



2018 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

4-19-2018

In Re: Jong Shin

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Jong Shin" (2018). *2018 Decisions*. 295.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2018/295

This April is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2018 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. For more information, please contact Benjamin.Carlson@law.villanova.edu.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 18-1572

IN RE: JONG SHIN,
Petitioner

On Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. No. 1-15-cv-07248)

Submitted Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 21
March 29, 2018

Before: SMITH, Chief Judge, CHAGARES, and BIBAS, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: April 19, 2018)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Pro se petitioner Jong Shin seeks a writ of mandamus to compel the District Court to rule on a motion she filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. By order entered on April 11, 2018, the District Court denied her motion and declined to issue a certificate of appealability. In light of the District Court's action, Shin's mandamus petition no longer

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

presents a live controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).

If Shin wishes to seek appellate review of the District Court’s decision with respect to her § 2255 motion, she should file a notice of appeal in the District Court within the time period set forth in Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(1)(B).