



---

2014 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

6-20-2014

**In Re: Imani Abdus-Sabir**

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2014](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014)

---

### **Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Imani Abdus-Sabir" (2014). *2014 Decisions*. 611.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2014/611](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014/611)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2014 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 14-2058

---

IN RE: IMANI ABDUS-SABIR,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey  
(Related to D.C. Civil Action No. 13-cv-04773)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
June 12, 2014

Before: SMITH, HARDIMAN and NYGAARD, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: June 20, 2014)

---

OPINION

---

PER CURIAM

Petitioner Imani Abdus-Sabir filed in this Court a petition for writ of mandamus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1651, seeking an order that the United States District Court of New Jersey be compelled to rule on his motions for the appointment of counsel and for leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. He then filed a similar petition in the District Court, along with a renewed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Subsequently, by order entered on June 9, 2014, the District Court ruled on the motion for the appointment of

counsel and the renewed application to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Accordingly, we will deny Abdus-Sabir's mandamus petition as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> The District Court denied the application to proceed *in forma pauperis* on the ground that a portion of the renewed application was illegible. Because the District Court's dismissal of Abdus-Sabir's complaint was without prejudice, he is not precluded from pursuing his case there by once again filing a complaint accompanied by a legible version of the documents required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(2).