

2014 Decisions

Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit

1-15-2014

USA v. Chezaree Hall

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014

Recommended Citation

"USA v. Chezaree Hall" (2014). 2014 Decisions. 71. https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2014/71

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2014 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

NOT PRECEDENTIAL

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

No. 12-2665

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

CHEZAREE B. HALL, Appellant

On Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania District Court No. 2-11-cr-00473-002 District Judge: The Honorable William H. Yohn, Jr.

Submitted Pursuant to Third Circuit L.A.R. 34.1(a) January 6, 2014

Before: SMITH, SHWARTZ and SCIRICA, Circuit Judges

(Filed: January 15, 2014)

OPINION

SMITH, Circuit Judge.

On a cool fall morning in September of 2010, law enforcement authorities executed a search warrant of, *inter alia*, a house situated at 409 West Second Street, Birdsboro, Berks County, Pennsylvania. Chezaree B. Hall resided in the house with her two minor sons, her significant other, Leon Stanton, and his minor daughter. Because of concerns about illegal weapons in the residence and because law enforcement authorities "wanted to protect the young children from any violence," officers awakened Hall and the other residents of the house early in the morning and claimed that they needed to leave the premises because of a natural gas leak. As Stanton and the other residents left the home, he was arrested. Hall and the children were directed to stand immediately across the street.

A SWAT team member present at the scene noticed that Hall "was clutching her pocketbook very tightly" with "both arms around it." Finding this conduct suspicious, the SWAT member informed a detective of the Pottstown Police Department. The detective thought it unusual that Hall had taken the time to collect her pocketbook, while failing to retrieve a coat for herself or to fully dress her children. Because the detective knew of Stanton's history of violence and because the detective was concerned that guns -- suspected of being in the house -might now be in the pocketbook, he advised Hall that he needed to take her pocketbook. The weight of the pocketbook heightened his concern, and when the detective opened it, he found two guns and a bag containing drugs.

Hall unsuccessfully moved to suppress the evidence seized from her pocketbook, claiming that the search warrant did not authorize searching her. The District Court concluded that the warrant was irrelevant as the detective had searched the pocketbook because he had a "reasonable suspicion that she was armed and dangerous." Supp. app. 51. Thereafter, Hall proceeded to trial and a jury convicted her of committing two controlled substances offenses in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1), (b)(1), 860(a)(1), and 18 U.S.C. § 2, and of possessing a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c) and 18 U.S.C. § 2.

Hall contends the District Court erred in denying her motion to suppress.¹ "We review the District Court's denial of a motion to suppress for clear error as to the underlying factual determinations but exercise plenary review over the District Court's application of law to those facts." *United States v. Stabile*, 633 F.3d 219, 230 (3d Cir. 2011). The District Court did not err. The detective's search was permissible under *Terry v. Ohio*, 392 U.S. 1, 30 (1968).

¹ The District Court exercised jurisdiction under 18 U.S.C. § 3231. We exercise appellate jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.