*, 105 F.3d 331 (7th Cir. 1997); *United States v. Cross*, 57 F.3d 588 (7th Cir. 1995).

Accordingly, the judgment of the District Court will be affirmed.

---

TO THE CLERK:

Please file the foregoing Opinion.

/S/ Joseph F. Weis, Jr.  
United States Circuit Judge