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Moot Court Champs Crowned

by Amelia McGovern

In the final of the Reimel Moot Court Competition, two second year students, Lisa McCausland and Rosemary Pinto, emerged the winners having argued the problem successfully for seven proceeding rounds.

Held last Saturday, March 21, 1987, this is the contest's twenty-seventh year.

"We thought it was worthwhile, not only in winning but in actually participating, I learned a lot from the judges and competitors. It will stay with us for the rest of our lives," said Pinto.

This year's problem involved a patent law case between two companies, Regent Foods Co. and American Food Co. Inc.

"It was like arguing a whole new problem in the Final round," said Patricia Kelly, "The losing team consisted of Kelly and partner Robert Carey, also a second year.

The Reimel Competition is sponsored by Mrs. T. Reimel, in honor of her late husband, the Honorable Theodore L. Reimel. The problem was drafted by Professor Howard Lurie.

"I want to encourage others to enter the competition. I was so afraid of whether I had the ability to be in the contest that at the last minute I almost entered the credit round instead. Now I think I made the right decision," said McCausland.

Pinto, said "I'd also like to thank the Moot Court Board for running the competition and Professor Lurie for writing the problem."

This year's bench consisted of the Honorable Collins J. Seitz, Circuit Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit; the Honorable Edward R. Becker, Circuit Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit; and the Honorable Frank X. Timarri, Circuit Judge, United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit.

By Mike Pelliini

The Reimel Competition Committee has recently completed its search for a professor to fill the vacancy created by the death of Prof. Robert A.J. Barry last fall. The search concluded with the appointment of Craig W. Palm, Denver, Colorado, to the faculty position.

Palm, 30, originally from Burlington, Vermont, received his B.A. from the University of Vermont where he graduated Summa Cum Laude in 1978, and then continued his education at Cornell Law School where he graduated Magna Cum Laude in 1981.

Among Palm's many accomplishments are Editor of the Cornell Law Review, service on the Moot Court Board, and an undergraduate teaching assistantship in Criminal Law. He is also a member of the Order of the Coif and was awarded the Louis Kaiser Prize for Best Brief in Moot Court Competition.

Palm is currently an associate litigator with Sherman & Howard in Denver, Colorado, where he has been employed for the past five years.

In a recent interview with The Docket, Palm explained his interest in teaching and his decision to choose Villanova Law School over prospective positions at other universities.

Palm explained his interest in teaching law as the result of his undergraduate teaching experience and his long standing appreciation for the study of law. He describes himself as a "people person" who enjoys interacting and providing guidance to others.

WLC Holds Image Workshop

by Judy McClenning

On the day of Women's Law Caucus Day, the Women's Law Caucus hosted an Image Workshop in the Student Lounge.

The objective of the workshop was to address concerns such as suitable attire for a job interview or court appearances and the importance of maintaining personal dignity in all situations.

The following panelists participated in the discussion: the Honorable Frank T. Hazel, Jane Dobkin, Roberta D. Pichini Esq. and Lawrence Cesare.

The Honorable Frank T. Hazel, an alumnus of Villanova Law School, is currently serving as Judge of the Court of Common Pleas of Delaware County. Judge Hazel spoke about the importance of establishing a good reputation within the legal community. He also emphasized that all members of the legal community are human beings and above all respect the qualities of honesty, integrity and a sense of humor.

Roberta D. Pichini, Esq., an alumna of Villanova Law School, is currently an associate with Litwin, Blumberg, Matuson and Young. Pichini spoke about the importance and reality of women entering into a male dominated field with dignity and professionalism.

Lawrence Cesare, Esq. is currently the President of Personal Resources Organization which he founded seven years ago in Center City. Cesare spoke about the changing face of the legal profession, the extreme competitiveness and the importance of projecting a professional image.

Jane Dobkin, a native Philadel­ phian, is currently recruitment coordinator at Schnader, Harri­ son, Segal & Lewis. Dobkin spoke about the importance of maintaining professional image in appear­ ance, behavior and written responses.

The seminar was a great suc­ cess and the Women's Law Cau­ sus hopes to have more in the future.
Letters to the Editor

1L's Voice Response

To the Editor:
We, the undersigned, have stirred from the depths of our brethren in order to respond to your “Lost in the Flood,” editorial. The Docket, March, 1987, at 2, col. 1. Under a thin veneer of concern for our class’ participation, The Docket has shown a smug concern for first years in general, and Section B in particular.

Perhaps our initial reactions to this were so strong because we are in the section that was obviously under attack. Perhaps they were the result of a natural aversion, to seeing good friends and classmates subjected to misinformation. But our outrage goes even deeper than that.

To malign an entire section as a result of an isolated incident is at best irresponsible. Although the editorial page is a proper forum for expressing opinions, we believe that a piece based on fatuous allegations and alleged faculty rumors hardly qualifies as an “editorial.” Instead, it belongs in the “Garey High Social” column.

Previous classes may have been more vociferous, although we have little evidence of it. We are not concerned with comparing ourselves with other members of our section, our classmates in second- or fourth-year sections, or ourselves with each other. Instead, we are primarily concerned with the study of law and the survival of our first year, myopic as this may seem.

This letter was signed by 45 first-year students from Section B.

The Editors Respond:
No conclusions or inferences were meant to be a statement of an isolated incident.

Most of the students are well-rounded individuals, a quality that the editor has repeatedly lauded in previous editorials.

I would like to take the opportunity to explain to the men and women in the “certain section.” Most of the students are well-rounded individuals, a quality that the editor has repeatedly lauded in previous editorials. If Mr. Editor would take the time to investigate, he would find that our section has a large representation at cultural events, sporting events, nightlife, and jobs as well as in the library at all times. Our section studies very hard, but we do not need someone to tell us what to do.

Lauri Kavulich
Section B

Keep Faculty Evaluation Private

Dear Editors:

It was with interest that I read your editorial concerning the publication of course evaluations.

Generally, I took exception to the wisdom of making all evaluations open to the students, for reasons I explain in subsequent paragraphs. In particular, I am concerned that you are publishing excerpts of what I did as the “posting of student evaluations accompanied by flip-captions” and that “smacks of irreverence for students who took the time to contribute their thoughts” demonstrates that you might have missed the point of what I intended to accomplish by posting the excerpts. I am even more concerned that your description of what I did will be accepted as truth by those who did not have the opportunity to read the excerpts and my comments to reach their own conclusions.

It was not without some reservations that I published the excerpts of the evaluations, because it was something that had not been done before this past semester and had been done by only one of my colleagues. Time will tell whether the issue of publishing excerpts by an individual faculty member will attract institutional attention.

Yes, some of the evaluations contained humorous items, and I felt that the students would reflect on their feelings about each other, the school, and the faculty. Assuming that the students’ evaluations are...
**Beyond the Black Iron**

By Mattie Humphrey

A John Bunyan is frequently remarked to me a major (rural) population in the law school experience is rooted in the emphasi­s on upon winning the case. There is an urgency to concentrate on employing the most rational inter­ests) operative in the state of the case, or underestimated the members of the legal profes­sion. Although we do not dissect facts, we do make a distinction between the professional and the personal in this in a clear­ly significant topics like being there. I can almost imagine that my personal appearance is of what to do until your ‘season’ arrives. When all else fails, find a strong public policy that will be irresistible to the judge, the jury and any spectators who might be present. You can use the public policy argument can create an apparently authority base for an inventor.

The public policy (strongest interests) open to the text books and the Supreme Court that causes the law in the law can live with if your argument has been taken directly from the shelf on which you truly believe. You argue by emphasizing everything that’s in the favor of your side of the adversarial encounter and, by challenging everything else as to the very fact, it’s fairness, it’s deviation from existing law and its tendency to misguide future legal minds (rural) population and students collectively yielded to that public policy, I’ld assume that these finest minds of this finest of societies were unable to overcome the powerful public policy illusion (illusion that affords people a right to their own right of a limited and that enlightened God-governing go­vernments could commit their resources to full scale and expen­sive resistance to prevent a policy change, even if it means to Dred Scott’s humanity or his ability to move around. All those previous decisions and the prevailing minds of that era truly believed that they were right and those minds that represented these are still with us.

This commentary is not meant to be informative, merely provocative. Although we do not dissect facts, we do make a distinction between the professional and the personal in this. My friend is truly asking, how can we live with if your argument has been taken directly from the shelf on which you truly believe. You argue by emphasizing everything that’s in the favor of your side of the adversarial encounter and, by challenging everything else as to the very fact, it’s fairness, it’s deviation from existing law and its tendency to misguide future legal minds (rural) population and students collectively yielded to that public policy, I’ld assume that these finest minds of this finest of societies were unable to overcome the powerful public policy illusion (illusion that affords people a right to their own right of a limited and that enlightened God-governing govern­ments could commit their resources to full scale and expen­sive resistance to prevent a policy change, even if it means to Dred Scott’s humanity or his ability to move around. All those previous decisions and the prevailing minds of that era truly believed that they were right and those minds that represented these are still with us.
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Kevin Taking Next Step

by Scott Fleegle

Kevin Kachejian suffered from quadriplegia, but don’t tell him. Kachejian (pronounced “cach-e-jian”) is a graduate of Henderson High School and West Chester State University, and will graduate in May from the Villanova University College of Law in Pennsylvania, and will graduate in May from the Villanova University College of Law in Pennsylvania, and will graduate in May from the Villanova University College of Law in Pennsylvania. He is currently continued by uninterrupted by the bike accident which left him partially paralyzed from the neck down.

Kachejian, now twenty-four, broke his neck at the age of eleven while jumping his bike off a ramp. He decided the fall style ramp approximately five feet high. As he lay on his back unable to move his arms or legs, Kachejian recalls that all he could think about was how angry his mother would be when she found out. She had told him several times not to jump his bike off the ramp.

Kachejian instructed his friends who were with him when the accident occurred to help him stand up. He was sure the numbness in his arms and legs would go away if they got him moving again. His friends objected at first, but finally relented. They lifted him several times, but Kachejian could not stand up. It turned out that the movement severely aggravated Kachejian’s injury resulting in school diplomas. Kachejian’s friends still feel remorse for their actions, but “it was my own fault,” Kachejian says. “I keep telling them that.”

In the hospital, Kachejian said his only thought was to have a room with a television so he wouldn’t miss the “Six Million Dollar Man.” The thought had not yet occurred to him that he might never walk again.

Kachejian amused himself in the Chester County Hospital by reading medical books and nurses. Kachejian’s friends would sit on the floor underneath him and talk to him. A newspaper was delivered to the sand bag that held the frame steadily Kachejian for an unaccustomed stretch of time.

Kachejian was transferred from the hospital in Chester County Hospital to the Albert I. Dupont Institute in Wilmington, Delaware, which specialized in quadriplegic injuries. Kachejian’s doctors did not have anything available to the Six Million Dollar Man. They could not replace his arms and legs, but they did perform a neck fusion in which bone was removed from Kachejian’s hip, crushed into powder, and sprinkled in the fracture in his neck. The doctors hoped the bone tissue would fuse together creating one solid vertebra. Kachejian’s future looked promising, and Kachejian was sitting up in a wheelchair in two months.

"I’ve made many friends through dropping a book or a pen, not always accidentally," Kachejian adds with a wink.

For six weeks, he was immobilized in a cast. As he lay on his back unable to move his arms and legs, Kachejian said his only thought was to have a television so he wouldn’t miss the “Six Million Dollar Man.” The thought had not yet occurred to him that he might never walk again.

Kachejian amused himself in the Chester County Hospital by reading medical books and nurses. Kachejian’s friends would sit on the floor underneath him and talk to him. A newspaper was delivered to the sand bag that held the frame steadily Kachejian for an unaccustomed stretch of time.

"I’ve made many friends through dropping a book or a pen, not always accidentally," Kachejian adds with a wink.

Kachejian pledged Theta Chi Fraternity at West Chester in his sophomore year. Kachejian stated that he had a negative image of fraternities, at first, but became close friends with a Theta Chi brother in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory. He was impressed that the brotherhood made an effort in his dormitory.

Occasionally, Kachejian addresses a class at West Chester State University on barriers facing the handicapped, and lectures grade school children on bicycle safety. He admits that they sometimes ask questions most often what is it like to be confined to a wheelchair. "I don’t know what to say," Kachejian responds. "I don’t think about it much. I am who I am."
**Law on the Screen: No Inspiration?**


"Whatever happened to our lawyer-hero movies?" the Chancellor asked. In today's films, he said, "the main theme is to focus on the individual dealing with today's problems of life in the context of a legal setting. The individual's problems dominate the screen."

And so with the films of the black and white days, the Chancellor said, "The older films, the law is the ultimate hero," Chancellor Kurland said. "Great principles and conflicts or the integrity of the system itself are at stake. The issues are whether the individual will rise to the occasion and remain true to the ideals of which he or she is entrusted. Ultimately they become identified with principle itself; transcended their individuality and become hero figures. The actor becomes both the institution and the proof of its validity."

Citing the acting of Paul Newman fighting alcoholism and fascism in "The Verdict," Debra Winger and Robert Redford falling in love while violating due process to get the goods on the villain in "Legal Eagles" and Al Pacino coming together with the bony prosecutor in "And Justice For All," the Chancellor said, "These films leave you with an uplifting feeling about the law."

And today's " realistic" television shows don't portray attorneys as what the Chancellor calls "John Wayne lawyers."

"In NBC's "L.A. Law,"" the Chancellor said, "Compare the life of our blond prosecutor hero with the dark, sleazy mentality with the hair-scarf with the Philadelphia Inquirer in "The Verdict,"" he asked. "Is there any hope of an assistant district attorney's typical day in our criminal courtroom being any different from that?"

Dellapenna Wins Fulbright

by John Grisham

Professor Joseph Dellapenna has accepted a Fulbright Senior Lectureship in Law at Jilun University in China. Over the last ten years, more than 50 law schools have been opened there in an effort to create a legal profession, reversing a nearly 25-year-long policy of determined efforts to eliminate lawyers from Chinese society. Jilun University is located in Chanchun, a city of about 2,000,000 in the Northeast of China. Chanchun is the capital of Jilin (formerly Kirin) province.

Professor Dellapenna will be on leave from July 20-31, Dellapenna said. The latter course, "An Introduction to Comparative Legal Systems," will not be open to American law students.

Dellapenna described his goals for his Fulbright Lectureship in China as "teaching his students about the political and social regimes that his government has decided that they need to inculcate in their students in order to maintain the social and political stability of Jilin Province."

Dellapenna commented that "a few weeks in the British Isles should be fun," and that "it should be professionally enriching for any lawyer or law student to be exposed to different ways of thinking, and to learn how foreign legal minds operate." He added that "I think it's important that lawyers and students open their minds to the idea that the way things are done here isn't the only way it should be — there are other ways."
FEATURES

Moot Court Board Has An Unfinished Agenda

by Scott Fegley

In this, my final article for the Docket, I'd like to say a few words about my time here — my heart — the Moot Court Board. Like football teams looking to make it to the Super Bowl, the members of the Moot Court Board have taken their roles of mentor, boibirds and the naysayers over the years. This year, they put together a record, setting the stage for next fall's " winning season.

Summer Job Search

The Placement Office reports that half the class will have jobs before graduation; another 20-25% will have jobs by the end of June; and those who are still looking before the end of October when the bar results are out. This timing of known employment is the norm for our graduates. Reasons for this hiring pattern range from business and another 20-25% will secure jobs before the end of October when those who come after them will be aware of many things Janet did not. And we'd like to come together a record worth cheering about. For her outstanding efforts. Congratulations on a job well done!
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Vaya con Dios, Villanova.

Probably no other unnatural phenomenon has as many theorists studying it as the job market. No market watcher worth his or her salt is ever at a loss to explain on their profound theory, whether they explain that the market is up, down or just moving sideways.

But never before have I heard so many far-reaching explanations as in the first months of this year while the market has exploded in a frenzy of aggressive buying. Of course, we all know now what provided the fireworks: Money. Lots of money.

First there was the money created by tax sales over the last part of 1986, waiting to be reinvested. Then there was the money in the hands of institutions ready to buy on any opportunity in the market (which market-watchers project will dip no more than 8 percent, to around 2000 on the Dow Jones Industrial Average). And there was foreign money, too. Money that came out of expensive foreign markets into cheap U.S. markets. Finally, there was IRA and Keogh money that lined up for the last chance to get a decent tax credit against ordinary income before the new tax law kicks in next year.

While liquidity is the power base of the market’s meteoric rise, there is still a sense of schizophrenia about the market. The market is focusing on earnings or on interest rates, according to A. Marshall Acuff, chief portfolio strategist at Smith Barney. Acuff feels that after being driven by interest rates in recent years, this market has chosen to focus on improving earnings. He thinks that focus reflects the potential for better business activity and product prices produced by a product prices produced by a better earnings base.

Despite the market’s penchant for high unit growth, fewer major arrows will be fired. Acuff feels characteristic as much as they did in the past, says the Smith Barney strategist. For those companies which do produce superior volume growth, Acuff believes that stock valuations will rise further as time passes. But he notes that an increasing number of companies have become more dependent, over time, on pricing to generate meaningful revenue growth. While much focus is on lowering the costs of doing business and its potential bottom-line benefits, Acuff sees profit margins being influenced most by the degree to which companies can maintain or improve their control over product pricing. Therefore, he concludes that pricing control may be more critical than cost control. Without control over pricing, Acuff explains, few companies will be able to experience the full impact of their belt-tightening.

The stock market already has shown great interest in relative pricing trends. Acuff points to the strength of the paper stocks as one example, and the rebound in oil stocks as another. He also expects the airline stocks to be a potential pricing power candidate. In the balance, Smith Barney is advising its clients to continue seeking out unit growth situations, but also to look for a company’s relative strength as a key adjective to its cost restructuring.

Featured on the firm’s current best stock list are Northern Indiana Public Service, the utility; Comdisco, which leases new and used IBM equipment; and Baxter-American, the hospital supply company. Acuff calls Northern Indiana stock a laggard posed for a catch-up in the market. The company has not had a rate increase since 1985, but a favorable rate order is likely soon. Smith Barney believes the bad news is behind Comdisco, as new products from IBM are expected to stimulate its leasing and resale business. Acuff also sees the benefits of the Baxter-American Hospital Supply Stock, which is expected to appear as cost reductions are driving the current earnings turnaround.
Letters to the Editor

(Continued from page 5)

sincere demonstrations of their feelings. I conclude that there are some serious problems that need to be addressed, preferably by the students and the faculty in open and meaningful discussion.

It is NOT funny that one or more students consider a person in the "back row" to be an "idiot." Granted, there are students whose questions demonstrated their lack of preparation, but the term "idiot" is inaccurate and, even more important, demonstrative of not-so-civil hostility; such hostility is disruptive to an education-al process in which intellectual interaction among students is desirable. On the other hand, perhaps the persons who are the target of such remarks might consider that their inattention and lack of preparation has a negative effect on their classmates. Of course, if these persons do not care about that effect, then perhaps the problem is so serious that fundamental changes in the approach to classroom conduct (by faculty and students alike) deserve consideration.

If these evaluation remarks, that reflect unhappiness, anger, frustration, and condescension, were isolated, were they not to be true? But they are widespread, because law school, though demanding, should have been more fun, either stop doing it or make it fun (which required finding out why it isn't fun). Much of the unhappiness seems to flow from a gap between student expectations and what they encounter. Although the course work may not be justified because the students didn't put in their due amount of work (which they justifiably expect), some of it is the result of unreasonable student expectations and equally unreasonable perceptions. My comments on the published evaluations excerpts were intended, in part, to make students think about the concerns being expressed in the evaluations and about the legitimacy of certain expectations.

It is impossible to satisfy each student who sees the problem addressed by such comments as "he goes too fast," "he goes too slow," etc. It is, therefore, why I published those types of evaluation remarks; I want each student to realize that there are others who would prefer a different pace, and all that can be done is to reach a compromise that satisfies no one. One student stated, "I assume since he did not take time to explain and go through areas he admits are complicated, he did not understand them himself." Aside from expecting better reasoning than that, my remark demonstrates, I suspect, that the author does not appreciate the challenge of meeting the demands of students who are still grappling with gross income concepts and simultaneously explaining passive loss limitations to students who are seeking a thorough analysis of the complex because they possess the gift of easily understanding the fundamental.

As an aside, I am aware of several very gifted students who have not been able to assist those who were not gifted; that is more productive than complaining about that the course pace does not match the individual's preference. There are other practical characteristics of creating even more "matches" that improve students' abilities to be helped with ability in a particular area and those who have not.

I shared several comments because they were identified as attitudes that reflect their perception. Perhaps my commentary on the published evaluations excerpts was "flippant" or "sarcastic," but they were intended to reflect my concern. It appears that there are students who perceive the evaluation system as being intended to treat a client, how to recognize issues of professional responsibility, and how the real world affects the use of analytical skills, and how the real world of politics affects what you can and cannot do for your client, or your ability to sell it. I do not think that all of those remarks as "relatively unrelated" topics. After 2 or 4 semesters in law school, such comments (and not those more than the one published sample) are more than surprising.

The broader question is whether publication of all the evaluations is prudent. First, much of what I did not publish was due to space limitations, but many times you do need to read "the idea of quizzzes stinks" and "the quizzes were a great help" to realize that the students' opinion was divided. Second, few comments were "news"; students talk to each other and most knew the mixed reaction to course pace, quizzes, coverage of policy topics, coverage of the "dual set of law," the quality of movements and the learning, and the like. So, as a practical matter, you're not missing much.

What is of concern is the effect on the evaluation process if students know that there will be publication. Will students try to "win a place on the wall" by being deliberately outrageous? Will students refrain from sharing their thoughts out of fear someone might recognize their handwriting and identify them as the author of nasty remarks about classmates?

One question that bothered me was how to treat the insults to the references and personal attributes that reflect their despair on what no impact on the class, and the type of written comments that would be published because they contained negative comments about colleagues and the institution; I suspect that it would be inappropriate for me to publicize those remarks. I even refrain from publishing certain comments that were directed at me, out of concern that the remarks may not be "so flipply" that it would spark very little reaction. I do not think that accusations of being "unprofessional" should be published unless the student has an opportunity to respond in order to set the record straight and to dampen the otherwise inappropriate impact on their colleagues. I would read the comment. Likewise, I refrained from starting in an inappropriate manner a discussion of whether students have good study habits, whether they are entitled to what they work for, are adults, and whether I was or was not justified in making appearances in a classroom and away from my calendar.

Frankly, I do not think that publication of grievances that give the wrong impression is helpful, and about whose target has an opportunity to respond in order to set the record straight and to dampen the otherwise inappropriate impact on colleagues. Perhaps my comments were more than the one published sample are more than surprising.
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789 Lancaster Ave., Villanova

Fast Free Delivery Available

Call 527-4330
Thank You For Your Patronage. CIAO!!

MONDAY & WEDNESDAY
With this Coupon
Buy 1 pizza any size
Get 1 pizza of equal size Free of 1!
(Not valid with any other offer!)

TUESDAY & THURSDAY
With this Coupon
Get 2 x 16oz. sodas FREE!
(Not valid with any other offer!)

FRIDAY, SATURDAY & SUNDAY
Buy a large pizza
Get one FREE liter of soda
(Not valid with any other offer!)

With This Coupon

Call 527-4330
Thank You For Your Patronage. CIAO!!

MONDAY & WEDNESDAY
With this Coupon
Buy 1 pizza any size
Get 1 pizza of equal size Free of 1!
(Not valid with any other offer!)

TUESDAY & THURSDAY
With this Coupon
Get 2 x 16oz. sodas FREE!
(Not valid with any other offer!)

FRIDAY, SATURDAY & SUNDAY
Buy a large pizza
Get one FREE liter of soda
(Not valid with any other offer!)

With This Coupon

Miami Mayor to Speak Here

The Spring Executive Visitat­ion Candlelight Dinner and Dis­cussion will be held on Monday, April 13, 1987, at 5 p.m. The speaker this Spring will be the Miami Mayor, Xavier L. Suarez, Mayor of Miami.

Suarez, 37, is a Villanova alumn (Engineering, summa cum laude in 1971), and a Harvard Law School. He also has a Master of Public Administration degree from Harvard's John F. Kennedy School of Government. He was elected Mayor of Miami in 1985, thereby becoming Florida's first Hispanic mayor.

Sign-up sheets and further information for those interested in attending are available at the Recep­tionists' counter in Room 106. Twenty-five students will be chosen by lot from those signing up, with preference given to third-year students. The final deadline for signing up is Noon on Friday, April 3, 1987.

Sincerely,

Prof. James E. Maule

Miami Mayor Xavier L. Suarez

The dinner is being funded by Frank O'Hara of the VLS Class of 1957.
St. Patty's Day Celebration

Onlookers enjoy various forms of entertainment.

Sue French photo

2L Felice McElwaine sings while Director of Services Betty Murphy smirks.

Prof. Lewis Becker showing a side not often seen at the St. Patrick's Day Party.

3L's Diane Kercimar and Pat Connell sing on the sidelines.

Prof. Donald Dowd keeping the tradition alive.

Drinking or clapping: it's a hard choice.

Congratulations to the

Graduating Class of '87

We'll Miss You!