



2022 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

10-18-2022

Ashley Popa v. Harriet Carter Gifts Inc.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022

Recommended Citation

"Ashley Popa v. Harriet Carter Gifts Inc." (2022). *2022 Decisions*. 827.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022/827

This October is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2022 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 21-2203

ASHLEY POPA,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,
Appellant

v.

HARRIET CARTER GIFTS, INC., a Pennsylvania corporation;
NAVISTONE, INC., a Delaware corporation

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Pennsylvania
(D.C. Civil Action No. 2-19-cv-00450)
District Judge: Honorable William S. Stickman, IV

SUR PETITION FOR REHEARING

Before: CHAGARES, Chief Judge, McKEE, AMBRO, JORDAN, HARDIMAN,
GREENAWAY, JR., SHWARTZ, KRAUSE, RESTREPO, BIBAS, PORTER, MATEY,
and FUENTES*, Circuit Judges

The petition for panel rehearing filed by Appellee is granted in part. The panel has determined that the original decision should be upheld but has revised the opinion to clarify issues raised in appellee's petition for rehearing. Accordingly, it is hereby

* Judge Fuentes' vote is limited to panel rehearing only.

ORDERED that the opinion filed on August 16, 2022, is hereby vacated and amended opinion shall be filed simultaneously with this order. The revised opinion does not alter the August 16, 2022, judgment.

The amended opinion has been circulated to the en banc court in accordance with 3d Cir. I.O.P. 9.5.7. The majority of the judges of the circuit in regular active service having not voted for rehearing, the petition for rehearing en banc is denied.

By the Court,

s/ Thomas L. Ambro
Circuit Judge

Dated: October 18, 2022
Lmr/cc: All Counsel of Record