

2018 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

6-12-2018

## In Re: Evaristo Serrano Vargas

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\_2018

## **Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Evaristo Serrano Vargas" (2018). 2018 Decisions. 460. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\_2018/460

This June is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2018 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

## DLD-231

**NOT PRECEDENTIAL** UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-2104

IN RE: EVARISTO SERRANO VARGAS, Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the United States District Court for the Middle District of Pennsylvania (Related to M.D. Pa. Civ. No. 3-17-cv-00801)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P. June 7, 2018 Before: JORDAN, SHWARTZ and KRAUSE, <u>Circuit Judges</u>

(Opinion filed: June 12, 2018)

**OPINION**\*

PER CURIAM

Evaristo Serrano Vargas filed a petition for writ of mandamus requesting that we

direct the District Court to rule on a petition that he had filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §

2241. The District Court has since ruled on Serrano Vargas's § 2241 petition. In light of

the District Court's action, the question Serrano Vargas presented is no longer a live

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

controversy, so we will dismiss his mandamus petition as moot. <u>See, e.g., Lusardi v.</u> <u>Xerox Corp.</u>, 975 F.2d 964, 974 (3d Cir. 1992).