Careers After VLS

Military Jobs Waiting

By John Schreck

We all remember how difficult it was to get into law school, and we are now facing the problems of getting through. After these three years of hard work and preparation, it still won't be over. The fact is, we will all be competing for a limited number of good positions. However, there are a few law students whose employers started them into careers many years prior to their academic admission. These students have tailor-made jobs just awaiting their intellectual entry into law school. These students were on the Moot Court Board as a 2L. Deke Deiter is now a third year Law Review student and serves as officers in their respective branches of the service. He was first accepted into law school in 1971. Upon graduation he worked in the armed forces of the United States and it sure looked like a good time to serve as officers in their respective branches of the service.

Deke Deiter, a captain in the Air Force, is now a third-year Law Review student and was on the Moot Court Board as a 2L. Three people here have accomplished this in a unique way. They are Lawrence "Deke" Dieter, Robert Kilimartine and Charles Pangburn. They have all been in the armed forces of the United States and students at VLS. Upon graduation they all have positions waiting in the military judicial system where they will continue to serve as officers in their respective branches of the service.

Prof. Manning Leaves VLS

Corporate Career Ahead

By Kathy Yesenko

Although Professor Manning's legal background is marked by variety. He graduated from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1971. Upon graduation he worked in the public sector as an Assistant United States Attorney, a Senior Trial Attorney with the EEOC, and as a judicial law clerk. He was also a casualty of modern technology. The first half of our tour of duty would take us from headquarters in Northeast Philadelphia to the 35th precinct district.

When asked about the type of work he has been doing at Sun, Professor Manning explained how the accommodation is "super," and described the architecture as "beautiful," blending into the natural landscaping. The geese that used to live there still come back, he laughs. Professor Manning's legal background is marked by variety. He graduated from the University of Pennsylvania Law School in 1971. Upon graduation he worked in the public sector as an Assistant United States Attorney, a Senior Trial Attorney with the EEOC, and as a judicial law clerk. All of us at VLS will certainly miss Professor Manning, and we wish him the best of luck and success in his new career.
New Courses Offered

By Kevin C. Gleason

Product Liability

This two credit course, offered primarily with the law governing litigation arising from injuries resulting from the use of defective products. Case law provides the basic structure of the course with some interpretation of the Uniform Commercial Code and the Restatement of Torts.

Janet Perry, Esq.

Patents

Patents is another new two credit course offered this semester, focusing on substantive rather than procedural aspects of patent law, such as the requirements of a patentable device, and the rights flowing from a patent. The whole field of patent law is statutorily created, hence a study of patent law entails statutory interpretation and definition as exemplified through case cases.

The course is taught by A. Newton Huff, Esq., an adjunct to the VLS faculty. Mr. Huff completed his study of law from Temple Law School. While engaged in his legal studies in evening classes, Mr. Huff worked for DuPont Corp., as a patent trainee. Upon graduation Mr. Huff continued in his employment with the same patent company. While at DuPont, Mr. Huff was active in over five hundred cases involving such well known products as Nylon. His major area of specialization was the litigation and negotiation of patent interference problems. Forty years after graduating from law school, Mr. Huff retired from his position with Pepper, Hamilton, and Scheibe where she worked full-time from 1976 until 1979. The first products liability case which Ms. Perry handled at Pepper also appears in the casebook. (Gunter v. Volkswagen.)

Ms. Perry married three years ago and recently gave birth to a baby girl. Since the birth of her child, Ms. Perry has continued her work with Pepper in the capacity of an independent contractor on cases with which she is familiar or in areas of her expertise. The course in products liability is taught with emphasis on both practical and academic aspects of the law. Ms. Perry emphasizes, "I like to give a combined academic and practical approach because many law students do not know what to expect in practice."

Moot Court Holds Semi-Finals

By Kenneth Mumma

The semi-final round of the Daimoto Moot Court Competition will be held on February 24. The four competing teams and their partners are Fleming/Gettana, Petitioners v. Sallitto/Arizzo, Respondents, and Goodnow-Balda, Petitioners v. Palfy/Kohn, Respondents.

Among the judges for this semi-final round are Judge Fullman, Judge Ditter, and Judge Gilson, all from the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania. John Hall, a VLS alumnus, and Justice Vita Titone of the Appellate Division of the New York State Supreme Court in Brooklyn will also sit as judges.

The winners of this round will meet in the final round of the competition to be held on March 28.

Faculty Selection Begins

By Mitchell C. Smith

and Wei-Wei Chiu

Resulting from faculty attrition and the need for expansion, the law faculty has involved itself in a time-consuming screening process known as faculty selection. According to Dean Gerald Abraham, the Law School has been authorized to replace Professor Manning, visiting Professor Jaffee, and also hire one or two additional members if any qualified applicants can be found. The process is a long one, involving administration, faculty, and student participation.

First, budgetary authorization is received from the University. Then a faculty recruitment committee chaired by Professor Dowd and consisting of Professors Collins, Dobkins, and Valente screens hundreds of applications on the basis of resumes, recommendations, academic record, scholarly writings, specialization, if any, as well as course evaluations from students if the applicant has taught anywhere.

The survivors of this screening process are invited for interviews with both faculty and students. A small group of students talks to each applicant and then writes a report, individually or collectively, to read aloud at the faculty meeting. No current faculty members are present at these student interviews.

After the interviews, the entire faculty meets and votes on whether to make offers to individual candidates. A decision to make an offer has the status of a "recommendation" to the University Administration that a formal offer be made. The formal offer is then made by the University and the candidate responds.

Besides finding someone qualified and agreeing to the formalities of making an offer, a decision must be reached on who is

Is It Soup Yet?

Thanks to the efforts of Tony Cada and Mrs. Murphy, the Vending Room now has a new Soup Machine. If this is well received, other new machines, including a microwave oven, may follow. So, let's eat that soup!

(Continued on page 6)
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THE DOCKET NEEDS YOU!

The Docket is a monthly newspaper written and published by the students at Villanova Law School. We don't take much or your time and we'd really love to have you join us. Leave a message for Jon Brinkrnt on the Message Board or drop by our office across from Student Services.

Panel discussion Saturday

Ethics Symposium

If a lawyer discovers during a confidential conversation that a client intends to commit a serious crime, what course of action should that lawyer take? Is the attorney required to reveal whatever information might protect the potential victim, or prevent the crime? Is the lawyer prohibited by the client's constitutional rights from divulging any confidential matters? Or, is the decision left up to the individual discretion of the attorney?

The answer depends on which code of professional conduct you consult. The current Code of Professional Responsibility, the American Bar Association's Proposed Model Rules and the American Trial Lawyers Association's (A.T.L.A.) Code of Conduct suggest distinctly different answers to this dilemma, as well as other critical questions lawyers confront daily.

The Villanova Law Review will sponsor a Symposium on Saturday, February 21, 1981 at 2 p.m., which will examine these codes, focusing on the different provisions contained in each and the different philosophies underlying each of them.

Monte Freedman, Professor of Law at Hofstra University School of Law, and reporter for the A.T.L.A. Code will chair group's viewpoint, which essentially sees the lawyer as the client's absolute advocate or "hired gun." Robert McKay, Professor of Law at New York University School of Law and a member of the American Bar Association's Commission on Evaluation of Professional Standards will summarize the A.B.A.'s new proposal, one that favours the lawyer as an officer of the court as well as an advocate for the client. Allen Zerfas, Chief Disciplinary Counsel for the Pennsylvania Supreme Court Disciplinary Board and President of the National Association of Bar Counsel (NOBC), will present the NOBC proposal, which suggests that the current code be revised rather than replaced. Providing the more objective viewpoint of one charged with administering and enforcing a code of ethics will be Alexander Unkovic, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Supreme Court's Disciplinary Board.

Following brief presentations by each of the four panelists, Professor Mary Joe Frug, Professor of Law at VLS, will moderate a debate among the panelists which will include questions and challenges from the audience.

Following the program, a wine and cheese reception will be held in the student lounge. The program is free and open to the public, and all students are encouraged to attend.

The choice that is ultimately made regarding which code of ethics will govern the legal profession will dramatically affect the practice of law in general, and the individual practitioner in particular. This program promises to present a lively and controversial discussion of this critical issue.
STUDENT FORUM

What is your impression of the grading experience in law school?

Law school is the only experience I've had and hopefully the only experience I will ever have where the grade is not necessarily proportional to the effort extended. Greg Henniger, Class of '83.

It's more like a degrading experience. Julie Sebring, Class of '83.

I wasn't surprised because other students and teachers had talked in terms of a grade curve with most centered around average grades. I only hope that as we get to final grades that I'll really be able to know where I stand. Steve Bartolett, Class of '83.

It's frustrating that you put in so much work during the semester and you are evaluated on one day's performance. Mark Hamler, Class of '83.

Dolphole
by hal matchow

It appears to me that this issue recalls the split we covered in contracts last year. Remember the Clash of Philosophies in which the forces of reason triumphed over the structured traditionalism of the Williston School.

Alright, Dolphole, I've had enough of your Corbin and his pseudo rationalistic approach to the law. I suppose you would overturn centuries of carefully reasoned evolution for the idle musings of a lowly contracts professor.

The craggy dogma of Willstonian reactionaries is useless in this hypo. This is a changing world. Belmont and any jurist worth her sheepskin would have to recognise that the Corbin position is unavoidable under these facts.

The dialectic of rationalism may have its appeal, but face it, Dolphole, without predictability in commercial transactions our economic order would crumble. In this instance, business realities dictate Williston, precedent dictates Williston, history....
Student Speaker At Graduation?

Third year students, please note: a proposal that warrants your attention is currently under discussion by the Student/Faculty Committee and the Administration.

Members of the Class of 1981 have proposed that the students be allowed to select a speaker from among the class to give an address on Graduation Day.

This proposal is currently under consideration by Acting Dean Abraham. It is argued that a student speaker would make the graduation ceremonies more meaningful to the Class. On the other hand, it is argued, selecting one student to represent the class as speaker would lead to ill feelings among students not selected and among the parents of those students.

Dean Abraham has indicated he's willing to consider student views on the question before he makes the final decision.

The Dockett urges you to make your feelings known. The graduation ceremonies, after all, are for you. It is our feeling that having a student speaker at the commencement ceremonies would add significantly to the "Rites of Passage." As we go through law school our experiences are not always the same, but there is enough in our trekking first year, overworked second year and bored third year to bind us together and mark us as comrades in arms.

---

Discontets Of Our Winter

We don't know if it's because it's February and that damn groundhog saw his shadow, or if things are falling apart around here all of a sudden, but, could someone tell us —

— why two out of the three IBM copiers are always inoperable, and
— why it's a different pair every day so you're always lined up at the one that's going to balk when it's your turn?

— why there are more cockroaches in the library stacks than there are Supreme Court Reporters on the shelves?
— why the lights in the parking lot are still unlit though there are at least three administrators who have "looked into the matter?" Is it because they can't see what's going on out there either?

— why we are colleagues and Members of the Har, we will have occasion to recall the curmudgeonly professor who taught us first year, the most court competitions of second year and the trials and tribulations of third year and they will be a part of all of us. We think it would be appropriate if this history of ours were recognized by one of our classmates at graduation.

BALSA Salutes Manning

Dear Editor:

James Manning is a gentleman and a scholar, a man respected among and, liked by his peers. An often humble but none the less outstanding person, the epitome of the man that "positive" hard coupled with hard work can lead to success.

Does one adequately communicate all of the kudos that Professor Manning truly deserves? And how does one tackle the question of how his departure will be met, or properly addressed by the law school's administration? This undertaking is easily as ominous as this writer found his first experience with law school exams last semester. But the issues here are not nearly as easy, and the requisite responses to these issues, hopefully, may be less subjectively appraised.

Professor Manning undoubtedly was — and remains — respected, by colleagues and students alike. As much as for the extroversion, energy and feel of Criminal Law that he brought to Villanova, Jim Manning has been regarded highly here for his methodology and ability of the substantive presentation of his courses. It has been stated that Jim Manning took a personal interest, not only in the cognitive capabilities of his students, but also in their ability practically to apply their knowledge. He was not just interested in developing good students; he had a positive impact on developing good lawyers.

James Manning, in addition to his duties as a professor here, served as chairman of the law school's Minority Affairs Committee. He actively counseled those minority students who sought his assistance, and took positive steps towards placing these students on a more equal footing with his colleagues. His efforts in this regard will undoubtedly be missed by those he assisted, particularly as such assistance, as an equalizer, remains necessary for some. Though his approach in this regard may have been considered moderate by some, he was nonetheless the representation of the "efficacy" in the affirmative action here. It is assumed that the administration will continue to "with all deliberate speed" its belief in its publicized commitment to affirmative action, by filling the void created by Professor Manning's departure, with someone equally qualified and just as committed to his students, whether minority or majority students, as Jim Manning demonstrated himself to be.

Law student's examinations are behind us — disasterous results notwithstanding. Now the examination begins administratively. What results? We will tender "grades" accordingly.

Sincerely,

Villanova BALSA

--

Writers Voice Safety Concerns

To the Editor:

The occurrence, during this scholastic year, of the following incidents is cause for concern:

1. One auto has been stolen from the parking lot, two have been stolen, tires have been slashed, a battery has been stolen, and a brick was thrown through the window of an auto.
2. Poison pen letters containing ethnic slurs and obscenities directed at alumnos have been sent via the student message board.
3. A woman's underclothing was stolen from a locker at St. Mary's pool (her bathing suit was taken).
4. A pair of lace panties was left on a woman's windshield.
5. Reports of new results in the law school parking lot and near the train station have circulated. Taken separately, each of these events may seem insignificant, and could almost be described as amusing. However, we feel it well to be aware of these incidents and should be concerned about security. A group of students has met with Administration, and the following proposals were made:

1. Lighting improvement is needed, consisting of (a) replacement or repair of parking lot lights; (b) replacement or repair of courtyard lights; (c) increased lighting in the parking lot and around the building; and (d) increased lighting near the train station.
2. Employment of a full-time security guard.

We hope in publishing this letter it is not to foster panic, but to encourage precaution. In view of the inadequacy of the lighting in the parking lot, it is imperative that individuals, especially women, be aware of where they are and who is around them. While it is painful to accept, the possibility exists that the wrong(s) is a member of the law school community.

Concerned students are asked to sign a petition, located in the SBA Office, in support of the Administration's efforts to improve security. The Administration feels such support is necessary for the law school to obtain those changes from the University. Additionally, it would be appreciated if any persons with knowledge of particular security problems, or suggestions about security improvements, would underwrite or Act as Dean Abraham.

Jane Duffy, Jim Spadaro
Mark van Leuland
Doris Davis
Joe Stapleton
Jami Wintz

--

Jobs Waiting

Bob finds being a LL a "lot of long hours, not unlike the services," and looks forward to an upcoming year as a chance to meet the rest of the first year class. Charlie Findlay, a first lieutenant in the Marine Corps, is a 1978 graduate of the United States Naval Academy at Annapolis. As a participant in the intelligence law education program, Charlie is the only service man at VLS to receive his active duty commission. But all good things have their price and Charlie will have an eight year service commitment when he returns.

For Charlie, law was a natural addition to his career plan. He has served on a Navy police force for 27 years and a police chief when he retired. This background was found to be offered by the Department of the Navy, have brought Charlie to where he looks.

There are many ways to go through law school and some are a lot more difficult than others. These three are in a different career position, but the planning, forethought and commitment required in going through law school as an officer in the military made it clear why they are only a select group of students for this article.

Any student interested in obtaining Work Study support or a National Direct Student Loan must obtain the requisite paperwork from the Financial Aid Office in Kennedy Hall. These materials should be completed as quickly as possible.

State Guardsmen Loans are obtained from your local bank. Check now to see if you are eligible, you may be required to apply. If you are interested in seeking assistance from the Law School, you should contact the Financial Aid Office in Kennedy Hall. These materials should be completed as quickly as possible, ideally by the end of Spring Break. If you have any questions about any of these aid sources, see Mrs. Mannix, Room No. 50. It is important for you to check that all of the appropriate paperwork has been received by the proper office.
Death Row Defense

The number of prisoners on death row across the country is growing and so are the problems that face lawyers who specialize in capital defense. "Nothing about how you look at it, capital defense is not a cozy corner of the legal profession. The clients are from the worst side of the tracks. The money is poor. The emotional toll is great. And, with an even more conservative public becoming increasingly fearful of crime and violence, the stance is no longer popular," writes Pae Hambly Gooldrick in "Counsel for the Condemned," the cover article of the February Student Lawyer, a publication of the American Bar Association.

And Ketchup For All

Is a hamburger a sandwich? Funny you should ask. It just so happens that a New York judge ruled back in October of last summer that the former is a subset of the latter. The question arises when a worker of a Blimpie sandwich shop sued a New York realty company that had assured the shop in a Long Island shopping center. In return, the realty company maintained that Blimpie would be the only sandwich shop in the county as a branch of the state Office of Public Advocacy for Publicity in Kentucky, which runs a "death penalty task force" to assist public defenders throughout the state in defending capital cases.

Also in this issue of Student Lawyer, Greenberg writes about a Chicago center that trains handicapped people to be independent in his article "Helping the Handicapped Help Themselves." Moira Griffins, in "Service with a Smile," looks at the work of the Eight Rivers Days in New York and participates in public interest programs to assist immigrants.

The magazine is the monthly publication of the American Bar Association's Law Student Division.

Have A Ball

The Annual Bernie's Ball will be held at the Falls on April 4, 1981, from 9 p.m. to 2 a.m. The cost will be approximately $7 per person. More details will be announced as they become available.

Women Profs

While women appear to be making great strides in law school faculties, a number of barriers must be removed if they are to become full members of the law school community.

That is the conclusion of a report released today by the American Bar Association's Section of Individual Rights and Responsibilities. The study entitled "The Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual Law School Project" was funded by the National Institute of Education.

The report states that settlements reached by lawyers on behalf of their clients and imposed by court orders produce lasting solutions. Instead, divorced partners "often find themselves running back to court to modify or contest a decree they never really accepted in the first place," Jenkins writes.

Those who have studied the mediation process agree that it resolves these problems. By bringing the couple to the bargaining table to work out a settlement with the assistance of an impartially trained lawyer trained in solving such problems.

Jenkins quotes Jay Folberg, a professor of Oregon's Lewis and Clark Law School and chairman of the relatively new ABA committee on divorce mediation in the Section of Family Law: "Mediation saves time, and it's likely to save the couple money. Most important, they will have a better divorce settlement, one they can live with because they determined the terms themselves."

The California law sets certain specifications for the mediators. They must have a master of arts degree in psychology, social work, or marriage and family counseling, and have an understanding of the California judicial system. They do not have to be lawyers, and most are not.

Although the mediator does not act as advocate or attorney for either side, critics see the potential conflict of interest in the major flaw in the system, particularly if the mediator is a lawyer.

Awards and Scholarships
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Justice Takes Back Seat

(Continued from page 1)

By the time booking procedures had been completed, it was well past the time we were supposed to be in Southwest Philly for the second half of our assignment. After entering the Southwest area, we stopped for a speed chase ensued. This ended when one of the officers pulled out his gun to shoot the tires out (I think). The driver was handcuffed and not much weight was given to his statement that he didn’t realize the officers were police as we were in an unmarked car. Booking procedures were going to last beyond two o’clock so another squad car gave us a ride back to headquarters.

The conversation? The use of guns was discussed with some detail after our first little incident. In spite of Mayor Green’s strictures, (a little white card explaining the appropriate use of deadly force to the officers maintained that they were willing to risk civil suit in order to have the privilege of carrying their guns at the ready. The younger officer had a fellow officer gunned down as he turned the corner after chasing a suspect thought to be unarmed. The older officer had a friend killed in the MOVE shootout and had taken a bullet in the chest during his tour in Vietnam. Civil suit rather than your own life seemed a preferable risk. Furthermore, running with a gun hitting against your thigh slowed one up, the younger officer claimed.

The older officer did not have too much use for the Supreme Court. The only good decision he had heard of was Pennsylvania v. Mims. (Allowing the police to order you out of the car, even for a minor traffic violation). As for Miranda, it did not really affect him as he didn’t want the suspects to talk. If they started talking all it would be was abuse and he could certainly do without that. Let the detective take care of the confessions.

Neither of them thought much of Mayor Green either. Not only was he hamstringing them with regulations but the city government officials all got the new cars. The car we were driving was at least two years old before it was handed over to the department. There was considerable grumbling during the course of the tour about the pathetic shape of the cars yet we were surprised they survived a single evening shift the way the accelerator was pushed, all the sudden reverses we would make. Over the radio we heard that one of the cars another group of Villanova students are riding in did not make it through the tour of duty. It ended up on the front porch of someone’s house after a high speed chase.

The talk often times drifted, moving from Apocalypse Now and the experiences of the older officer in Vietnam to Iran (send ten B-52’s over at 1000 ft. and blow out all the windows, land a C-47, tell them to load the hostages on and if they did not, blow it off the map) to the MOVE incident in which the police should have just shot water at the yelling. As for Miranda, it did not really affect him as he didn’t want the suspects to talk. If they started talking all it would be was abuse and he could certainly do without that. Let the detective take care of the confessions.

Neither of them thought much of Mayor Green either. Not only was he hamstringing them with regulations but the city government officials all got the new cars. The car we were driving was at least two years old before it was handed over to the department. There was considerable grumbling during the course of the tour about the pathetic shape of the cars yet we were surprised they survived a single evening shift the way the accelerator was pushed, all the sudden reverses we would make. Over the radio we heard that one of the cars another group of Villanova students are riding in did not make it through the tour of duty. It ended up on the front porch of someone’s house after a high speed chase.
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Neither of them thought much of Mayor Green either. Not only was he hamstringing them with regulations but the city government officials all got the new cars. The car we were driving was at least two years old before it was handed over to the department. There was considerable grumbling during the course of the tour about the pathetic shape of the cars yet we were surprised they survived a single evening shift the way the accelerator was pushed, all the sudden reverses we would make. Over the radio we heard that one of the cars another group of Villanova students are riding in did not make it through the tour of duty. It ended up on the front porch of someone’s house after a high speed chase.
“Eat your heart out Brooke Shields.” SBA President Paul Dougherty models the latest in VLS tee-shirts. The Student Bar Association will sell you one for $10.

Frank J. Benasutti, VLS '65.

VLS Alum Elected To Board Of Governors

Philadelphia lawyer Frank J. Benasutti, VLS '65, has been elected to the Board of Governors of the Philadelphia Bar Association. Benasutti was elected to the Board by his colleagues last month and began serving a three year term on the Board at the beginning of the new year. President of the law firm of Benasutti Associates, Ltd., Benasutti has been active in Bar Association work for more than a decade. During the past year he has chaired the Association’s Professional Responsibility Committee which conducted a comprehensive survey of the proposed new model rules for professional conduct. He has also chaired the Association’s Fidelity Award Committee and is a Philadelphia Delegate to the House of Delegates of the Pennsylvania Bar Association. He is past Chairman, Vice-Chairman and Secretary of the Committee on Professional Guidance of the Philadelphia Bar Association and has served as a member of that committee for ten years. He also served on the Steering Committee for the Philadelphia Bar Association’s Long Range Planning Conference and is currently Treasurer of the Philadelphia Patent Law Association. He is a past Chairman of the Professional Responsibility Committee of the Patent Law Association and currently a member of the Pennsylvania Bar Association’s Committee on Professional Ethics and Responsibility, and also serves on the Committee on Ethics and Professional Responsibility of the Patent, Trademark and Copyright Section of the American Bar Association.

He is a graduate of the Villanova University School of Law and Drexel University.

Pat Vitullo and Carol McMenamin check the pledge totals during the 1981 Alumni Phonathon.

Judge Robert F. Kelly plays what is a familiar role for him as third year students take on the unfamiliar role of litigator for their trial practice class.

Trial Practice partners Sue Matalucci and Steve Parmer interview their witnesses before meeting with opposing counsel.

Third year student Jay Gebauer takes a jumpshot in a practice session for his intramural team, the Consigliere.

Second year student Joe Gachko shows off his form in an intramural game. He plays for The Runtz.
Professor John Dobbyn

Teaching And Writing His Twin Loves

By Kate Harper

Forget torts. Forget contracts. Forget Con Law. Come with me to a place where a blind man sees better with his fingers than a dozen hardboiled detectives can see with 20/20 vision ... a place where a wilderness doc vows to avenge the murder of his dead brother even as he binds the wounds of the dead man’s killer and the wild winds of the Yukon bowl outside his door.

You won’t find tales like these in dusty stacks of scholarly journals. You won’t find them hiding in themes of Atlantic reporters, either, but you might find them if you venture up to the faculty offices and ask Professor John Dobbyn to lend you a couple of his mystery magazines. Don’t be surprised when you see that the writer of the stories is none other than John Dobbyn himself.

Professor Dobbyn, who began his teaching career here at Villanova 11 years ago, has written a Nuthsell on Injunctions for the West Publishing Company and a book for pre-law students called "Injunctions for the Future - or Becoming a Virtuoso Pianist."

Exam Questions:

Haven’t We Met Before?

By Matthew Wolfe

The first section of the final examination of the Legal Profession was eliminated from consideration in the grading when it was discovered that several students had unintentionally studied the identical questions in preparation for the examination. The examination had two parts, the first part consisting of 25 multiple choice questions and the second part consisting of three essay questions. The 25 multiple choice questions had appeared in the materials given for the Bar Review Course.

Professor Dobbyn had received the questions on the courtroom from the Multi-State Examination, and used them because he wanted to give the students realistic practice on questions which they might see when they actually take the Bar Examination. He said that it was not unusual for professors to take exam questions from sample questions or actual cases. Professor Collins was unaware, however, that BRC had reproduced the same questions, complete with answers, for their Bar Review Course.

Professor Collins was informed of the problem immediately upon reviewing the examination when about a half-dozen students came to his office and said that they had had an unfair academic advantage. Professor Collins responded that he felt that such an admission "was almost good enough to give those students a passing grade for a course dealing with professional responsibility." After thinking the problem over and discussing the matter with Acting Dean Abraham, Professor Collins decided that the only fair thing to do would be to completely disregard the first part of the examination and grade only the second part.

There was a similar problem last year, when Professor Collins’ examination in Legal Profession consisted of five essay questions, two of which were very similar to questions which appeared in the Sample Exam Question section of the Gilbert Law Summary in Legal Ethics. Professor Collins was unaware of this problem until it was brought to his attention after the mix-up this year.

Professor Collins has been very disturbed by the use of study aids by the students with the law professors who contribute to them. He says he does not feel that study aids are necessary to pass class. "I have always allowed him to assume that no one noticed," he said. Professor Collins had been very disturbed by the use of study aids by the students with the law professors who contribute to them. He says he does not feel that study aids are necessary to pass class. "I have always allowed him to assume that no one noticed," he said. Professor Collins had been very disturbed by the use of study aids by the students with the law professors who contribute to them. He says he does not feel that study aids are necessary to pass class. "I have always allowed him to assume that no one noticed," he said. Professor Collins had been very disturbed by the use of study aids by the students with the law professors who contribute to them. He says he does not feel that study aids are necessary to pass class. "I have always allowed him to assume that no one noticed," he said. Professor Collins had been very disturbed by the use of study aids by the students with the law professors who contribute to them. He says he does not feel that study aids are necessary to pass class.

On January 16, 1981, the Student-Faculty Faculty Committee passed a motion regarding this problem which states: "The Student-Faculty Committee would like to express its concerns about the use of study aids. The committee is unaware of the source or extent to which study aids are being used. The committee feels that the proper way in which to learn the law is to consult the study aids to see what questions the students are studying."

Not has he limited himself to the mystery story genre. Starting with a recipe for Grand Marnier "Categorically No. I love teaching, too much," he says, comfortably behind his desk in his faculty office. Though the bookcases are filled with the usual law books, the office has an air of western informality about it. A row of cactus plants on the windowsill, and a clump of green fronds surrounding his desk hint of the Midwest, where he served a stint in the United States Air Force. Pictures of his family include a few photographs of a Chestnut quarterhorse named Chips.

Professor Dobbyn originally hail from Boston. He was educated there at Harvard and Boston College. The Professor and his wife Lois have a son, John, and now live in Valley Forge.

"The Professor checked his watch. The last few seconds to nine-thirty-five ran out."

"Peter Dobbs also candidly admits that he gets a great deal of satisfaction from selling an article or short story to a magazine or newspaper. "I write for fun and profit," he says. He understands well that scholarly writing is very different from spinning a yarn about a detective: "in one, you have to be right and..."