



2018 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

9-4-2018

In Re: James H. Campbell

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018

Recommended Citation

"In Re: James H. Campbell" (2018). *2018 Decisions*. 728.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018/728

This September is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2018 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-2484

IN RE: JAMES H. CAMPBELL,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania
(Related to M.D. Pa. Civ. No. 3-16-cv-00524)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
July 12, 2018

Before: Chief Judge SMITH, CHAGARES, and BIBAS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion Filed: September 4, 2018)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

In July 2018, James H. Campbell filed this pro se mandamus petition requesting that the District Court be compelled to rule on his 28 U.S.C. § 2241 petition. When Campbell filed this mandamus petition, his § 2241 petition had been pending for over two years. However, on August 21, 2018, the District Court entered an opinion and order

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

denying Campbell's § 2241 petition. In light of the District Court's action, this mandamus petition no longer presents a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) ("If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff's personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.").