

2019 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

6-26-2019

In Re: Robert Dixon

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2019

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Robert Dixon" (2019). 2019 Decisions. 526. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2019/526

This June is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2019 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 19-1292

IN RE: ROBERT DIXON,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania (Related to W.D. Pa. Civ. No. 1:17-cv-00072)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. May 2, 2019

Before: MCKEE, SHWARTZ and BIBAS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed June 26, 2019)

OPINION*

OFINION

PER CURIAM

In February 2019, Robert Dixon filed this pro se mandamus petition requesting that the District Court be compelled to rule on his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 petition. However, on March 12, 2019, the District Court entered an order denying Dixon's § 2254 petition. In light of the District Court's action, this mandamus petition no longer presents a live

^{*} This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute

controversy.¹ Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) ("If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff's personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.")

binding precedent.

¹ Dixon's appeal of the denial of his § 2254 petition has been docketed at C.A. No. 19-1937.