



2022 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

6-2-2022

In Re: Shawn D. Shaw

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Shawn D. Shaw" (2022). *2022 Decisions*. 430.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2022/430

This June is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2022 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 22-1819

IN RE: SHAWN D. SHAW,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 2-19-cv-16702)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
May 19, 2022
Before: CHAGARES, Chief Judge, PORTER and FUENTES, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: June 2, 2022)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Shawn D. Shaw has filed a petition for a writ of mandamus requesting that we direct the District Court to rule on his pending 28 U.S.C. § 2255 motion. The District Court has since ruled on the § 2255 motion, denying it in part and reserving judgment on one claim pending supplemental briefing. In light of the District Court's action, this mandamus petition does not present a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).