



---

2010 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

11-16-2010

## Devon Park Bioventures v. Sebastian Holdings

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2010](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010)

---

### Recommended Citation

"Devon Park Bioventures v. Sebastian Holdings" (2010). *2010 Decisions*. 252.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2010/252](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010/252)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2010 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

Nos. 10-1093, 10-1297

---

DEVON PARK BIOVENTURES, L.P.

v.

SEBASTIAN HOLDINGS, INC.,  
Appellant

---

On Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania  
(Civ. No. 2-09-CV-04085)  
District Judge: Hon. Paul S. Diamond

---

Submitted pursuant to Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)  
Monday, November 8, 2010

Before: McKEE, Chief Circuit Judge, SLOVITER  
and COWEN, Circuit Judges

---

(filed: November 16, 2010 )

McKEE, Chief Judge.

Sebastian Holdings, Inc. appeals the district court's orders affirming the arbitration awards dated July 7, 2009 and August 26, 2009, denying Sebastian Holdings's cross-

motion to vacate the arbitration awards, and granting the motion of Devon Park Bioventures, L.P. to Direct Entry of Judgment. We affirm.

Because we write primarily for the parties, we need not repeat the facts or procedural history of this case, which the district court has previously set forth. Order Granting Mot. To Confirm Arbitration Award, 1-4, Dec. 3, 2009. Sebastian Holdings argues that the district court erred in affirming the arbitration awards because the arbitrator's decision was unsupported by the plain language of the contract and because the arbitrator ignored that Benoit Jamar had no authority to act on behalf of Sebastian Holdings.

In his detailed order, Judge Diamond thoughtfully and thoroughly explained his reasons for affirming the arbitrator's decision. *See* Order Granting Mot. To Confirm Arbitration Award, 4-9, Dec. 3, 2009. The district court's Order sufficiently analyzes the relevant law and applies it to the facts of this case. We can add little to the judge's thoughtful analysis or conclusions.

Accordingly, we will affirm the district court's Orders substantially for the reasons set for in those Orders without further elaboration.