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Philly Vets Honored

By John Gambescia

The culmination of a three year fund-raiser to honor 630 Philadelphia area servicemen who died or were missing in action in the Vietnam War was read aloud and each soldier's name was closed to 1 million dollars.

Additional funding came from individual and corporate donations, and T-shirt sales with the names of the 630 servicemen engraved on them. On the wall, the memorial offers a ripe starting point to begin to discover for themselves answers to their questions. And for those who need no other answer but a name on the wall, the memorial offers a place to "welcome home" a loved one in a very special way.

Labor Law
Club Rises
From Ashes

By Michael W. Jones

Student interest in the field of labor law is undergoing a renaissance of sorts. As it reawakened from a state of dormancy, the Labor Law Club has been revived by an enterprise of Law School students and Professor of Labor and Employment Law Henry Perlitz. The group plans on holding several informal meetings with a roundtable discussion format.

The club's sponsoring faculty member is Professor Henry Perlitz, the law school's labor guru, who is also moderating this year's Labor Management Relations, Philadelphia Roofers' Union, Labor Relations Specialist for Bechtel Corp., an international construction firm, before coming to study law this year at Villanova. John comes from a union family with a long history of negotiators and labor officials. Among his credits, he has worked for management during the construction of the Limerick Nuclear Plant. Vice-chairman Lisa O'Leary, a 3L, has worked as an Industrial Labor Relations Specialist for Bechtel.

The club's sponsoring faculty member is Professor Henry Perlitz, the law school's labor guru, who is also moderating this year's Labor Management Relations Symposium on the topic of labor and employment (most of us have had a labor dispute at some point in our lives) or those merely curious about this fascinating field of law, the one-two combination of the Labor Law Club and Labor Law Review Symposium on February 27 is sure to be a knockout.
SILENCE IS CONSENT

If this month’s ouster of Moscow party boss Yeltsin shows anything, it’s that we should never be appeased by the lip service of any regime. Yeltsin was all but exiled for criticizing the too excuses are proffered: “They're not going to do anything school but when the suggestion is made to articulate their protest, from fear that in some way questioning the status quo at a future here. There's safety in numbers signing petitions for enough little injustices abound to make one unwilling to go to anything like this. A smattering of malcontents ^umbhng about a pickup game threatened to take his ball home have we heard popular, “I'm too busy to lodge a protest or write a letter.” We deadline. Second, and perhaps most importantly, we all have their failure to make the cut this year, next year you'll have

SOR Grapes

Not since our schoolyard days when the kid not chosen for a pickup game threatened to take his ball home have we heard anything like this. A smattering of malcontents grumbling about not making the cut for the next round of the Reimel Moot Court competition. Some apparently indict the scoring system; others, the judges. Even one-stuck a motor Court Board member to sing the halls to voice his vehement opposition to the way briefs are scored. Another penned an anonymous letter to us, alluding more than any concrete criticism of the Reimel competition. Two things strike us about this pettiness. First, the editorial board was promised a deluge of letters delineating the flaws of the Reimel system. None arrived in the three-week period between the announcement of third-round participants and this paper's deadline. Second, and perhaps most importantly, we all have to take exams — a hasty retreat is beaten. The fear of being not making the cut for the next round of the Reimel Moot Court Board member to sing the halls to voice his vehement opposition to the way briefs are scored. Another penned an anonymous letter to us, alluding more than any concrete criticism of the Reimel competition. Two things strike us about this pettiness. First, the editorial board was promised a deluge of letters delineating the flaws of the Reimel system. None arrived in the three-week period between the announcement of third-round participants and this paper's deadline. Second, and perhaps most importantly, we all have to take exams — a hasty retreat is beaten. The fear of being still, and I would do just fine. I appreciated the compliment but the bottom line was he couldn't do anything about it. He explained to me that Villanova's dean published the exam times at registration period (which is the practice at all other law schools) but the suggestion was not taken down at faculty meeting. The Dean explained further, that Dean Abraham and other faculty had felt that by publishing the exam schedule at registration it would cause too much pickiness for the wrong reasons. I found this rationale unsatisfactory and I suppose this is the crux of my gripe. Like many issues in law school, I have found that finding this rationale unsatisfactory and I suppose this is the crux of my gripe. Like many issues in law school, I have found that

LET'S "CO-OP"

There's an interesting television commercial running lately where all you see is a young job applicant sitting across a desk from the camera, obviously situated to put you — the viewer there aren't no scoring sheets; no excuse because — at least in your mind — you "know" why you didn't advance. He's the one to whom the elements of your life in the real world where there ain't no scoring sheets; just imperfect judges and an imperfect system.

LET'S "CO-OP"

Dear Editor:

I will start laying the issue right out on the table. I have the eternal student gripe; it's called Exam scheduling. Everyone is familiar with the phenomenon, it happens to many unfortunate students in the second and third year of school. You get an awful exam schedule. Villanova's administration has set up guidelines for a few conservative exceptions. For example, if you have more than one exam, one day of if you have exams on three consecutive days, you can request a scheduling change. Despite these allowances, there are still many difficult situations a student can fall into like five exams in the first week for which there is no relief. I went to speak to the Dean to find out more on this issue, since I am one of those unfortunate. Dean Garbarino was extremely grumpy and told me that he could tell I was an organized person and I would do just fine. I appreciated the compliment but the bottom line was he couldn't do anything about it. He explained to me that Villanova's dean published the exam times at registration period (which is the practice at all other law schools) but the suggestion was not taken down at faculty meeting. The Dean explained further, that Dean Abraham and other faculty had felt that by publishing the exam schedule at registration it would cause too much pickiness for the wrong reasons. I found this rationale unsatisfactory and I suppose this is the crux of my gripe. Like many issues in law school, I have found that finding this rationale unsatisfactory and I suppose this is the crux of my gripe. Like many issues in law school, I have found that
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Undue Process: Keep Your S.O.B.

By B.S. Finkel

First-year law students often began to speak in my first law school class as if their future were my problem. It had never occurred to me that it might be. I was not there to save you. There isn’t even a rim or a backboard for you to queue up against. You be the hoop of the crowd. And to top it all off, that funny odor is not the smell of the gymnasium. If you do happen to fall, try and not become the rubber-sheet testing that try and land on top of the tiny clown car, the one the sixty-seven tiny clowns pile in and out of. Then you can bounce right back up and make people think it’s all part of the act. Even if you can’t, maybe you can take a few of the clowns with you.

It’s very easy to lose your S.O.B. Famous football coach Vince Lombardi lost his early on in life. Lombardi used to routinely treat the tackle situation disguised as human beings (who in other countries apparently are required to wear plastic at public safety or, in the Eastern Hemisphere, to wear the Olympic photographic team) with the same regard we might accord to a younger sibling wearing a mustard-made out of Silly Putty. His philosophy was: "Losing isn’t everything; it’s the only thing. With that attitude, my guess is that Vince Lombardi, after he went to Harvard Law. A more developed S.O.B. helps you realize there’s a lot more to life than law books, case books, supplements, handouts, homework, court rulings, law review articles and Black’s Law Dictionary. It’s so important to keep your S.O.B., you don’t have to limit your experience to those aforementioned books or to your professors insist upon, that there is a lot more to the game. It may make you more competitive, but if you keep going, you may win more than just the game. you might win the World Series."

Someone once said, "When you’ve got your health, you’ve got everything." I’m pretty sure it wasn’t Vince Lombardi. People need to keep their S.O.B.s. Having a good S.O.B. maintains their health in numerous ways. They eat. They sleep. They shower. They get dressed. They feel good. They have a sense of humor. They try to keep their health to themselves, but it’s a secret. When they do this, they often can’t control themselves, but it’s a good S.O.B. helps keep their health.

I’m talking about those commerical "S.O.B. Young people (We’re all adults here, right?)

The best way to keep your S.O.B. is to remember that there is no such thing as a "perfect" health. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die. If you completely give up on health, you are going to die.

Finally, I’ll let you in on my own secret. If you still need help keeping your health, just do what I do wear those paper-and-plastic 3-D glasses to class. To keep your health, you must keep everything in perspective. An added bonus, if you do wear those 3-D glasses, you will make people think you’re really a good S.O.B. People will also be impressed at the amount of information you will be able to process.

LUKE’S LAW TRIVIA

1. How many Justices must vote to review a case for the U.S. Supreme Court to grant a writ of certiorari?
2. At what law school does Supreme Court nominee Judge Anthony Kennedy, teach at present?
3. Who is Chief Judge of the Third Circuit Court of Appeals?
4. Name one of the two female judges on the 3rd Circuit Court of Appeals.
5. With which University is the California Law School affiliated?
6. Where is the Gonzaga University School of Law located?
7. What make of car was involved in World Trade Center 9/11 attack?

8. Which modern case established a constitutional right to privacy?
9. Which constitutional amendment guarantees the free exercise of religion?
10. What kind of action can a corporation bring on behalf of a corporation?

Op-Art Law School Editorial

Letter to the Editor (cont.)
Legal Community Must Respond to AIDS

by Sam McLaughlin

I wish I could turn off my emotions and simply report to you, the reader, on the recent developments surrounding AIDS in Philadelphia. But I can't. I can't because I am involved in this issue, I care.

I care because I am a fellow human being living in a society where other fellow human beings are dying, and not just dying, but are dying without dignity and respect. I care because I have felt their pain and anguish. I care because I have seen the faces of the dying AIDS patients.


Why should a society which treats living gays as second-class citizens treat dying gays any differently?

November 1, 1981, to June 4, 1987, there were 612 reported cases of AIDS in the Philadelphia County. Of those cases, 317 have died. In Bucks County there were 32 cases, of which 25 have died. In Delaware County there were 44 cases, of which 10 have died. In Montgomery County there were 36 cases, of which 5 have died.

The occurrences do not mean much unless the faces are connected to the statistics. Of the 612 cases in the Philadelphia County: 481 involve gay/bisexual males, accounting for 79.2%; 43 involve intravenous drug users, accounting for 7.1%; 46 involve homosexual or intravenous drug users, accounting for 7.6%; 10 involve heterosexuals accounting for 1.6%; 4 involve blood transfusions given to heterosexuals, accounting for 0.7%; 5 involve heterosexuals accounting for 0.8%; 1 involves a hemophiliac, accounting for 0.2%; 17 comprise the category of unknowns, accounting for 2.8%; 4 involve children of AIDS infected parents; 1 involves a child with no known risk factors who received a blood transfusion.

Right up front it is apparent that a large proportion of the victims, in fact the largest percentage of victims, of AIDS, DOESN'T or at least it SHOULDN'T. One should treat the disease irrespective of the identity of the patient, for there is no cure for AIDS. Without care, a human being with AIDS will die. And it is no different whether the patient is a human being in a society where civil rights are denied or with whom civil rights are granted. That is why I care.

The feeling was that gay people would not be treated as they should have been treated. They established that the removal of patients from the medical community was necessary. I commend the efforts of the medical community.

Now, finally, it is up to the legal community to lend its support to the dying AIDS patients. I care because I have seen the faces of the dying AIDS patients.

The legal community needs accurate information to provide its clients with the appropriate tools to work out the complex issues surrounding this disease. With this in mind, I turn now to the creation of a new AIDS Litigation Reporter promising to fulfill this vital need for information within the legal community in this area. But first, one final comment. My only hope is that the current lawsuit which allows gays to be treated with compassion and respect is continued and that all the AIDS victims are asked to rest their case in this second class citizenship and not given a second chance to live.

AIDS Litigation Reporters fulfill vital need for legal information

Comedians like to poke fun at AIDS. I hear them say things like "What are you going to do when you are old and living with AIDS?" I think that the most ironic result of these jokes is that AIDS victims are victims and not because of such mistreatment.

Joe Bower's death is just the sense of pacification that strikes me as especially tragic is that neighbors now say they constantly hear her screaming as if she were being tortured.

November 1, 1981, to June 4, 1987, there were 612 reported cases of AIDS in the Philadelphia County. Of those cases, 317 have died. In Bucks County there were 32 cases, of which 25 have died. In Delaware County there were 44 cases, of which 10 have died. In Montgomery County there were 36 cases, of which 5 have died.

The occurrences do not mean much unless the faces are connected to the statistics. Of the 612 cases in the Philadelphia County: 481 involve gay/bisexual males, accounting for 79.2%; 43 involve intravenous drug users, accounting for 7.1%; 46 involve homosexual or intravenous drug users, accounting for 7.6%; 10 involve heterosexuals accounting for 1.6%; 4 involve blood transfusions given to heterosexuals, accounting for 0.7%; 5 involve heterosexuals accounting for 0.8%; 1 involves a hemophiliac, accounting for 0.2%; 17 comprise the category of unknowns, accounting for 2.8%; 4 involve children of AIDS infected parents; 1 involves a child with no known risk factors who received a blood transfusion.

Right up front it is apparent that a large proportion of the victims, in fact the largest percentage of victims, of AIDS, DOESN'T or at least it SHOULDN'T. One should treat the disease irrespective of the identity of the patient, for there is no cure for AIDS. Without care, a human being with AIDS will die. And it is no different whether the patient is a human being in a society where civil rights are denied or with whom civil rights are granted. That is why I care.

The feeling was that gay people would not be treated as they should have been treated. They established that the removal of patients from the medical community was necessary. I commend the efforts of the medical community.
Alternatives: Eating Places

VIETNAMESE
Van's Garden
121 N. 11th Street
(4th between Cherry St. and Arch St.)
Telephone #223-2438

Don't be fooled by the low-key appearance of Van's Garden. Van's Garden has some of the best food and the most incredibly inexpensive prices in the city. Vietnamese food is what we call comfort food. The menu is so well thought through and the dishes are so well combined to create the most delectable cuisine. Some of my favorites are Lemond Chicken or an appetizer with spicy spiced meatballs which you wrap in rice paper with a light sauce and vegetables. Unfortunately, the Vietnamese names for these dishes eludes me, but luckily the menu defines all foreign terms I also recommend trying the interesting array of iced coffees or other bizarre drinks which contain things like coconut milk, ice, green noodles and red beans (it reminds me of conception I made when I was little tot in my backyard but the difference is these taste great!!!). This is a restaurant that is a MUST for anyone who has an education and who has never gone for it's P.H.D. (B.Y.O.B. - CASH ONLY)

CHINESE
Sang Kee Peking Duck House
201 N. 12th Street
(9th and Vine St.)
Telephone #225-7732

The décor of Sang Kee makes you look like an emperor's palace. Sang Kee is truly a hole in the wall but it is far away and some of the best Chinese food in Philadelphia. I consider myself an authority on this subject because I believe I have sampled almost every restaurant in Chinatown. You cannot go wrong with Sang Kee's crispy steamed and varied vegetables, their plump fresh seafood and their unique sauces. If you want to taste Beijing, try one of their dumplings which are too delicious for words. Another wonderful dish is shrimp in shrimp with needles. There is an abundance of large ponds and you can order the defrosted stuff in a light sauce flavored with mint. The duck dishes are unforgettable (especially the one that comes in two courses). Don't hesitate to ask for anything that you don't see on the menu, or be creative and make your own combinations. Sang Kee will accommodate your almost every whim. The only downfall is this restaurant is that it has maintained it's humble sense despite popularity, so there may be a wait.

Having problems with Pros?
Love life non-existent?
Ask Aunt Emma for advice. She has no fee schedule.

Write care of THE DOCKET

AIDS (Continued from page 4)
discovery stages right as these preliminary rulings are made.

The joy of the holiday season is quickly approaching, and what does that mean to low income students?

Even if you are a second-year, you still must suffer through 20 more exams and the bar exam, expressing.

We are taking suggestions at the Docket office for famous alumni that you would like to see interviewed. Please drop your suggestions by the Docket office within the next month.
Romancing the Stone
Wedding bells recently rang for 3L Ron W. and his beautiful wife, Jennifer R. Ron was the best man! Probably not—as recent reports have disclosed several NON-sightings of Ron at the Big G. on weekends. Jennifer and Ron are busily pointing your public and risking a good reputation.

3L Brian W. and his fiancé Marilyn are planning their broum from 16th and Walnut. We hear this loving couple will be joining the throng of wedding-goers this May.

Lois S. has fallen. 3L is sporting a broken foot. She would not have been able to attend the Big G. on a recent Thursday night. We hope she recovers soon.

Congratulations to tournament players, Kevin S., Bill S., and Peter C. Heh, sounds like they have a big advantage over the rest of us mere mortals! Just keep on playing, and you stand a chance.

Dr. Bryn Mawr 3L’s Daddies will unveil a few new cartoons ... By the way, the location of the lost Batmobile? After battling with the evil forces of the Broom, Professor Robin saved the day by revealing the lost Batmobile! The moronic student who was probably letting the bats out of the stacks ... By the way, Bruce (alias Fernanda Valenzuela), Dr. K. wants YOUR address. It was a Haunting Hallo-ween ... By the way, the decorating committee will have to mourn the loss of their favorite week... It was a wild night to say the least. The Law Review had its annual Fall meeting. Some of the subjects previously included under the CALI heading are civil procedure, evidence, alimony, child care, credit and family law. According to Professor Maule, “The basic concept is that if the student answers a multiple choice or short answer question incorrectly, the program then gives a message to the student to explain why the student is incorrect, suggests the student read a particular point of the text, and asks the student how to stay. CALI can be applied in numerous fields, but there are many subjects yet covered. Moreover, there are many law schools in the country that are yet unaware of the significance of computer assisted instruction. Computer programs are a preview of concepts to be discussed in class. Students can acquire a general overview of a particular area of the law, with related exercises, prior to class discussion. The creation of a CALI program also provides an excellent opportunity for faculty and students to interact on a personal basis. Student research assistants have been enlisted to help with the intense organizational process involved with formulating a program. Students, in addition, gain valuable insight into the dynamics of computer programming. Professor Maule is pleased by the positive and enthusiastic responses from students who have tried the CALI programs. He expects the programs to greatly assist law students in mastering the growing complexities of American law.

What would Judge Ginsburg do in the robing room of the Supreme Court?

"Don't 'Bogart' that petition, my friend." What did Joe Biden tell his staff about the fate of his proposal?

"We have nothing to fear, but fear itself."
Panics sometimes have their uses," wrote Thomas Paine around the time of the Revolution. "Their duration is short and the memory is lost in our colonial history, but those who witnessed to develop such insight will ever seem as fresh as new.

T. Boone Pickens and his band of corporate raiders will ever seem as bullish of Wall Streeters conceded that fragility, emphasizing industrial companies in financial affairs. That fragility, analysts see the Dow Jones Industrial Average moving toward a 2200 to 2300 target zone in the near future, Shearson Lehman strategists believe that the market is now rebuilding. I think we've seen the lows. The recovery will be the Chemical, those calls, recommending an emphasis fairly balanced between industrial companies and what its leaders call "safe consumer names"; i.e., companies that can live through a slower and undoubtedly tougher consumer spending environment.

All this could mean disappointment, relative to where stock prices were prior to the market crash, but not disappointments from current levels. Last anyone accused of sounding bullish, strategists are quick to note that markets often are less likely to go straight then to go straight down. But, although the weeks ahead will be choppy, Smith Barney has emphasized industrial companies are healing nicely. "Panics sometimes have their uses," writes Frank Keogh, Shearson Lehman's chief market analyst. "Those who learn and understand these periods have lived through a slower and more difficult time than those who think we've seen the lows. The 100-point days are behind us. In both directions, we might add."

The "bull market as we know it up August is over," says Frank Keogh, Shearson Lehman's chief market analyst. "But the market is now rebuilding. I think we've seen the lows. The 100-point days are behind us. In both directions, we might add."

While the influx of a staggering number of new lawyers has swelled modern and progressive firms are looking beyond the ordinary resume and life experiences to further pare down their pool of potential associates. The law firm of Holness, Cardona, Shyster and Darrow, P.C. have begun to use palmistry as a means to eliminate deadbeats and future problem lawyers. A spokesperson for the firm, Madame Aurora, relates that the market is now rebuilding. "Those who learn and understand these periods have learned that a person should at least be responsible, and don't you DARE think your mental health is totally without any substance as a human being. GET OUT. I think your mental health and self-respect will experience an upsurge."

Dear Aunt Emma:

For three years now I've heard horror stories about one of our classmates who doesn't work and play well with others. Whether it be at an intramural sporting event or a late-night social scene, this "hot-head" can be seen and/or heard engaged in the drop of a hat or expression of any view contrary to his. I guess we've all tolerated his temper so long as it was directed at males. But lately his ire has turned on females, well, at least one so far. How do we, his colleagues, tell him to grow up and act like a professional?

Dear Aunt Emma:

I recently started going out with one of my classmates. Everything was great at first. Now, however, there are some problems. I think we're both beginning to feel the end of the semester crunch — you know, outlining, exams, interviewing, etc. We're torn, not sure if we don't seem to spend much time together anymore. How do you make a law school relationship work given all of these external pressures?

Dear Tired:

Although your protective attitude toward those around you is enduring, I'm sure she can more than handle the situation herself. I think the best approach with this guy would be to try some diplomacy. However, since these outbursts appear to be pretty standard fare for this guy, you think we probably won't influence him in any way. I always feel that the best approach in handling egocentric people is simple — ignore them and walk away. It irritates them more than it doesn't care what your opinion is. Think for the person in front of you and their environment. As far as putting in more hours, this guy will always be annoying in the so-called "real" world. You can only hope this guy helps himself.

Dear Smoke:

There's all going to end! It's frightening how increasingly intrusive the questions of "char­acter" and personal ethics become. Should I start limiting my career choices?

Dear Smoke:

I'm not exactly a child of the sixties, but I've done a few things that I think our increasingly puritanical society would think are indicative of "good character."

Finally, the Lawyer's Line is a helpful needle which folks at the end and is relatively high in the hand. It shows the ability to both sides of the question and a natural talent for investigation. Therefore, do not be surprised if, in your next interview, you are asked to show the interviewer your future plans — this is a method for the future.
THREE REASONS

WHY MORE STUDENTS ARE CHOOSING KAPLAN-SMH BAR REVIEW COURSE

1 INTENSIVE QUESTION REVIEW: Over eighteen hours of in-class question analysis by experienced law school professors is an integral part of every SMH and Kaplan-SMH Bar Review course at no extra cost.

2 NARRATIVE TEXTS: The law you need to know for your bar exam is explained for you—not outlined—in our comprehensive texts.

3 UNPARALLELED CONVENIENCE: Preparation for the bar exams of nineteen jurisdictions is available at over 100 Stanley H. Kaplan Educational Centers nationwide (except in New England, D.C., Maryland, and New Mexico where courses are administered by SMH).

A $50 deposit secures all Kaplan-SMH discounts in effect at the time of deposit. In addition, it entitles you to SMH Law School Summaries I or II and review lectures.* All amounts paid will be credited to any SMH or Kaplan-SMH Bar Review course.

* Review lectures (video & audio) are available at our centers — WHEN YOU NEED THEM.

STANLEY H. KAPLAN-SMH BAR REVIEW SERVICES

See your Campus Rep, or call:

(215) 546-3317
(609) 665-2293

© 1987 Kaplan - SMH
Juveniles On Death Row

ISSUE:

Whether the death penalty is a proper form of punishment for juveniles convicted of first degree murder?

"My personal moral and social conviction is that the death penalty can never be justified. Since it is specifically provided for in the Constitution and by Supreme Court decisions, it is legal. International treaties are not relevant since they cannot have unconstitutional domestic effects. I would restrict capital punishment in every possible way; therefore, I would find it inapplicable to minors. If the 'threat to society' allegation is proved then incarceration in the appropriate punishment."

Steven P. Frankino, Dean

"Generally, I'm against the death penalty for anyone. Although death is pretty effective punishment, it hardly rehabilitates the individual. I also think that the way the penalty is carried out, with the delays and appeals, does little to deter others from killing. I believe that the death penalty is used on extremely racist grounds. It goes against my notions of fairness that a black man who kills a white woman is a million times more likely to get the death penalty than a white man who kills a black man. I also don't think it's a justification for the death penalty that there's nothing else that we can do with such criminals. That kind of comment reflects more on the inadequacies of our criminal justice system. You shouldn't kill somebody because you can't figure out what else to do with him. I'm not ignorant of the gruesome circumstances that lead judges and juries to sentence someone to death, but I'd really have a hard time using my three years honed advocacy skills to urge a jury to put someone to death. Even aside from 'thou shall not kill,' it seems pretty hypocritical to kill someone as penalty for killing someone."

Clare Keefe (3L)

"My opposition to the death penalty for any criminal defendant obviously colors my view of the death penalty for juveniles. Aside from retribution, which I do not condone, the justification for putting someone to death for a crime seems to be that the person is so depraved and has demonstrated such hardness of heart that he or she is beyond hope of rehabilitation. I don't think this can ever be said of a juvenile. A defendant who is a juvenile is a person not yet fully formed. Society should not extinguish a young life on the basis of acts committed while immature."

Professor A. Poulin

"When a society resorts to calculated, state-sanctioned killing as a punishment, even for the most heinous crimes, it has lost an important aspect of its civilization. Further, it sends the ironic message that killing is an appropriate response. I think it is sad, and I regret the impact our endorsement of execution has on our civilization."

Professor D. Brogan

"I do not believe there is an established norm of customary international law which prohibits the implementation of capital punishment. "Rehabilitation is a noble goal, when possible. But another goal of criminal sentencing is punishment. Why waste $27,000 tax dollars per year per prisoner? Death is efficient and sometimes necessary."

Brett Rickman (2L)

"An adult's criminal punishment should not apply to a minor's criminal offense. The law should not extinguish a minor's life because it has not been given a chance to develop, mature and cope with an adult world."

Jim Robertson (1L)
by Michael DeFrank

North Carolina and Syracuse will tip-off this year's college basketball season November 21 in Springfield, Massachusetts. The game will provide an early test for Springfield, Massachusetts. The will tip-off this year's college early season match-up raises the ship in November, such a great two teams who should be in the wins or loses a national championship coming so close last year? Does a have the slightest chance of winning the title? Will Villanova and Indiana are the cream winning the title? The Blue Devils return four starters led by 6-10 junior Danny Ferry. Returning with Ferry will be 6'7 John Smith, 6'5 Kevin Keatts and 6-7 Sean Ruy. Add to this experience the best coach in the ACC and Duke should win the conference. I know a lot of Tar Heel fans will think I'm crazy for calling Mike Krzyzewski a better coach than Dean Smith, but there is much more to coaching than just recruiting. Coach "K" doesn't get the talent Smith gets, but he is a better floor coach and prepares his teams as well as any coach in the country. Look for the Blue Devils to have a great year.

The Big Ten race should boil down into a three-team battle between Purdue, Michigan and Indiana. Purdue is the favorite to win the title and they are many people's choice for the best team in the country. The Boilermakers will be led by Troy Lewis, Todd Mitchell and Melvin McCants. These are hardly household names to most basketball fans, but they are excellent basketball players. This should be Purdue's year to escape from the shadow of Indiana and make a run at a national championship.

Challenging Purdue in the Big Ten will be Michigan, which has the talent to be an awesome basketball team. The Wolverines return two potential All-Americans in Gary Trent and Glenn Rice and will debut the talents of 6'9 Ferry Mills, 6-2 Rumal Robinson and 6-7 Sean Higgins. The only worry in Ann Arbor is that all of this talent won't be able to play as one unit. It will be up to Coach Bill Frederick to mold his talented players into an unselfish team. In the first season after the Steve Alford era, Bob Knight should have his Indiana Hoosiers in the thick of the Big Ten race again. Indiana returns three starters from last year's national championship team and has added a talented transfer, Matt Krouse. Knight will have the most talented team he has had in years and that will mean trouble for the rest of the conference.

In the SEC this year, it should come down to a battle between Kentucky and Florida. Kentucky has a wealth of young talent, including sophomore Rex Chapman, and the "other Wildcats" should be the class of the conference. In the Metro Conference, Louisville should waltz its way to the title and return to the tournament after missing the party last year. And if anybody really cares, UCLA and Arizona will battle it out for the PAC 10 championship and the honor of proving in the tournament just how weak basketball is in the country.

There you have my opinion of how the best conferences should shape up this year. Once again, the Big Ten, Big East and ACC will be the dominant conferences during the regular season. The teams in the SEC will take turns beating each other and only one or two teams will emerge from conference play with exceptional records. However, the SEC is a conference laden with athletes and SEC schools will surprise people in the tournament. As for me, I'm going to bet on a national champion. I'll wait and see how the contenders survive their regular season schedule. I'm sure there will be a few upsets along the way. I think I will be celebrating in Malibu, California when Mike DeFrank, a 3L and Indiana alum, picked the Hoosiers to win the national championship last year.

Fall '88 Fed Tax Quiz

**Fall '88 Fed Tax Quiz ANSWERS**

1. Sandbox
2. Three degrees below zero
3. Six feet underground
4. The Number
5. I understand
6. Reading between the lines
7. Split level
8. Mind over matter
9. Just between you and me
10. Backwards glance
11. High chair
12. Paradise
13. Long underwear
14. 8:00 AM
15. Downtown
16. Tricycle
17. Touchdown
18. Life after death
19. He's himself
20. Circles under the eyes

Maule's Response: A challenge: In 500 words or less, describe the Federal Income Taxation B course, using each of the preceding phrases at least once. The description can be an essay, a dialogue, a poem, or any other form of written expression. Entries that Walter Lucas likes will be published.

Congratulations on the engagements of 3L Martha Basket (to Joseph Chovanes, '87) and 3L Joseph Zack (to Carolyn Moran, '87)
Most Congenial Prof: Even if you've never had him/her for a class, name the prof most likely to sit at your breakfast/lunch table or greet you in the halls. Our short list is the prof we most like to bring home to meet our families.

Prof. Leonard Packel captured more than 75 percent of all votes cast, with Prof. John Dobby a distant second.

Most "In-Touch" Prof: The prof who's no slave to his/her syllabus, and goes out of their way to incorporate news events, real-world anecdotes or commentary on cutting-edge legal issues into the lecture material.

Prof. John Hyson edged out Prof. Richard Turkington in a very close race, which also featured Prof. Henry Perritt garnering some Honorable Mention votes.

Best Male Prof: Who's taking competence here, not just congeniality? Who's best able to communicate the material and to generate enthusiasm for studying it?

Former Dean John Murray eked out a slim majority of ballots cast over Profs. Hyson and Turkington, who tied for second. Honorable Mentions included Profs. Perritt (again), Leonard Packel, Jim Maule and Fred Rothman.

Best Female Prof: Same criteria as above.

Prof. Anne Poulin won this category by a wide margin over Prof. Doris Brogan, for whom students credited with "clever use of crude refrigerators and other inventory that might be behind, though, was Prof. Howard Lurie, whom students credited with "clever use of crude visual aids" such as toothpaste tubes and mouthwash bottles.

Best Adjunct: The part-time prof you wish would teach full time.

No clear winner here. In fact, 30 percent of the students casting ballots in this category noted that they'd never taken a course taught by an adjunct. Honorable Mentions go to Janet Perry (Civil Pretrial Practice), Alice Ballard (Employment Discrimination) and Hasting Griffin (Trial Practice).

Best Exam-in-er: The prof whose final exams most fairly demonstrate the best way for conveying it.

Prof. Cannon, Taggart, Maule and Lurie were three such traits most frequently mentioned.

Honorable Mention to Prof. Turkington.

Best Review-er: The prof who not only gives you a crystal clear indication of the material to be covered on the exam, but demonstrates the best way for conveying it.

Prof. Poulin captured 50 percent of the vote here, with Profs. Perritt and Lurie splitting most of the remaining ballots.

Most Socratic: The prof who makes the legendary Kingfield look like a puppeteer: whom, when asked the time of day, might recite: "Does anybody really know what time it is?"

Prof. Fred Rothman, in a runaway, captured over 80 percent of the ballots cast. Left in his dust was Prof. Joe Dellapenna.

Best Hypos: The prof who constructs the most fascinating hypotheticals. The latter, however, was lauded on several ballots for his ability to construct hyposthat go on for days... heck, semesters.

No contest here! Prof. Doris Brogan captured nearly 90 percent of the votes cast. "Definitely the queen of gesticulation," remarked one ballot.

One of the hardest classes in the law school to fall asleep in," noted another.

Best Show-n-Tell: The prof who avails himself/herself of every opportunity to use visual aids and/or props to explain any case.

Prof. Arnold Cohen won here for his surrealistic use of copy paper box lids as refrigerators and other inventory that might be the subject of commercial transactions. Not far behind, though, was Prof. Howard Lurie, whom students credited with "clever use of crude visual aids" such as toothpaste tubes and mouthwash bottles.

Best Advisor: The faculty advisor who keeps in touch and actually advises you with respect to exam preparation, course selection, job prospects, etc.

Prof. Packel here for his ability to spot a deficiency in the law and propose a way to correct it.

Most Likely Judge: The faculty member you'd most like to have as your attorney, or associate with professionally in practice.

Prof. Walter Taggart won this category mainly on the strength of what students called simply "his intelligence and pragmatism." Profs. Sirico, Rothman and Perritt were also named.

Most Likely Legislator: The faculty member you'd elect to a public office for his/her ability to spot a deficiency in the law and propose a way to correct it.

A tie between Profs. Lurie and Perritt, followed closely by another tie for second place between Profs. Hyson, Sirico and Rothman.

Renaissance Prof: The prof who has demonstrated productivity in some field other than law, one consistent with an array of non-legal topics ranging from art to politics.

Dean Willard O'Brien edged out Profs. Dellapenna and John Cannon here, with an Honorable Mention to Prof. Turkington.

Prof With Most Unrealized Potential: A gem in the rough who, with a little time and effort, may become one of the best.

Prof. Brogan captured 50 percent of the votes here, with many of the ballots predicting "Best Prof" honors in her not-too-distant future. Profs. Cannon, Taggart, Maule and Lurie were also named.

Prof You'd Most Like to Hear Speak at Commencement: In case our celebrity speaker contracted influenza on graduation morning, the Prof who could fill in and say something meaningful for us and our families to take with us as we cross the border into lawyerdom.

Prof. Jack Dobby won here with 40 percent of the vote, followed closely by Prof. Perritt. Also named were Profs. Maule, Packel, O'Brien and Rothman — but only if the latter would restrict the body of his speech to declarative sentences.

Editor's Notes:
1. The names of Profs. Perritt and Turkington appear so frequently in so many categories that it warrants mention here, if only to suggest their diverse talents and widespread regard their students have for them.
2. While we only asked students to recognize positive qualities in their teachers, we resist the temptation to point out some negative traits of even the better teachers. "Out of touch," "impersonal" and "sexist" were three such traits most frequently mentioned.
3. Keeping in mind our admitted lack of scientific method, there nevertheless appeared to be a correlation between Profs. receiving top "grades" and what students called their "open-door" policies.
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