



---

2020 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

6-10-2020

**In Re: Joseph Aruanno**

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2020](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2020)

---

### **Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Joseph Aruanno" (2020). *2020 Decisions*. 578.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2020/578](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2020/578)

This June is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2020 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 20-1660

---

IN RE: JOSEPH ARUANNO,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey  
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 2-15-cv-07982)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
May 21, 2020

Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, CHAGARES and GREENBERG, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: June 10, 2020)

---

OPINION\*

---

PER CURIAM

Joseph Aruanno petitions for a writ of mandamus directing the District Court to rule on his Third Amended Complaint. After Aruanno filed this petition, the District Court dismissed that complaint by order entered June 2, 2020. Thus, because Aruanno has received all the relief he requested, his petition is moot and we will dismiss it on that basis. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).

---

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.