



---

2023 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

1-18-2023

## In Re: Luis Zayas Cintron

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2023](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2023)

---

### Recommended Citation

"In Re: Luis Zayas Cintron" (2023). *2023 Decisions*. 51.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2023/51](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2023/51)

This January is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2023 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

**HLD-003**

**NOT PRECEDENTIAL**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 22-2645

---

IN RE: LUIS G. ZAYAS CINTRON,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania  
(Related to Civ. No. 2-18-cv-05537)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
November 10, 2022

Before: CHAGARES, Chief Judge, HARDIMAN, and PHIPPS, Circuit Judges

---

(Opinion filed: January 18, 2023)

---

OPINION\*

---

**PER CURIAM**

In September 2022, Luis Zayas Cintron filed a mandamus petition asking us to direct the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania to adjudicate his petition for writ of habeas corpus. After he filed the mandamus petition, the District Court entered a memorandum and order denying his habeas petition. In light of the District Court's action, this mandamus petition no longer presents a live

---

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

controversy. Therefore, we will dismiss it as moot. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996) (“If developments occur during the course of adjudication that eliminate a plaintiff’s personal stake in the outcome of a suit or prevent a court from being able to grant the requested relief, the case must be dismissed as moot.”).