



2015 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

2-6-2015

In Re: Donald Jackman, Jr.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Donald Jackman, Jr." (2015). *2015 Decisions*. 145.
https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2015/145

This February is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2015 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 14-4721

IN RE: DONALD G. JACKMAN, JR.,
Petitioner

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 1-14-cv-01799)

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
February 5, 2015
Before: AMBRO, JORDAN and KRAUSE, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed February 6, 2015)

OPINION*

PER CURIAM

Petitioner, Donald G. Jackman, Jr., filed a petition for a writ of mandamus on December 15, 2014, asking us to order the District Court to render a decision on his petition for writ of habeas corpus and his subsequent motion for summary judgment. By order entered on January 7, 2015, the District Court dismissed Jackman's petition for writ of habeas corpus and denied his motion for summary judgment as moot. Because

* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

Jackman has received the relief he requested in his mandamus petition,¹ we will dismiss the petition as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).

¹ Jackman transmitted to the Court a copy of his motion to alter or amend judgment in the habeas case and requested that we review documents submitted in his direct criminal appeal. None of those documents affect the outcome of this mandamus action.