



2015 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

8-4-2015

Steven Simring v. Rutgers University

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015

Recommended Citation

"Steven Simring v. Rutgers University" (2015). *2015 Decisions*. 827.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015/827

This August is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2015 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 14-1126

M.D. STEVEN S. SIMRING,
United States of America, ex rel.

v.

RUTGERS, The State University of New Jersey;
UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL; NEW JERSEY MEDICAL SCHOOL;
JAMES LAWLER; JOHN DOES 1-25, (Employees of University of Medicine and
Dentistry), UMDNJ-University
Hospital and/or New Jersey Medical School; CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD
UNIVERSITY PHYSICIAN ASSOCIATES;
MICHAEL SAULICH; CATHERINE GIBBONS; UNIVERSITY PHYSICIAN
ASSOCIATES OF NEW JERSEY INC.

M.D. Steven S. Simring,
Appellant

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of New Jersey
(D.C. Civil Action No. 3-04-cv-03530)
District Judge: Honorable Peter G. Sheridan

Before: AMBRO, SCIRICA, and ROTH, Circuit Judges

SUR PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING

The petition for panel rehearing filed by Appellant, Steven Simring, M.D. in the
above entitled case having been submitted to the judges who participated in the decision

of this Court, it is hereby ORDERED that the petition for rehearing by the panel is granted. The opinion and judgment entered July 7, 2015 are hereby VACATED.¹ A subsequent opinion and judgment will be issued.

By the Court,

s/ Thomas L. Ambro, Circuit Judge

Dated: August 4, 2015

¹ As the merits panel has vacated the prior opinion and judgment, action is not required by the en banc court.