



2012 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

5-1-2012

In Re: Hector Soto

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2012

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Hector Soto " (2012). *2012 Decisions*. 1049.

https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2012/1049

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2012 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 11-1876

IN RE: HECTOR SOTO, Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of Delaware
(Related to D. Del. Crim. No. 06-cr-00140; D. Del Civ. No. 08-cv-00915)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
April 24, 2012
Before: MCKEE, Chief Judge, ALDISERT and WEIS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: May 1, 2012)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Hector Soto filed a petition for writ of mandamus requesting that we direct the District Court to rule on a motion that he had filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The District Court has since ruled on Soto's § 2255 motion. In light of the District Court's action, the question Soto presented is no longer a live controversy, so we will deny the petition as moot. See, e.g., Lusardi v. Xerox Corp., 975 F.2d 964, 974 (3d Cir. 1992).