



2004 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

1-9-2004

Shapiro v. Prudential Ins Co

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2004

Recommended Citation

"Shapiro v. Prudential Ins Co" (2004). *2004 Decisions*. 1105.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2004/1105

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2004 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No.02-3805

STEPHEN SHAPIRO

Appellant

v.

PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE COMPANY OF AMERICA

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of New Jersey
(D.C. Civil Action No. 99-CV-04325)
District Judge: Honorable Dennis M. Cavanaugh

Submitted Under Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)
on June 16, 2003

Before: ALITO, ROTH, and HALL* , Circuit Judges

*The Hon. Cynthia H. Hall, Circuit Judge for the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, sitting by designation.

ORDER AMENDING OPINION

ROTH, Circuit Judge

IT IS ORDERED that the Not Precedential Opinion in the above case filed October 28, 2003, be amended as follows:

On page 4, second to last sentence in the last paragraph after “experience”. Please insert this sentence “Because Prudential both funds and administers the benefits at issue here, its decision to terminate benefits is reviewed under a ‘heightened arbitrary and capricious standard.’ Pinto v. Reliance Standard Life Ins. Co., 214 F.3d 377, 378 (3d Cir. 2000).

By the Court,

/s/ JANE. R. ROTH

Circuit Judge

Dated: January 7, 2004

RLS/cc: SJH

CHP

HLM

CAR