



---

2004 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

1-28-2004

## Coraggioso v. Atty Gen USA

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2004](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2004)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Coraggioso v. Atty Gen USA" (2004). *2004 Decisions*. 1037.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2004/1037](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2004/1037)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2004 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

PRECEDENTIAL

Filed January 29, 2004

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 03-1075

---

SALVATORE CORAGGIOSO

Petitioner

v.

JOHN ASHCROFT, Attorney General of the United States,

Respondent

---

On Appeal from an Order Entered in  
The Board of Immigration Appeals  
(No. A77 035 208)

---

Argued October 28, 2003

Before: SCIRICA, *Chief Judge*, NYGAARD and  
AMBRO, *Circuit Judges*

(Opinion filed January 23, 2004)

John D. Perez, Esquire (Argued)  
41-51 Wilson Avenue  
Newark, NJ 07105

*Attorney for Petitioner*

Robert D. McCallum, Jr.  
Assistant Attorney General  
Civil Division  
Donald E. Keener  
Deputy Director  
Michelle E. Gorden (Argued)  
Senior Litigation Counsel  
Michael P. Lindemann, Esquire  
John D. Williams, Esquire  
Office of Immigration Litigation  
Civil Division, Department of Justice  
P.O. Box 878, Ben Franklin Station  
Washington, D.C. 20044  
*Attorneys for Respondent*

---

**ORDER AMENDING SLIP OPINION**

---

AMBRO, *Circuit Judge*:

It is now ordered that the published Opinion in the above case filed January 23, 2004, be amended as follows:

On page 6 of the slip opinion, in the first full paragraph (“In interpreting the scope of the DV Program. . . .”), the penultimate sentence (which begins “If Congress had used . . .”) is changed to delete the word “been”, such that the sentence reads, “If Congress had used different language, our analysis may be different.”

By the Court,

/s/ Thomas L. Ambro, Circuit Judge

Dated: January 29, 2004

A True Copy:  
Teste:

*Clerk of the United States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit*