



---

2017 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

3-24-2017

## Delaware Riverkeeper Network v. Secretary Pennsylvania Departm

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Delaware Riverkeeper Network v. Secretary Pennsylvania Departm" (2017). *2017 Decisions*. 283.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017/283](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017/283)

This March is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2017 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 15-2122

---

DELAWARE RIVERKEEPER NETWORK;  
MAYA VAN ROSSUM, the Delaware Riverkeeper,

Petitioners

v.

SECRETARY PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION; PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION,

Respondents

TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP,

Intervenor Respondent

---

No. 15-2158

---

NEW JERSEY CONSERVATION FOUNDATION;  
STONY BROOK MILLSTONE WATERSHED ASSOCIATION; FRIENDS OF  
PRINCETON OPEN SPACE,

Petitioners

v.

NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION;  
TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP,

Respondents

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission  
(Agency Nos. FERC CP13-551-00; EA40-013 & EA45-002)  
(Agency Nos. 0000-13-0012.1FHA140001,  
0000-13-0012.1FWW140001, 0000-13-0012.2FHA140001  
& 0000-13-0012.2FWW140001)

Argued on October 29, 2015  
Before: GREENAWAY, JR., SCIRICA and ROTH, Circuit Judges

**ORDER**

By letter dated February 14, 2017, the Clerk solicited comments from the Respondent and Intervenor Respondent with respect to the Court's intention to replace references to "tree clearing" contained in the opinion with the term "tree felling." Upon consideration of the parties' responses, the Court has determined that an amended opinion will be issued. The amendment does not alter the Court's judgment previously entered on August 8, 2016.

By the Court,

s/ Jane R. Roth  
Circuit Judge

Dated: March 24, 2017

mlr/cc: all counsel