



---

2017 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

5-4-2017

**In Re: Eliston George**

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017)

---

### **Recommended Citation**

"In Re: Eliston George" (2017). *2017 Decisions*. 429.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017/429](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017/429)

This May is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2017 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 17-1129

---

IN RE: ELISTON F. GEORGE,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
District Court of the Virgin Islands  
(Related to D.V.I. Civ. No. 3-14-cv-00067)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
February 23, 2017

Before: SMITH, CHIEF JUDGE, MCKEE and FUENTES, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: May 4, 2017)

---

OPINION\*

---

PER CURIAM

Eliston F. George filed a petition for writ of mandamus requesting that we direct the District Court to rule on his petition for a writ of audita querela. The District Court has since ruled on George's petition, and George has filed a notice of appeal. In light of the District Court's action, the question George presented is no longer a live controversy,

---

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

so we will dismiss his mandamus petition as moot. See, e.g., Lusardi v. Xerox Corp., 975 F.2d 964, 974 (3d Cir. 1992).