



---

2022 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

12-12-2022

**In Re: Pharaoh Nkosi**

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2022](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022)

---

**Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Pharaoh Nkosi" (2022). *2022 Decisions*. 1017.

[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2022/1017](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022/1017)

This December is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2022 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 22-2936

---

IN RE: PHARAOH OJORE NKOSI,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania  
(Related to M.D. Pa. No. 1-21-cv-02166)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
November 17, 2022  
Before: HARDIMAN, RESTREPO, and BIBAS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: December 12, 2022)

---

OPINION\*

---

PER CURIAM

Pharaoh Ojore Nkosi, proceeding pro se, petitions for a writ of mandamus to compel the District Judge presiding over his case in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania to rule on his petition for a writ of habeas corpus

---

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and his related filings. By order entered on November 10, 2022, the District Court dismissed his petition, denied his motion for reconsideration of an earlier order to show cause, and closed the case. In light of the District Court’s action, Nkosi’s mandamus petition no longer presents a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698–99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).