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Fund Drive Launched For School Addition;
Federal Grant Received

On Monday evening, February 3, the Reverend Robert J. Welch, O.S.A., President of Villanova University, appointed three distinguished Philadelphia lawyers as co-chairmen of a fund-raising campaign to offset the cost of a huge addition to the University's School of Law. The occasion was a kick-off dinner for the 18 months necessary to raise the $3.75 million needed for the new building known as the Severini Flowr Hall and attended by more than 100 community leaders and members of the Philadelphia legal profession.

The appointees are former Philadelphia Judges, Nochem S. Winnet; John R. McConnell, Esq.; and William White, Jr., Winnet is a member of the law firm of Fox, Rothschild, O'Brien & Frankel. McConnell is with Morgan, Lewis & Bockius; and White is a member of the law firm of Duane, Morris & Hockenho.

Both Father Welch and Mr. McConnell expressed optimism at the ability of the fund drive committees to meet their goals. He outlined the following major areas of fund solicitation: Legal firms and the Profession; Villanova Law School Alumni; Law School faculty; parents of law students; corporations related to the legal profession; and Foundations.

Funding for the planned additions to the law school's physical facilities was made possible under Title II of the Omnibus Crime Bill. The bill was signed by President Lyndon B. Johnson in 1968, Congress passed what is known today as the Omnibus Crime Bill. The bill was an attempt on Congress' part to alleviate problems facing the police in America today. Title I of the Omnibus Crime Bill dealt with the ways in which the Federal Government could help local law enforcement officials attain a higher degree of sophistication. Title II was concerned with ways to limit certain Supreme Court decisions (i.e., Miranda). Villanova was not unmindful of the Federal Government's interest in better law enforcement. Interest in education was also a concern with the announcement of a $250,000 grant from the Federal government. The grant was awarded to a group of Philadelphia law professors: McKenna and Giannella of the Sociology Department and Professor Louis McKenna and Giannella will be the presiding specialists at three

The seminar is open to all undergraduate, graduate, and police officers, and those wishing to enrich their background. The seminar is devoted to a group discussion of each session is devoted to a lecture, and offered a chance to rebut the session each member of the panel will present to an invited audience a statement of his view on the topic followed by cross discussion with the members of the audience. Revised statements of the panelists will later be published in the summary edition of the Villanova Law Review.

The evening session of the symposium, which will be open to the general public, will consist of the presentations of the communications media such as television, radio, and print, and a general discussion on the issues involved. The symposium will be held Friday, March 14, 1969 in the Law School.

The question is whether the F.C.C. has entered into an unwarranted restriction of First Amendment rights and the public's right of reply in the field of politics and health (anti-cigarette commercials). The discussion will be based on the doctrine "that requires equal time for the opposing side to rebut the statement if a particular person is attacked, he must be notified beforehand, furnished with a copy of the statement, and offered a chance to rebut the statement. This doctrine is also responsible for the F.C.C.'s requirement that television stations broadcast anti-cigarette commercials because cigarette commercials do not expose cigarettes as a potential health hazard. Moreover, the F.C.C. has further stipulated that in the absence of further activity from Congress remedying the situation, it will ban all cigarette commercials after television stations start broadcasting in July. The question is whether the F.C.C. is within its power to set up such stipulations under the Federal Communications Act. The extent of the Federal Communications Commission's power to demand of the communications media such things as equal time for reply in the field of politics and health (anti-cigarette commercials) will be among the issues discussed at the Fifth Annual Law Review Symposium to be held Friday, March 14, 1969 in the Law School.

Participants will take part in a discussion on the "Role of the Federal Communications Commission in Television Programming Regulation." The symposium will be held Friday, March 14, 1969 in the Law School.
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As I See It . . .

BY HAROLD GILL REUSCHLEIN

As I write, the second semester is well under way. One of the exciting features introduced into our instructional program at the beginning of the semester is Professor J. Edward Collins’ new offering in Trial Advocacy. Professor Collins has scheduled ten two-hour sessions on Monday nights on a non-credit basis. Sessions are held in the Courtroom.

The program is designed to give the participants an opportunity to acquire clinical experience in the trial of cases and to gain the guidance of trial attorneys and judges. It is conceived as a bridge between the course on Evidence traditionally offered and the credit course in Trial Practice recently instituted in the third year curriculum. In each of the ten sessions problems are presented dealing with a limited facet of a trial of a case. The students prepare for the sessions, much as a law student would before going to trial, by doing their own research and questioning themselves as to how they would handle the problem. To the maximum extent possible, the sessions are held in the courtroom. Students are divided into groups of seven or eight attorneys and are called upon to demonstrate how the problem would be handled in a courtroom setting. These demonstrations are watched and critiqued by the trial attorneys in the program. All of our attorney guests are distinguished reputations as successful barristers. Partners in the most prestigious Philadelphia law firms are represented.

It is anticipated that it will also make more meaningful the presentation of a prima facie case, the techniques of cross-examination, the examination of expert witnesses and summations.

We have been very fortunate in securing some of the most outstanding members of the Philadelphia trial bar as participants in the program. All of our attorney guests are either fellows of the International Academy of Trial Lawyers, members of bar associations of the College of Trial Lawyers, or have distinguished reputations as successful barristers. Partners in the most prestigious Philadelphia law firms are represented. They include: John J. Goraally, Richard F. DiBiasi, John R. Quirin, A. Gianella by Cyril Gardner, Mr. Gordan, of Wau- lington, Mr. F. Bartley, of City Avenue, and John J.造价, who created the portrait of Professor Collins in the courtroom. The two attorneys who are demonstrating have worked for the Delaware area in the past and are called at random from the group, during which demonstration they are subjected to objections or motions to strike urged on their attorney. At the end of each demonstration the attorneys show how skilled practitioners would handle the same problem. A critique follows the demonstrations.

In the ten sessions there will be covered the basics of direct and cross examination, the preparation of witnesses for trial, the discovery process and the taking of depositions, with the guidance of trial attorneys in the program. The participants are members of the Board of Directors of the Florida Bar Association.

THIRD YEAR CLASS GIFT

The Class of 1969 will present the School with a perpetual scholarship in the name of A. Gianella by Cyril Gardner, Mr. Gordan, of Wau-lington, Mr. F. Bartley, of City Avenue, and John J.造价, who created the portrait of Professor Collins in the courtroom. The two attorneys who are demonstrating have worked for the Delaware area in the past and are called at random from the group, during which demonstration they are subjected to objections or motions to strike urged on their attorney. At the end of each demonstration the attorneys show how skilled practitioners would handle the same problem. A critique follows the demonstrations.

In the ten sessions there will be covered the basics of direct and cross examination, the preparation of witnesses for trial, the discovery process and the taking of depositions, with the guidance of trial attorneys in the program. The participants are members of the Board of Directors of the Florida Bar Association.
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Registrar, Girls Friday Reveal Interests

Coming up the main steps of the Law School we cannot fail to see the Administration Office. And if the double doors of the office are open we can spy Karen Conaboy either busily answering the telephone or distributing the latest hand-out in Civil Pro or Labor Law. But behind Karen hidden behind the partition is the rest of the staff—Marie Speece, Danielle deLuca, Pat Murphy, Miss Lindsay, Mrs. Inez Bentzig and Barbara Foley.

Just who are those seven girls that staff the Administration Office?

Karen Conaboy, we all know. She's the one that counts heads in all those first year classes to make sure everyone is there. Karen also knows how to get the wives of many of our students, since she gets to take those frantic urgent messages that they call in while hubby is in class. For being our own private answering service we say. Thank you, but be careful if you ever get behind her VW on the road—she hasn't quite learned to shift gears and has a practice of rolling back into the car behind her.

Danielle deLuca has been with the Law School for three years, graduating this February to retirement and motherhood. Danielle has been the admissions secretary.

One thing all the girls in the office have in common is love of basketball and they rarely miss a Villanova game. But they are not all just rooters. Pat Murphy coaches the CYO girls team at the Annunciation Church. And, in the long run, the game is second in its league and expects to win the play-offs this year. No wonder coaching she manages, along with Marie Speece, to take dictation from our distinguished faculty on the third floor.

The newest addition to the office personnel is Mrs. Inez Bentzig. She also works as a faculty secretary. Prior to coming to Villanova, Mrs. Bentzig worked in New York City as an executive secretary. She currently spends a great deal of her leisure time polishing her talents as a portrait painter.

Barbara Foley is Vice Dean Burch's secretary, and can usually be seen busily typing away as we walk down the hall. This lucky girl spent three weeks in October in England, Scotland, Ireland, and Wales; and had, we say, it a marvelous time. More recently she appeared as a grieving widow in a third year trial practice class. This was Barbara's first time in a courtroom of any kind and she found it interesting and "sorta" like it is on TV. We are all familiar with the initials M.L.L. at the bottom of our grade sheets. Those initials belong to Miss Mary Louise Lindsay, the Law School Registrar, who was manager of the admissions office and went to see her. But as busy as she was she took time out to tell us about her trip to Hawaii and California last May. She loved Hawaii and the outer islands, explaining how beautiful everything was there. While in Hawaii, Miss Lindsay managed to take time out to call Jim Burns, a '62 alumnus of the Law School and the son of the present governor of Hawaii. Diné was also on her itinerary and she liked it so much she ended up spending the whole day there. And while in San Francisco she remembers meeting the very famous Tom Henry '65 and called him.

In seeing how busy and active our office gets every day, one wonders what Bob and Janie do to get grades out when we all take time off to enjoy the sun. They all deserve to be nominated Secretary of the Day on WIP!!

A signature of SAFETY

A long-established name, a recognized leader familiar to all in the industry, stands as the paramount symbol of safety in the insurance of titles to real estate. This is indeed the true image of Commonwealth Land Title Insurance Company. Though enjoying an outsize, recognize, develop and adopt sound ideas to a thought, an idea ... then on to fulfillment.

Department of Defense agency designated to Traffic Management and Terminal Handling an occasional court-marshaled him some contact with the law. Jeffrey L. Michelman '67, a former alumni editor of the DOCKET, and his wife, Janie, became the proud parents of Jill Leslie, 6 lb. 13 oz., on August 29, 1968. Captain Michelle is presently the Command Judge Advocate of the St. Louis Field Office, Military Traffic Management and Terminal Service. MTM's is a Department of Justice, refining agency, out width, direct, control and manage movement of all military traffic in the United States.

Joseph M. O'Malley '67 and his wife, Mary Ann, are proud to announce the birth of their first child, Jennifer Maria, on December 29, 1968. Joe is currently serving as a Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation in Fayetteville, N. C.

Robert W. Dowler '68, a former associate editor of the DOCKET, is engaged to Mary Jane Moloney whom he has known since August. Janie is teaching French at the Havre de Grace High School in Bel Air, Maryland. Both Bob and Janie are graduates of St. Francis College, Locust, Pa. Bob is presently associated with a general practitioner of law in Morristown, New Jersey.

The MAGNIFICENT SEVEN: Left to right, Karen Conaboy, Barbara Foley, Mrs. Danielle deLuca, Miss Mary Louise Lindsay, Marie Speece, Mrs. Inez Bentzig and Pat Murphy.

A report issued by the Committee on Curriculum of the Association of American Law Schools, the Chairman, Charles J. Meyers, of Stanford University, has called for sweeping changes in the present structure of legal education in the United States. In its study, "The Committee has come to believe that legal education is in a crisis and that fundamental changes must be made soon. It is not only that law students over the country are not being prepared for the point of open revolt, but also that law faculties themselves, particularly the younger members, share with the students the view that legal education is too rigid, too uniform, too narrow, too repetitious and too expensive. In addition, law schools have not faced the consequences of the fact that the profession is rapidly becoming specialized, a fact that has important implications for the law school's training mission, not only in the three years of formal law study but also thereafter."

Meyers' diagnosis of the law school problem begins with the observation that the schools suffer from rigidity in that students generally study nothing but law; and an overly theoretical study, at that. Little provision, he feels, is made for courses which might supplement legal studies, and, with the exception of summers, no provision is made for a combination of academic with practical experiences.

A closely related problem is uniformity—that is, not only within a given law school but also among all law schools. Students are doing nearly the same thing in terms of both the content and method of courses.

Aridity of rigid and uniform curricula, the narrowness of legal education programs in the students' view, a distinct problem. Course requirements inhibit the pursuit of areas of interest and prevent specialization. Going further, he says that the case method inspired "Socratic" technique has "made law teaching a superficial, of exploiting knowledge of other disciplines which does not readily lend itself to Socratic exposition."

As for the effectivness of the case method itself, Meyers ingeniously characterizes it as "boring and repetitious." But although better and more varied methods are available, it indicates that no method used before groups as large as law school classes can effectively stimulate individual written and oral expression. Consequently, an important consideration of curriculum planning would be a search for new means of financing legal education to take advantage of the benefits smaller classes allow.

The report questions as well the length of legal education. "The argument that three years is necessary to cover the courses essential for every lawyer to know (sometimes referred to) has been argued largely, although better and more varied methods are available, he indicates that no method used before groups as large as law school classes can effectively stimulate individual written and oral expression. Consequently, an important consideration of curriculum planning would be a search for new means of financing legal education to take advantage of the benefits smaller classes allow."

The local consideration of matters such as Meyers dealt with is in the lap of the Curriculum Committee, chaired by Professor Gerald Abraham. Planning at Villanova seems to indicate that the anticipated many of Professor Meyers' findings—"is evidenced particularly in the recent modification of the elective system for second and third year students. The few "Chinese menu" area requirements notwithstanding, we have today one of the most liberal course selection systems in American law schools.

The elective trend, according to Professor Abraham, is underlain by the philosophy that the student, once given general training in basic legal methods, can more profitably spend his time pursuing courses in which he has the greatest interest. Because this freer choice allows for a greater degree of specialization if the student wishes, improvements in the order and structure of the courses are centered upon the establishment of more courses—both in existing areas and in areas newly opened by an awareness of the school's potential social utility.

Content and methodology of courses, Professor Abraham indicated, must be determined by the traditional independence of law professors to present their courses as they wish. He feels, however, that new methods will be considered.

Continued on Page 6, Col. 4.
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Profiling in the last Docket edition Mr. Howard Lurie, the first Civil Rights attorney to make a meaningful contribution to the legal profession in Pennsylvania. It is hoped that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation. Presently, there are law schools offering for credit seminar programs which include some clinical experience; others have clinical programs for which credit is not given. None of the presently established programs in Pennsylvania include trial experience. Establishment of a clinical trial program for law students in Pennsylvania. It has been expected that material gleaned from the Symposium will materially aid the formulation.

Poverty And Law Workshop Held

On Monday, February 24th, a workshop in poverty and the law was held at the Law School. The program was especially designed to acquaint first year law students with the problems and the Law School's role in this area. Villanova Community Legal Services, the student poverty law organization on campus, sponsored the program. At 1:00 P.M. the movie, "Justice For All" was shown. This film describes the need for legal services among the poor and the efforts that have been made to meet this need. The film, shown last year, was very well received by the students.

Following the film, representatives from the Public Defenders Association, Community Legal Services of Philadelphia, as well as representatives from Delaware County, Montgomery County described their programs. The work of Villanova Law School students on a volunteer part-time basis with these agencies was discussed at length.

As 2:30 P.M. a reception was held in the lounge at which time the students had an opportunity to meet and speak with the visiting lawyers and with students who had worked with the poverty law programs in this area.

Pittsburgh And D.C. Alumni Meet

The Villanova Law School Alumni have been active in several eastern metropolitan areas early in this new year. The Pittsburgh Alumni, numbering twelve, gathered at an informal luncheon held at the Pittsburgh Hilton Hotel on Thursday, January 24th, in conjunction with the three day Pennsylvania State Bar Convention also held at that hotel. Mr. Thomas J. Ward,泉 of the Reimel Moot Court Competition, was attended by the Dean and Mrs. Beuschlein and Professor Stephenens. Dean Beuschlein spoke to the group of alumni interested in the recent happenings at the Law School including the plans for the building of the new wing, a project slated for the near future. Mr. Ward felt that the affair was a success in so far as the number of Alumni in attendance and the number of alumni that of three years ago and because it provided a good opportunity to renew acquaintances.

Closer to home, Mr. James McHugh, Esq. of Washington, D.C., was responsible for planning the Law Alumni Dinner for the Villanova Alumni located in that area. Held at the International Club at Washington's International Club, thirty alumni and their spouses were in attendance in addition to Dean Beuschlein. Mr. David Flit, President of the Alumni As- sociation, There was no formal address; rather it was an informal address. Following dinner, a film on the activities of the three law schools and the current events at the Law School, a showing of newsreels, and a socializing in general.
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As I SEE IT

(Continued from Page 8)

On Friday, March 28, the Board of Consultants to the School of Law will make its annual visitation to the School. Saturday, April 12, will see Garey Hall with the final argument in the Reimel Appellate Moot Court Competition. The fortunate finalists will have a memorable experience in arguing to a distinguished bench. As President and Dean Reuschlein stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium. Kennedy further stated that CLS attorney Baron will have a distinguished panel of judges who will be chosen from the Symposium.

Spring Semester

1970

1 January, Monday

2 March, Wednesday

1 April, Wednesday

17 April, Friday

24 April, Friday

5 May, Tuesday

17 May, Sunday

15 May, Monday

1970

2 September, Tuesday

2 December, Monday

5 December, Friday

9 December, Tuesday

12 December, Wednesday

25 December, Tuesday

Spring Semester

Classes resume 9 A.M.
Classes resume 9 A.M.
Mid-term Baccalaureate begins 9 P.M.
Final Examinations begin, Second period ends
Reading Period begins 5 P.M., First Year
Final Examinations begin, First Year
Class Day, Third Year
Commencement

Classes resume 9 A.M.
Classes resume 9 A.M.
Mid-term Baccalaureate begins 9 P.M.
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Reading Period begins 5 P.M., First Year
Final Examinations begin, First Year
Class Day, Third Year
Commencement
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Barristers' Brides Present Film On Ghetto Life

On February 15 in room 101 of the law school, the Barristers' Brides sponsored a film on juvenile delinquency and life in the ghetto. About 75 persons attended the film, which was shown at 4:30. Following a question and answer session afterwards, the film makers and actors "tell it like it is."

Members of the Barristers' Brides and their husbands are invited to a cheese and wine tasting party to be held in the lounge on March 21 at 8 p.m. The charge will be a donation of $1.50 per couple.

President of the Club, Mrs. Gorden Aydelott, wishes to announce that the club's final meeting this year will be a fashion and fashion show at Altman's on April 12 at noon. A letter of invitation will be sent to the wives of law students and faculty, and invitees are urged to return their reservations early.

The officers and members of the organization deserve thanks and congratulations for making this the most active year yet for the Barristers' Brides at Villanova Law School.

Vill. L. Rev. (Continued from Page 1)

The obstacles which may be encountered are legion and run the gamut from apathy to open hostility with arguments contra phrased in terms of economics, professional standards, and lack of educational merit. The methods of implementation will depend, of course, on the specifics of each program. Essential to any program, however, will be the active support of Bar Associations, both on a local and a state level. Data from the Symposium and related materials from many other sources will be compiled in handbook form and distributed to the six participating law schools. Credit must be given to Chairman Kennedy and the members of his committee for their long range planning and preparations which have won the a seminar. Thanks to their efforts the program is an assured success.

The Symposium will be open to the public and all Villanova Law School students are especially urged to attend and to participate.

Compliments of
Robert M. Bean
GIRARD TRUST BANK
VILLANOVA OFFICE
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FINE FOOD, WINE AND MUSIC HIGHLIGHT SBA ANNUAL DINNER DANCE

Valentine's Day 1969 was a very special day on the law school social calendar. February 14 was the date for the annual Student Bar Association Dinner-Dance held this year at the Radnor Valley Country Club. The choice of the site and format for the affair was in general response to a questionnaire which was handed out earlier to the student body by the S.B.A.

For many the evening began about eight o'clock with a cocktail hour which christened the festive mood that continued throughout the evening. Shortly thereafter the call to dinner was answered with a noticeable lack of reticence. Appetizers were whetted and subsequently satisfied by the meal which followed. The entrée of roast beef was preceded by a fruit cup appetizer and was more than adequately complemented by a serving of green beans and a baked potato. A final complement to the savory repast was provided by a light red wine.

Following dinner approximately 100 aspiring attorneys and their dates or wives spent the next several hours "tripping the light fantastic." As is usually the case on such occasions, some would-be "Fred Astairs" faded out early while others continued to dance through to the last strains of My Funny Valentine which brought down the curtain on the evening's activities at about 1 a.m.

Included in the numbers present and helping to make the evening a complete success were the Dean and Mrs. Reuschlein, the Vice-Dean, and several members of the faculty and their wives. Certainly for all present the hours passed too quickly as the passage of time demanded a return to the more rigid discipline of the law.

ALUMNI

Subscribe to Villanova Law Review now. An invaluable tool in your practice. Only $5 per year.

Please fill out the following form and return.

Name ___________________________ Class ____________
Address _________________________

(please make check or money order payable to Villanova Law Review)

Seminar (Continued from Page 1)

Villanova's regular session, Law students may audit the course if they so desire, but will not receive credit for the course. Professor Giannella said that so far the response has been very good, and that there seems to be a general overall interest in the program. Many local police departments expressed great enthusiasm for the program and many police officers have enrolled in it. When asked if he thought the program would have any real, meaningful effect on police work, Professor Giannella suggested that the police cannot be harmed by a broader background, and the long-run effect can only be beneficial to the police. Professor Giannella obviously does not look at the seminar as the panacea for all police-community problems, but he does view it as a step in the right direction.

FUND DRIVE (Continued from Page 1)

The subject of these efforts will be a U-shaped three-story facility located at the rear of the present structure. Villanova's law building was constructed in 1907 and was designed to accommodate 100 students. Over 400 are presently enrolled. The planned addition will expand facilities and permit the enrollment of over 500 students.

Dean Reuschlein calls the addition "groundbreaking for the new facility will take place on Class Day, Sunday, May 11. Father Walsh indicated during the kick-off dinner,
On Friday, March 7, 1969, scores of Ganny Hall’s graduates reassembled at the Marriott Motor Lodge on South Avenue, Bala Cynwyd, Pennsylvania to join in the Annual Alumni Dinner, an event which has evolved into one of the Law School’s finest traditions.

The undoubted highlight of the evening was the excellent address by the distinguished President of the American Bar Association, William T. Gossett, Esq. Mr. Gossett is a nationally known lawyer who has held high posts in business, government as well as in law in a professional career that began with his admission to the bar in New York in 1923.

He became the Association’s 52nd President on August 15, 1968 as the conclusion of the annual meeting in Milwaukee.

The guests included, among others, the Reverend Robert J. Walsh, O.F.M., University President, and members of the University Trustees and Board of Consultants to the School of Law. In addition, the main speech of the evening by Mr. Gossett, the group was addressed by Dr. William S. Buckholts and the Law Alumni President, Lawrence F. Flick, ’62.

Mr. Gossett, 63, is a former vice-president, general counsel and director and member of the executive committee of Ford Motor Company. He resigned that post in 1962 to devote more time to public service activities. The following year, at the request of President Kennedy, he accepted appointment as Deputy Special Representative for Trade Negotiations to the U.S. Ambassador in Paris. In that post he served under the Secretary of State Christian A. Herter in the foreign trade negotiations which led in 1967 to the adoption of new tariff rates.
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