



2010 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

9-21-2010

Toy-Ling Washington v. Township of Hillside City Coun

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010

Recommended Citation

"Toy-Ling Washington v. Township of Hillside City Coun" (2010). *2010 Decisions*. 568.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010/568

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2010 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 10-1711

TOY-LING WASHINGTON, agent for principal Beverly Knight;
N.T., son of plaintiff and minor as applies

v.

TOWNSHIP OF HILLSIDE CITY COUNCIL; JANET VLAISAVLJEVIC, HILLSIDE
CLERK; DWAYNE WARREN; THEODORE ROMANKOW; ANN RUBIN;
HILLSIDE POLICE DEPARTMENT (John Doe Officers); UNION COUNTY
PROSECUTORS OFFICE; DONALD VANARELLI; DAVID NECHAMKIN; CASSEY
KIM; VINCENT GAGLIARDI; THE DEVEREUX FOUNDATION; BRENDA
BRUNISHUIZ; KATHARINE STALKER; HEATHER HANDLON; OWEN
THOMSON; AMERICAN EXPRESS; SUSAN LUBIN; PNC BANK; SIMONE S.
MARTA; WACHOVIA BANK; MULTI CARE NURSING HOME; KATHY NEGRY;
CATHOLIC COMMUNITY SERVICES (UNION COUNTY); YOLANDA
MASSENBERG; YASSHEEDA JOHNSON; PARENTS OF J.G. (minor); ALL
PARTIES AND THEIR AGENTS; UNION COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT

On Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of New Jersey
(D.C. Civil Action No. 06-cv-3102)
District Judge: Honorable Stanley R. Chesler

Submitted Pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)
September 14, 2010
Before: SLOVITER, CHAGARES and WEIS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: September 21, 2010)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Toy-Ling Washington appeals the District Court's orders granting appellee Union County Sheriff's Department's motion for summary judgment and denying her motion to amend her complaint. The procedural history of this case and the details of Washington's claims are well known to the parties, set forth in the District Court's thorough opinions, and need not be discussed at length. Briefly, Washington filed a civil rights complaint against several defendants. The District Court granted several defendants' motions to dismiss and subsequently granted Union County Sheriff's Department's motion for summary judgment. Washington filed a timely notice of appeal challenging the District Court's order granting the motion for summary judgment and denying her motion to amend the complaint.

We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We agree with the District Court that the Union County Sheriff's Department was entitled to summary judgment on Washington's claims of false arrest. An arrest warrant had been issued, and Washington has not alleged that any of the appellees made false statements with respect to the arrest warrant. See Wilson v. Russo, 212 F.3d 781, 786-87 (3d Cir. 2000). It appears that the

arrest warrant was issued by the judge in Washington's criminal case after she missed a hearing. Washington was subsequently convicted of theft by unlawful taking of more than \$75,000 and was sentenced to seven years in prison. See State v. Washington, 975 A.2d 955 (N.J. Super. 2009).

Washington also appeals the District Court's order denying her motion to amend her complaint. We review such a denial for an abuse of discretion. Jones v. ABN Amro Mortg. Group, Inc. 606 F.3d 119, 123 (3d Cir. 2010). The District Court did not abuse its discretion in denying Washington's motion to amend her complaint. We lack jurisdiction over Washington's challenge to the District Court's orders remanding a matter to state court in a separate District Court case.

For the above reasons, we will affirm the District Court's judgment.