



---

2015 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

4-21-2015

## Michael Siluk, Jr. v. Catherine Merwin

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2015](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Michael Siluk, Jr. v. Catherine Merwin" (2015). *2015 Decisions*. 397.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2015/397](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015/397)

This April is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2015 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

**PRECEDENTIAL**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 11-3996

---

MICHAEL E. SILUK, JR.,  
Appellant

v.

CATHERINE MERWIN,  
Director of Perry County Domestic Relations Section

---

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Middle District of Pennsylvania  
(Civil Action No. 1-11-cv-01654)  
District Judge: Honorable Judge Christopher C. Conner

---

Before: MCKEE, Chief Judge and CHAGARES, GARTH,  
Circuit Judges

---

ORDER AMENDING OPINION

---

At the direction of the Court, the opinion filed April 10, 2015 is hereby amended.

Page 4, footnote 5:

We have jurisdiction to review a district court's final order under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. Although Siluk is appealing the order dismissing his complaint under Rule 12(b)(6), he has filed a motion to consolidate court fees which is currently pending before the Court, we only need to discuss the latter issue. The District Court thoroughly and carefully explained that Siluk's claim against an officer of the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania is barred by the Eleventh Amendment of the United States Constitution.

Page 5, paragraph 2:

Siluk appealed and applied to proceed IFP before us. He also filed a motion to combine payment of his filing fees which was referred to the merits panel.

An amended judgment will be issued.

For the Court,

*Marcia M. Waldron*

Marcia M. Waldron, Clerk

Date: April 21, 2015