



---

2021 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

12-21-2021

**In Re: George X**

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2021](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2021)

---

### Recommended Citation

"In Re: George X" (2021). *2021 Decisions*. 1033.

[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2021/1033](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2021/1033)

This December is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2021 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 21-3102

---

In re: GEORGE X,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the District of Delaware  
(Related to Civ. No. 1-21-cv-00499)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
November 23, 2021

Before: CHAGARES<sup>1</sup>, Chief Judge, PORTER and FUENTES, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: December 21, 2021)

---

OPINION\*

---

PER CURIAM

In November 2021, pro se petitioner George X filed a mandamus petition requesting that we direct the United States District Court for the District of Delaware to order service of process under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d). However, after he filed his

---

<sup>1</sup> Honorable Michael A. Chagares, United States Circuit Judge for the Third Circuit, assumed Chief Judge status on December 4, 2021.

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

mandamus petition, the District Court entered an opinion and order dismissing his complaint under § 1915(e)(2)(B). In light of the District Court’s action, this mandamus petition no longer presents a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>2</sup> The petitioner’s motion to amend the caption to refer to him as ‘George X’ is granted, and the Clerk is directed to amend the caption.