



2017 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

6-2-2017

In re: Shaun Rosiere

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017

Recommended Citation

"In re: Shaun Rosiere" (2017). *2017 Decisions*. 696.

https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017/696

This June is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2017 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

HLD-006

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 17-1092

In re: SHAUN ROSIERE,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. Crim. Nos. 1-08-cr-00629-005; 1-09-cr-00720-001)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
March 2, 2017

Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, MCKEE and FUENTES, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: June 2, 2017)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Shaun Rosiere seeks a writ of mandamus to compel the District Court to rule on three motions pending in the underlying criminal matter concerning the terms of his supervised release. By order entered April 5, 2017, the District Court denied each motion. In light of the District Court's action, this mandamus petition no longer presents a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).