



2018 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

12-6-2018

In Re: Dean C. Plaskett

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Dean C. Plaskett" (2018). *2018 Decisions*. 1041.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018/1041

This December is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2018 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-3158

IN RE: DEAN C. PLASKETT,
Petitioner

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
District Court of the Virgin Islands
(Related to D.V.I. Civ. No. 3-17-cv-00067)
District Judge: Ruth Miller

Submitted Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 21
October 11, 2018

Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, AMBRO and ROTH, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: December 6, 2018)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Pro se petitioner Dean C. Plaskett seeks a writ of mandamus to compel the District Court to rule on a petition he filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. A writ of mandamus may be warranted where a district court's "undue delay is tantamount to a failure to exercise jurisdiction." See Madden v. Myers, 102 F.3d 74, 79 (3d Cir. 1996). On

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

November 6, 2018, a Magistrate Judge entered a Report and Recommendation concerning Plaskett's § 2241 petition, and Plaskett has since filed objections. Because the case is now moving forward, we find no reason to grant the "drastic remedy" of mandamus relief. See In re Diet Drugs Prods. Liab. Litig., 418 F.3d 372, 378 (3d Cir. 2005). We have full confidence that the District Court will rule on Plaskett's petition within a reasonable time. Accordingly, we will deny Plaskett's mandamus petition.