The Red Mass is offered to invoke the Divine Blessing upon the School of Law and the Legal Profession. This tradition began in the Thirteenth Century at LaSainte Chapelle in France and at Westminster Abbey in England. The Mass was celebrated to implore divine guidance for those who judge, legislate, serve clients, teach and study law. The English celebration is on the Feast of St. Michael, the Archangel (September 29) the opening of the Michelmas term of the royal courts. In Washington, D.C., the Mass marks the opening of the October term of the Supreme Court of the United States.

The Mass takes its name from the red vestments of the celebrants, the red and ermine robes of the Law Lords and the scarlet gowns of the faculties. Red is the liturgical color associated with the Holy Spirit.

The School of Law first celebrated the Red Mass on the morning of October 10, 1957.
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The chasm existing between men and women is evidently evident in their definition of what is important and what is not. Or what one is more conscious of. Third year women, after a long summer of long hours in the library and small talk at the pasta lunch lamens: "That's not my butt, I know my butt, I worked with my butt, and that is not my butt." Of course this is overkill because does it is always the men, one female fact. But not of men. For pointed evidence of this simply look at magazines which subscribe is dependent on one gender or another. Lady's Law Cosmo will focus on the latest fad of the summer of long hours in the cellar to the top in their food groups of grease, caffeine.
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Dear Conservative Guy,

Why is George Bush always trying to make a fool of the world's problems? We have troubles here at home, too: a recession, one million unemployed, crime, drug abuse, two Danielle Steel novels being bought by people who can't read. When will the President do something for Americans?

Let us look at some of the realities President Bush faces in trying to lead this nation. First, the Democrats own Congress. Second, the Democrats control the most important interest groups that own the Democrats who own Congress. Therefore, most of what put President Bush or the Republican Party are in the pockets of people who have no standing on the White House lawn. Now, I hope the following exchange (could have) recently occurred in Congress:

Republican Congressman: The proposal that crime is bad and should be punished is ridiculous.

Democratic Congressman: The racist who addresses the plight of albino dwarfs in the Aleutian Islands.

Second, people are oversensitive and try to make us all happy because we can't see things the same way. As for being politically correct, it's very simple. Try not to ever come remotely close to discussing things openly and without restraint. Atom bombs and nuclear power bring this to mind. Perhaps this list will help you be more j.

Tom Dougherty

Dear Conservative Guy,

What is political correctness? How is it politically correct?

Relativism is incorrect on two principles. First, white males are responsible for all of the evil in the world. Second, people are oversensitive. Liberalism is making us all happy because we can't see things the same way. As for being politically correct, it's very simple. Try not to ever come remotely close to discussing things openly and without restraint. Atom bombs and nuclear power bring this to mind. Perhaps this list will help you be more j.
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In The Matter Of Hill v. Thomas

Hill v. Thomas — Findings of Fact

John M. Hyson — November, 1991

I was talking the other day with Judge Jurist of the District Court for the District of Garey. Our conversation turned, as it often does, to recent events, to the Senate Judiciary Committee and allegations made by Professor Anita Hill against Supreme Court nominee Clarence Thomas. Like me, Judge Jurist had heard or read all of the testimony. I asked Judge Jurist how the factual issue that the Judicial Committee was called upon to resolve — whether Judge Thomas had made certain statements to Professor Hill — would have been decided had the matter been presented to a court that tried the matter without a jury, Judge Jurist’s conclusion foreshadowed one that I would later question and volunteer to draft and send to me an opinion that included “findings of fact.” I received the following opinion in today’s mail:

Opinion and Findings of Fact

The present matter involves a claim of sexual harassment (hereinafter referred to as “Harassment”), that on certain days during the period of 1981-1983 the defendant, Clarence M. Thomas (hereinafter referred to as “Thomas” or “Defendant”), made certain statements to her during the course of their working hours and at their common workplace. Hill asserts that the alleged statements constitute Harassment.

The Court, with respect to the evidence that the plaintiff presented in the written statement permits the Court to leave the case to another day the difficult, and much con¬verted, question of how the second term in the phrase should be pronounced. J. Thomas has denied making the statements attributed to him. He concedes, however, that the statements (if made) would constitute sexual harassment. Accordingly, the Court will limit itself to a review of the evidence relating to the issue of whether Harassment occurred. Having assessed the evidence, the Court will make a finding of fact under Rule 52.

Summary of Testimony

Hill will be offered by the Plaintiff. Judge Hoerschner, Ms. Welles, Mr. Carr, and Professor Paul. The Court will allow statements of fact that Hill asserted that, on or about the time scale of the Senate, the defendant made statements were made to her by Hill, told Thomas of the statements, and all that although she did not, speaking to any of these witnesses — describe the statements in the detailed manner in which she has testified before this Court. Professor Paul testified that, on July of 1987, Hill asserted that he had made the allegations of sexual harassment.

Evidence Offered by Thomas

Thomas testified that he categorically and unequivocally denied that he had made any sexual engagement with Hill: Thomas denied that he had made any sexual engagement with Hill and, categorically and unequivocally denied that he had made the statements attributed to him by Professor Hill as statements that would not make any employees.

The Court also presented evidence of twenty other persons, sworn, who the witness testified that Professor General as Chief Justice in 1879 and, along with the other first officer to be confirmed, unjustly killed Chief Justice in 1790 on a recent

President Washington as a Associate Justice in 1789, and, like the other first officer to be confirmed, unjustly killed Chief Justice in 1790 on a recent

President Jackson also hadprob¬lems with the Senate. His Secretary of State, Mr. Webster, was defeated in the Senate for political reasons so cogently stated in Professor Hyson’s column, printed elsewhere in this edition. The Court will begin by summarizing the evidence, stating the facts, and then addressing the questions raised by the evidence.

Evidence Offered by Thomas

The Court began by summarizing the evidence, stating the facts, and then addressing the questions raised by the evidence.

There is no evidence that any president ever felt the necessity to ask the Senate for its advice and consent. It is not surprising that the Senate at the time of the adoption of the Constitution rejected the federal power to appoint a president and a Senate executive officer. The Senate, President Jackson again appointed Judge Taney, who was confirmed as Chief Justice. His position as one of the ten powerful people in the country requires that he be held to the highest standards. As demonstrated by former Justices, the President’s experience, lifetime tenure as a United States Senator, and his position as one of the ten powerful people in the country.

I call Professor Hill here. I call her courageous. I call what she did twice refused his choice. He was thwarted not only by the Senate, but by his colleagues. The majority of Philadelphia’s most famous lawyer at the time, Horace Binney, said that was an appointment.

(Continued on page 6)

Damn the Process — Full Speed Behind: A Quick Look at the History of the Confirmation of Supreme Court Justices

This Fall brought to television and print one of the most entertaining, disorienting Supreme Court confirmation hearings in recent memory. Much has been said about the impact of these events, the future of Supreme Court nominations, the future of our political system, and the future of our nation. Observers have realigned the positions of men and women, left and right, liberal and conservative. Feminists, democrats and republicans. Young and old. They have positioned the “sides” in what was perhaps the most acknowledged series of skirmishes but has now become a war.

Much has also been said about the various important issues raised by the recent events: Who knew or should have known, acted or should have acted, and when? Who did or did not coerce, hide, fabricate, conspire, cover up, and perjure? How could have, should have, would have the investigation, inves¬tigation and hearings been handled more effectively? Who lied? Who told the truth? Who campaigned shamelessly? Who

By Professor Donald W. Bowd

What has been the role of the Senate in selecting Supreme Court Justices? The Senate came within a whisker of selecting Justice Antonin Scalia himself. Oliver Ell¬ery, the Senator who had backed this proposal, but at the last minute the Hamilton compromis¬es in the Constitution were adopted in the Senate, President Jackson again appointed Judge Taney, who was confirmed as Chief Justice. This story offers a comprehensible explanation for the otherwise

(Continued on page 7)

Brogan: Doubting Thomas
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More Doubting Thomas

by Daryl Bloom

A few weeks ago, the big topic in the news, at least in regards to sexual harassment, was the issue of sexual harassment. What is this mystical sexual harassment? I am not sure how it is pronounced, but it is pronounced sexual harassment. Sexual harassment is defined, or rather identified in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

As set forth in Title VII, sexual harassment is, in part, "(a) Harassment on the basis of sex, whether such harassment is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964..."

Sexual harassment is more pervasive than was perhaps previously realized by the American public, and a little knowledge can be a dangerous thing when it comes to educating the ignorant. A New York Times/CBS News poll indicated that four out of ten women had been subjected to "sexual advances, propositions, or unwanted sexual discussions from men" while they were in the workplace.

Judge Clarence Thomas.

I said "sexual harassment who have long supposed that it was a form of sexual harassment who have long supposed that he was the victim of sexual harassment."

Another gray area lies within the context of the upcoming Supreme Court confirmation process. Anita Hill, a professor of law, is testifying before the Senate Judiciary Committee about the sexual harassment of her male supervisor, Justice Thomas. As another issue is brought up, it is becoming a judgement call, where the record of the Senate will be lifted, and the fact that the victim may be a female, and the juror may be a male, or the vice versa, will be forgotten when it is time to confirm another Supreme Court Justice. But this is just one student's opinion— or is it?
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Darn the Process

**Brogan**

Ironically, Judge Parker's career on the lower court showed him to be much more liberal than Justice Roberts who was approved after Parker's retirement.

President Nixon saw two nominees fail. Judge Haynesworth, a southern judge, was rejected after he failed to state his views on the important matters of southerners. The Democratic reaction to Nixon's "southern strategy" and the early filibuster by the Republican senators which had prevented Judge Haynesworth from being placed on the bench, caused much concern. The Senate, however, was pleased to see that the new legislation would allow them to reevaluate the situation. It is worth noting, however, that while damages in other cases were not limited, the new legislation imposes a cap on the amount of compensatory damages that a person can receive. A woman would have to be crazy to file.

It seems more compelling, since, as observed by Anna Quindlen, to attend Yale law school and become a law professor. It is a perfect victim — intelligent, conservative and far less distinguished than, for example, a northern President. Judge Harry Blackmun was confirmed when first appointed in 1970 by a vote of 99-0, 98-0 and 97-0 respectively. There were some close calls and controversial views. Perhaps the indirect result of Justice Marshall. President Nixon saw two nominations fail. Judge Haynesworth, a southern judge, was rejected after he failed to state his views on the important matters of southerners. The Democratic reaction to Nixon's "southern strategy" and the early filibuster by the Republican senators which had prevented Judge Haynesworth from being placed on the bench, caused much concern. The Senate, however, was pleased to see that the new legislation would allow them to reevaluate the situation. It is worth noting, however, that while damages in other cases were not limited, the new legislation imposes a cap on the amount of compensatory damages that a person can receive. A woman would have to be crazy to file.
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It seems more compelling, since, as observed by Anna Quindlen, to attend Yale law school and become a law professor. It is a perfect victim — intelligent, conservative and far less distinguished than, for example, a northern President. Judge Harry Blackmun was confirmed when first appointed in 1970 by a vote of 99-0, 98-0 and 97-0 respectively. There were some close calls and controversial views. Perhaps the indirect result of Justice Marshall. President Nixon saw two nominations fail. Judge Haynesworth, a southern judge, was rejected after he failed to state his views on the important matters of southerners. The Democratic reaction to Nixon's "southern strategy" and the early filibuster by the Republican senators which had prevented Judge Haynesworth from being placed on the bench, caused much concern. The Senate, however, was pleased to see that the new legislation would allow them to reevaluate the situation. It is worth noting, however, that while damages in other cases were not limited, the new legislation imposes a cap on the amount of compensatory damages that a person can receive. A woman would have to be crazy to file.
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Hyson

(Continued from page 4)
tified that they could not believe that any of the types of statements attributed to him by Thomas.

Thomas and others presented testimony to the effect that, over the years, Thomas had created a "cordial relationship" with Thomas. Thomas testified that Hill had responded to that cordial relationship by attempting to move with him from the Department of Education and that this move had taken place after, according to Hill's testimony, the one and only time that Hill was aware of certain of the statements attributed to Thomas. Additionally, according to Thomas, there was no reason to believe that Thomas had ever been unfaithful to his wife or that Thomas had engaged in any sexual practices that Hill might have been/find her relationships with men. Thomas testified that Hill was persuaded to do so by Ms. Berry-Meyers and that she was not a person of integrity. In his testimony, for Hill to have made the statements attributed to him by Hill was such "a prophet" (in seeing that Thomas would, years later, be a "an Academy Award winning actress" (in persuading each of the four witnesses — Mr. Doggett, Mr. Carr, and Professor Paul — of the truth of her statements). The testimony offered by Thomas is, of course, irrelevant whether the Court would have found her actions in any event to be the result of fantasy, spurned woman, or conspiracy — do not give rise to a "reasonable" doubt. They are not supported by reasonable evidence.

Finally, in assessing Hill's testimony, the Court gives very great weight to the corroborating testimony of four witnesses — Judge Hoerschner, Ms. Welles, Mr. Carr, and Professor Paul. Each of these witnesses was credible; indeed, counsel for Thomas may have been untruthful. Each of these witnesses said that, long before Hill's testimony in the present case, she had stated to each of them that she was being sexually harassed by her employer. As Professor Paul said in his testimony, for Hill to have made these statements with the intent of forcing her to testify later in support of a claim of sexual harassment against Thomas, she would have had to be both an "Academy Award winning actress" (in persuading each witness that she was sincere) and "a prophet" (in seeing that Thomas would, years later, be a nominee for the highest judicial office in the land).

In claims of sexual harassment, courts look to see whether such claims are supported by contemporaneous statements made by the accuser. One credible witness testifying to one such contemporaneous statement is significant in support of the charge. Here there are four highly credible witnesses testifying to contemporaneous statements. Such evidence is compelling corroboration of the testimony of the accuser. The Court is unpersuaded with the explanation that Thomas testified that some of the statements should be given little or no weight because the statements were not as detailed as Hill's testimony or did not refer to Thomas by name. The nature of the statements attributed to Thomas by Hill might well have been influenced by the lack of any testimony (or even credible spec-

In October In room 29/30

WELL... LOOK AT THE BRIGHT SIDE... AT LEAST WE KNOW THE AIR CONDITIONER WORKS!

Judge Jurist accompanied this opinion with a note expressing doubt that the Attorney General would investigate possible perjury charges against those who support to-be-Justice Clarence Thomas. To think otherwise, Judge Jurist said, that would be fantasy.
What Are The Three Problems With VLS?

Nadine Hunt — 2L
1. No Fall break.
2. We need a longer Winter break.
3. We don't break for Summer break soon enough.

Jean Schilling — 3L
1. No MAC machine.
2. No juice machine in the vending room.
3. Nadine Hunt does not have an attitude.

Doug Gaston — 3L
1. Traffic jams in the hallway.
2. Not enough parking if you come in after 11:00 a.m.
3. No Au Bon Pain in walking distance.

Tom Downey — 2L
1. Not enough motorcycle parking.
2. Too few athletic fields.
3. Not enough peace, love and understanding.
4. (What's so funny?)

Frank Nofer — 2L
1. Bluebooking.
2. Air conditioner ("that only doesn't work when it's really hot").
3. Parking.

Scott Donnini — 2L
1. That, by some strange coincidence, all library assistants are also minor league ballplayers and the Faculty/Staff team denies any involvement in hiring them.
2. That they don't just break down and open a snack bar in the library.
3. Ticket-happy campus security stalking the parking lot. Just give them guns.
by John Lago

Tons of physical contact. People meaning in pain. Lots of pouring sweat. Crowds cheering on. Just another day at the gym? Place me again. These sights and sounds signify the beginning of another season for our beloved VLS rugby team. They’re returning under... and Arnold Schwarzenegger is quaking in his boots. And why not? These guys aren’t exactly Girl Scouts in cleats. Last year, they compiled a 2-3 record in the fall, and a 1-1 record in the spring, losing only a nailbiter to a tough Penn Law team.

This year, the championship has proven to be tougher, as they moved into the Graduate School League for the first time. Their first game, on September 28, was against the defending national champions, the Philadelphia College of Osteopathic Medicine. The game was close up to halftime, but eventually our ruggers lost 230-9. None of the starters in that game sustained an injury. The injury list read like a busy doctor’s chart: Concussion, broken nose, broken ribs, back injury, and groin injury. Even Scott “Woody” Phillips admitted, “We were minus a knee injury.” A week later, they were minus a player against Temple. This one was close, but Temple won by 4. A season-ending separated shoulder, a torn ACL, and other smaller injuries hit some unlucky club players. Second City Fools, a team the rugby club had beaten last year, got some revenge by defeating VLS, 196-14. Alan Greis suffered a season-ending concussion. A heart-breaking loss to Jefferson Med has given the VLS rugby club an 1-4 record, and left some fans wondering what’s happened. Some might think panic would hit the team. Again.

“We are, by far, a better team than last year,” says Tom Downey, President of the rugby club. This team isn’t loaded with third years, but that doesn’t mean they’re not ready. Plenty of first, and second years have picked up the slack. “We’ve been really impressed with the turnout, not just with the numbers, but with the quality,” says Downey. “Woody” points to the inexperience and injuries as reasons for the weak showing. “It’s a young team,” says, “We’re not quite as good as we were capable of being together as a team.” With regard to the plethora of injuries on the team, as John Lago, “I’ve never seen anything like this before in my life. We haven’t had the same team two weeks in a row.”

Leadership would seem to be missing on such a young team. Good news. Captain Matt Lyons, along with selectors (that means they help select the lineup) Rich Cobb and Eric Engesland, give the team plenty of guidance. It’s a good thing the team’s skills. He’s been doing a fantastic job turning the team around,” says Woody. “Some would think of a rugby player as a stocky, single-minded jack. Guess again. Diversity is another characteristic of this team. Rugby players are represented on the SBA, Honor Board, Law Review and even the library board. They’ve sponsored a blood drive, had fundraisers, been involved in the Roundhouse Project and spent their respective hands at YO’s. They even care about the way they’re perceived. “If there’s a bad rugby image in the media, we’ll have to do something. I hate the way we’re perceived.” Woody knows all about the image. We had nothing to do with that. We’re students first, proclaims Downey.

One area the ruggers would like to see improve is fan support. “We need some more fans,” implies Woody. “And with an upcoming game with the New 16 against Temple, Law and more home games, where should you be? Home with the folks? In the library outlining for next year’s exams? Guess again. Around the bases... Due to conflicts with classes, pressures and inordinate anxiety, a game was a doubleheader, and a rash of local plagued, many of the games early in the intramural softball season were postponed. However, some teams were able to go 1-0. A close 9-8 victory over the Blues.

In the 7th, the Students got a two-run triple. The Faculty led off the first inning with four straight singles by Professors Carrasco, Minch, Yelnosky and Palm. Yelnosky scored on a throwing error. The Students retaliated with two runs of their own in the bottom of the inning. As John Lago, “Woody” Phillips singled, Tom Downey doubled and Editor/sports god David Krell drove in the first Student run with a hit. Downey later scored on a Phillips single. The Faculty hit their bases loaded with only one out, but couldn’t score any runs. The Faculty to ground out and pop up to hit the team again.

The Faculty didn’t threaten in the top. The Students got a two out triple and an error of playing against him and his partner in the Tennis Tournament, and he seems like a genuine good guy. Here’s hoping good days finish first in the story. Barcelona as well!

Speaking of tennis, the weather couldn’t have been better for the 1st Annual Phi Delta Phi Tennis Tournament. Everybody students were graced with the presence of none other than Wayne Gretzky. All Palm. Everyone seemed to have a good time, and there were no problems. In the end, the Braves won one for player who constantly underplays his game. He did throw his breath and threw his racket once after losing a point (OK, that was just me). So I was a little hyper, but it’s not easy playing against you.

One thing that I got out of that system, let’s get to the teams that did qualify. In one half of the semis, and crushed the opposition, Tricks... The annual Student/Faculty Softball contest, blanking the opposition, scoring Peter Norman. “The top 11 (oooohh!)... final results from intramural softball at VLS.

The Faculty didn’t threaten in the top. The Students got a two out triple and an error of playing against him and his partner in the Tennis Tournament, and he seems like a genuine good guy. Here’s hoping good days finish first in the story. Barcelona as well!

And now, what you’ve been waiting for. The Top 11 (Why? If you ask why? In less of an article material, we proudly present the Top 11 Sports at VLS.
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The Faculty to ground out and pop up three times. The Students got a two out triple and an error of playing against him and his partner in the Tennis Tournament, and he seems like a genuine good guy. Here’s hoping good days finish first in the story. Barcelona as well!

And now, what you’ve been waiting for. The Top 11... coming up next month... another Top 11 (ooohh!)... final results from intramural softball at VLS.

The Faculty didn’t threaten in the top. The Students got a two out triple and an error of playing against him and his partner in the Tennis Tournament, and he seems like a genuine good guy. Here’s hoping good days finish first in the story. Barcelona as well!

And now, what you’ve been waiting for. The Top 11... coming up next month... another Top 11 (ooohh!)... final results from intramural softball at VLS.

The Faculty didn’t threaten in the top. The Students got a two out triple and an error of playing against him and his partner in the Tennis Tournament, and he seems like a genuine good guy. Here’s hoping good days finish first in the story. Barcelona as well!

And now, what you’ve been waiting for. The Top 11... coming up next month... another Top 11 (ooohh!)... final results from intramural softball at VLS.
Softball Season Ends

Pro Basketball Gets Underway

The first season has ended, and the playoffs (at the season's writing) are to begin. As the Dead Might say, "The season is a strange trip it's been." Like Jack Buck and the rest of the CBS crew said on failure to win any of the games and Stupid) failed to win any Show.' This was accomplished failed to win a game in either at least a year, the Ruggers have for most of them. Counting back "Stick to the knitting, boys." That mention everybody's name can overrun third base") and you on a Hill/Thomas aura. It began that to this column for a final playoff victory made liberal use of late- for pitchers, waiving off the first and second. Pete Norman set a single eather to a future law suit. "Title VII) women responded with clutch hitting and fielding all year, thus adding convincing evidence to a future law suit. Playing day in and day out nent in every game, "Great 20th" set Fac­ tory/Staff (7) and "Great 20th" over 100%, and Shack can rebound, — Negatives — Charles Shack­ men, they can't hit free throws, and they learn some­ thing called defense. — Forecast — Still away from a trip to the conference final. Adams may have — Forecast — At least fans would be nice. — Negatives — Defense, defense, defense, can someone rebound here too? This account also too much on the outside shot. They need more inside presence. — Forecast — This team is a day away, better time at the season anywhere. But I got them pegged for a second round loss. — Forecast — coach. Latics are strong power forwards, — Negatives — They haven't been able to replace Ron Harper as the shooting guard yet, but...
Basketball Season Continues

(Continued from page 11)
Ferry needs to improve. Injuries have taken their toll on the young, 5'11" Achilles heel.

— Positives — If healthy, coach Kenny Wilkins has a formidable ballclub on his hands. They'll get to play a lot of games at home. 5. Milwaukee Bucks

— Positives — Lenny Wilkens, the coach of this club is now 57. Serious­ly, he's earned it. This club will continue to rely on their guards — too much.
— Negatives — With Malone, the team may have the star power, Lenny, this club is now 57. Serious­ly, he's earned it. This club will continue to rely on their guards — too much.

3. Philadelphia 76ers, a 500 team, a first-round loss, a long, summer.

4. Charlotte Hornets

— Positives — Dominique Wilkins. Blair Rasmussen and over­priced Jon Koncak make a decent tandem at center. And the young guys — guards Travis Mayes, Alvin Robertson, Jay Humphries, and Kenny Smith. Mike Gminski
— Negatives — Lack of height and mobility. The defense is weak with Duane Causwell and Markieff Moore. The trio can beat any team.

5. Golden State Warriors

— Positives — Run TMC — Mike Messina, Mitch Richmond and Tim Hardaway, Mitch Rich­mond and Tim Hardaway, Rich­mond and Tim Hardaway, the dynamic duo of guards.
— Negatives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker

6. Phoenix Suns

— Positives — Speedster Kevin Johnson and Tom Chambers lead this young team. Mark Westhead may try to find a new way to get the ball inside.
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger. 7. Charlotte Hornets

— Negatives — Lack of height and mobility. The defense is weak with Duane Causwell and Chris Gatling.

8. Miami Heat

— Positives — Coach Bob Knight will need help, especially at guard where John Battle and Darnell Cross are gone. Will Dominique feel he's earned it? This club may have the star power, but they can't make it to the next level without help.

— Forecast — Coach Knight will need help, especially at guard where John Battle and Darnell Cross are gone. Will Dominique feel he's earned it? This club may have the star power, but they can't make it to the next level without help.

9. Los Angeles Clippers

— Positives — Run TMC — Ha! Can you hear you laughing already? But this is a decent team. Here are some decent players here. Like Dennis Hop­kins, Ron Harper, Charlie Scott, Ken Nor­ton and Joe Barry Carroll.
— Negatives — Los Angeles Lakers

— Positives — Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded. Without a star guard, Jeff Malone can score from outside, and there is instant offense off the bench.
— Negatives — Center Mark Eaton is a good defender with no offense and no mobility (O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B.)

10. Chicago Bulls

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

11. Cleveland Cavaliers

— Positives — The son of the Bulls, their only chance is "The Dream" Olajuwon. The Dream? Oh, no! He's not as good as we thought. 12. Seattle Seahawks

— Positives — Run TMC — Ha! Can you hear you laughing already? But this is a decent team. Here are some decent players here. Like Dennis Hop­kins, Ron Harper, Charlie Scott, Ken Nor­ton and Joe Barry Carroll.
— Negatives — Los Angeles Lakers

— Positives — Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded, but Magic Johnson was traded. Without a star guard, Jeff Malone can score from outside, and there is instant offense off the bench.
— Negatives — Center Mark Eaton is a good defender with no offense and no mobility (O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B., O.B.)

13. New York Knicks

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

14. Detroit Red Wings

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

15. Chicago Blackhawks

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

16. New Jersey Devils

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

17. Philadelphia Flyers

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

18. New York Islanders

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

19. New York Rangers

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

20. Vancouver Canucks

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

21. St. Louis Blues

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

22. Hartford Whalers

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

23. Minnesota Wild

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

24. New Jersey Devils

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

25. Toronto Maple Leafs

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

26. Buffalo Sabres

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

27. New York Rangers

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

28. New York Islanders

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

29. New Jersey Devils

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.

30. Calgary Flames

— Positives — The center spot is weak. Mutombo, Mustaf and aging Trent Tucker
— Negatives — The team is rebuilding. They'll be back next year, stronger.
Little Man Tate

After a distinguished career as an actress, Jodie Foster is now making her motion picture directorial debut with Orion Pictures' "Little Man Tate," in which she also stars. The film is a touching and funny story of an extraordinarily gifted seven-year-old boy, Fred, and his attempts to fit in. Fred's life is greatly affected by the relationships he shares with his working class mother and a brilliant psychologist, two women who have conflicting ideas of how to raise him.

In addition to Jodie Foster, "Little Man Tate" stars Dianne Wiest as the child psychologist, Harry Connick, Jr. as Fred's college buddy, and introduces Adam Hann-Byrd as Fred. Scott Frank, who won acclaim earlier this year for his "Dead Again" screenplay, wrote the original screenplay, Mark Isham composed the score. The film was produced by Scott Rudin and Peggy Rajski. Randy Stone was the executive producer.

Dead Again - Hitchcock Revisited

by Scott Donnini

Who says they don't make them like they used to? Dead Again does it the old fashioned way. It meshes Hitchcock-like suspense with hammed-up acting and a murder mystery that would leave even Hercule Poirot puzzled. Woven through it all is a reincarnation theme that, while hard to really take seriously, still left me looking at the person beside me just a little bit differently hoping that they were not the second coming of a cat I may have tortured in a previous life.

Kennith Branagh and Emma Thompson, the stars of "Henry V" and real-life husband wife, play the dual, and interchanging, roles of a composer Roman Strauss and his wife Margaret and their reincarnations fifty years later. Branagh, who also directed, and Thompson put on American accents that, after the eloquence of "Henry V", take a little getting used to but don't falter. Andy Garcia plays a chain-smoking 1940's reporter with a thing for Margaret and a glass jaw, taking the most wonderfully hokey twirl and fall after being popped by Roman. Robin Williams makes a demented unannounced appearance as a psychologist-turned-butcher who helps Mike and Grace, Margaret and Roman fifty years later, figure out who they are.

You see, Roman was sentenced to death for murdering his wife with a pair of scissors. Incidentally, scissors, of all shapes and sizes, are everywhere in this movie to the point that it gets almost ridiculous. Grace, who suffers from a type of amnesia, has recurring nightmares recalling the ordeal. Fate gets Mike and Grace together and guides them to a hypnotist who helps them dig to solve mysteries both past and present. As they dig, the scene often shifts to the past; nostalgic in black and white with scored close-ups of passionate, closed-mouth love scenes awash in dramatic music. This stands in stark contrast with the technicolor modern scenes which are largely stolen by Williams' warped, typically 1990's humor.

I never understood how old, "classic" films, now banished to late night television, could get four stars when the acting was overdone, the premise unlikely, and the dialogue somewhat stilted and overdramatic. Now that I have experienced something comparable allowed to splash all over a big screen, I think I understand. It was pure entertainment. Catch Dead Again while it's still in the old movie-house if you can, or hook your VCR to a big screen TV. Otherwise, it may not be worth your time.
Faces Around The Law School
Why Take SMH BAR REVIEW?

BECAUSE ......

✓ The SMH Computer Diagnostic Analysis — This advanced tool which helps you identify your own strengths and weaknesses via computer diagnosis of 800 practice questions. No other full service bar review has anything comparable.

✓ Better Written Materials — All written materials are easy-to-read and self-explanatory. You will not have to rely on pre-existing knowledge to learn from our books.

✓ More Practice and Diagnostic Testing — Unlike other courses, SMH reinforces the substantive law and trains you for the exam by integrating over two thousand practice questions into the program.

✓ SMH Testable Points of Law! — For final review, each student is provided with a hard-hitting concise statement of the points of law most likely to be tested on the Multistate Bar Exam.

GET THE SMH EDGE!

SMH BAR REVIEW

215-871-0660  800-486-0892