PONTIFICAL RED MASS IS CELEBRATED

Address By John Fisher President

The annual Red Mass of the School of Law of Villanova University was celebrated on Friday, October 26 in the chapel of the Church of the Immaculate Conception. By tradition the University invited the President of the University to read the Red Mass. The annual Red Mass is celebrated by Bishop Joseph M. Yeom of Homan, China. The Reverend John A. Kieckhofer, O.S.A., president of Villanova University, assisted as Archpriest. Reverend Robert J. Welch, O.S.A., Dean of the College of Arts, was Deacon and Reverend Philip F. Barrett, O.S.A., Dean of the College of Commerce and Finance, was the Subdeacon. The Mass was sung by the Villanova Singers, an all-male choir composed of undergraduate students, under the direction of Mr. Herbert F. flown. The choir was accompanied by our own Harold Gill Riehle, Dean of the Law School, on the organ.

The Preacher for the Mass this year was the Very Reverend Charles J. Lesack, O.C.S.O., president of Saint John Fisher College in Rochester, New York. Father Lavery emphasized the duty of judges and lawyers to strive to resolve the problems confronting modern American society. Equality and justice need not be limited to the抽象 and further evolution; rather, they as members of a great profession can make these virtues a reality by affording the Negro his rightful due before the law in particular and in society in general. Also, the unjust and the indigent, as the Supreme Court has so recently stated, are not to be cast in the role of second-class citizens, but are to be maintained in the position given them by the Constitution of this land and the law of God.

Upon the conclusion of the Mass, the congregation sang as a recessional the Alma Mater and our National Anthem.

Villanova Law Conference Examines Problems 28 Colleges and Participates

The Dean and Faculty of the Law School presented a conference on December 11 and 12, in Garey Hall, entitled "Today's Law School—Its Demands and Its Powers." After a welcome address from Dean Harold G. Reuschlein, thirty-one guests from six states, "sat-in" on typical classes in Contracts and Evidence with Professor J. Edward Collins and Gerald Abraham providing respectively.

Two speakers who drew on first-hand observation in Communist China and Harlem as the basis for their plea for justice, stimulated Villanova Law Forum audiences at November and January sessions.

Professor W. Allyn Rickett of the Department of Oriental Studies of the University of Pennsylvania described "The Quest for Justice" in Communist China Wednesday night. At the earlier lecture William Stringfellow, author of several books and a white attorney who chose to practice law in Harlem, departed somewhat from his announced topic, "Race, Poverty and the Law," to discuss the disappointing progress the tactics of non-violence have produced for the American Negro.

A noted sinologist, Professor Rickett described his experiences after being accused of espionage in China in 1948. As holder of a Fulbright grant he was in China to study current Chinese philosophy of justice and its practice.

Stringfellow Speaks

In the Stringfellow address, the growth of hatred between races in America, France, Italy and the London School of Economists compared the feelings of those who observed the "state of the racial crisis" to those who experienced the same feeling of "acute fatigue" regarding the Korean War. He marked the need for white people to "understand the Negro Revolution, instead, since the exile from American society of 22 million citizens who are Negroes is bound to threaten the stability of society."

An Episcopal layman who put into practice what he regarded as a directive to find "redemptive love in the law" in the words of 2 Timothy: "Take your share of suffering as a good soldier in Christ Jesus," Stringfellow feels that the kind of change needed in the ordinary Negro's life goes beyond the provisions or capacities of the Civil Rights Act. Since non-violence has not produced significant change, more aggressive and explosive methods are in the offing. In his view.

Question Period

During the question and answer period which followed his formal remarks, the attorney characterized Martin Luther King as "the best friend the white man ever had," and Adam Clayton Powell Jr. as "true to his word."

The Board of View Hearing Held At Law School

The law school augmented its program of forums and panel discussions by presenting a Board of View Hearing. The Board of View, brought to the law school by the initiative of Mr. J. Carmen, professor of Land Use Planning, was attended by students from the three classes in the law school and by other interested observers from outside the University, November 17.

The Board is an administrative agency which hears complaints concerning violation of the Rules and Regulations. It is appointed by the judges of the Common Pleas Court to view the complaints and examine the compensation due the property owner, in pursuance of the General Assembly's provision "Just compensation for the taking of private property for a public use." (Continued on Page 4)
From the Dean's Desk

As I See It . . .

By Harold Gill Reuschlein

As I write, the new semester is imminent. It will be a busy time in and about Garey Hall.

During the semester, Professor William Hall Painter will be away as Visiting Professor at the University of Michigan. His courses in Criminal Law and Federal Income Taxation will be offered by Donald M. Collins, Esquire of Media who is affectionately remembered by all of our alumni who attended Law School between 1954 and 1959, during which five-year period he was a valued member of our full-time faculty. We are fortunate to have him with us next semester.

From the very inception of the School, our Student Bar has sponsored annually "The Law School Dinner." There have been fifty dinners and each has proved to be a grand occasion. With the graduation of our first class in 1966, the Dinner became a joint Alumni Student Dinner. With the growth of the student body and the increasing size of graduating classes the joint Alumni-Student dinner appears to be no longer feasible. As a result, we shall, this semester, witness two separate dinners in its stead.

On Thursday, February 11, we shall have our first dinner sponsored by and limited to the alumni and their invited guests. The dinner is to be held in the Library or lounge of Garey Hall on the Villanova campus. Our principal speaker is to be the Honorable Raymond P. Shafer, Lieutenant Governor of the Commonwealth. He will be introduced by the Attorney General of the Commonwealth, the Honorable Walter E. Alessandroni. The dinner will be preceded by a cocktail hour at Garey Hall. You will be advised fully about details in a letter from the Dinner Chairman, Robert H. Ford, '63. Be sure to mark your calendar now for Thursday, February 11, promises to be a grand evening and a wonderful reunion.

On Friday, February 26, Judge Hastie of the United States Court of Appeals will be our guest, addressing the Law Forum. His topic is "Some Realities of Our Contemporary Federalism."

Saturday, March 13, will see Garey Hall abuzz with the final argument in the Reimel Appellate Court Competition. The distinguished Bench for the argument will be graced by Mr. Justice Potter Stewart of the Supreme Court of the United States as Chief Justice. His associates will be Justice Michael J. Egan, Supreme Court of Pennsylvania and Judge William F. Smith of the United States Court of Appeals, Third Circuit.

On the following Saturday, March 20, the Advocates Ball will hold sway at the Falcon House on West Chester Pike. This year the Student Bar has programmed a most attractive dinner dance. I know many of our alumni and their ladies will want to attend and they will be welcome.

Sidney Wise of Franklin and Marshall, back from an interesting year in Washington, spoke in the Law Forum on the question, "Can Congress Save Itself?"

The Law Review (Volume 10 this year) celebrates its decennial year. On Friday, April 2, the Law Review will sponsor a colloquium. The focus for that semester is a recently published, "The Morality of Law" by Professor Lon Fuller of Harvard. Professor Fuller will be here and with him will be Professor Dworkin of the Yale Law School and Professor Marshall Cohen, currently teaching philosophy at Yale and Princeton. Later in April, at a date yet to be determined, we shall

Law Review's Tenth Anniversary
Marked By Quality And Quantity

"The entire board of editors is delighted with both the "quality" and "quantity" of the material in this first edition," said William B. Freilich, Editor-in-Chief, about Volume X, Number 1 of the Law Review, which was distributed just before Christmas vacation.

Freilich expressed the Board's satisfaction with the initial writing efforts of the second year students. The competitive system which was installed by the editorial board of Volume I has produced high quality work, resulting in the publication of twelve case notes—more than any other edition.

As I See It . . . (Cont.)

have the annual dinner for alumni and present members of the Law Review, at Garey Hall.

And on June 7, we shall be receiving Mr. Justice Goldberg of the Supreme Court of the United States as our speaker at the University Commencement.

So you see, it will be a busy spring in this volume. But I think the point of all this is that we want many of our alumni aboard for each of these events. Mark your calendars now!

Congratulations are in order for George S. Ford, Jr., '58, newly elected president of the Villanova Law Alumni Association and to all who serve with him as officers of the Villanova Law Association. Shortly, George Ford will be selecting his Annual Giving Chairman, as we hope to inaugurate this year's Annual Giving at an earlier date—hopefully in February.

This is a thrilling year at the School. We are beset with many and difficult problems—but they are difficult problems which beget joy rather than worry, because they are the problems which attend upon the growth of a vigorous institution. In the next issue, I propose to discuss some of these problems, both for your information and in the hope of helping you receive your help by way of suggestions. For now—may we all have a happy and blessed 1965.

HISTORY OF VILLANOVA LAW SCHOOL

PART IV

From the School's initial faculty of six, it has grown in ten years to fifteen full and part time professors. Some of the original faculty are still with us, namely Dean Reuschlein and Professor Stephenson.

A majority of the school's faculty are alumni of Harvard Law School, including Professors Dowd, Stephenson, Giansella, Allen and Kurz. Other schools represented are Yale with Dean Brackenhurst, Boston College with Mr. Collins, Catholic University with Mr. Murray, Pennsylvania with Messrs. Liebert and Donald Collins. Villanova has contributed Mr. Cleary and Messrs. Smith and Stockman.

The most important single phenomenon in the School's expansion has been the rapid increase in its library. From the very start a law library second to none was planned. For this a person with wisdom and ability and influence had to be chosen.

Arthur C. Pulling, former librarian at Harvard Law Library, was chosen to begin our voluminous collection. He has no easy task, but, Doctor Pulling had many friends and associates who were in a position to donate or secure many thousands of volumes for the benefit of the Law School. This was the secret of its great success in having such a large collection of legal volumes and treatises in such a very short time.

In the first four years of the Law School's existence, Doctor Pulling was able to secure over sixty thousand volumes. Today the Villanova law student should be deeply grateful. Our present librarian, Miss Jane Hammond has done an excellent job in her short ten years.

Of course, with an increased law faculty, additional students and, a larger circulation, the need for natural that more courses be added to the curriculum. The basic courses have not changed over the years.

Rather, certain elective courses were offered which are seldom taken. It was only a natural that more courses be added to the curriculum. The basic courses have not changed over the years.
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Alumni News From the Field

Reunions, Births, Relocations Noted

Daughters were born to two members of the class of 1964. On November 5, Tracy and Al Massey, '64 had a baby whom they have named Lisa. Two days later in Los Angeles, Stacey was born to the Joe Shanahan, '64.

Melissa Monroe was born to Mr. and Mrs. John Freta, '64 on December 5. John now has three women to cope with (Melissa has a 2 1/2-year-old sister). Mr. and Mrs. Thomas A. Ceciottino, '81, had a son, Thomas Anthony, Jr., on November 24.

A son, William Edwin, was born to Mr. and Mrs. Edwin W. Scott, '61.

Two daughters were born to Mr. and Mrs. John M. Regan, '59. Their names are Catherine Ann and Theresa Louise.

Maureen and Jerry Lally, '63, announce the birth of a son, Gerald. The Lallys live in Jersey City, N.J.

On November 21, Joseph F. Buscaca, '66, married the former Charlotte Laspiia, Cabrini, '64. Deeply tanned after a honeymoon in Puerto Rico, Joe resumes his studies and Charlotte continues teaching at the Hancey Day School for trainable retarded children in Norristown.

David C. Drew, '67, was married on Nov. 26 to Malinda Cant, a nurse.

William James Gallagher, '63, was married June 28 to Adrienne Marie Donaghue in the Holy Child Church in Philadelphia. Adrienne, an alumnus of Chestnut Hill College, did graduate work at Temple University.

Dennis M. Nalick, '64, is now associated with John P. Trevor and Associates in Media.

Two Villanova alumni, Edward G. Makar, '68 and Kenneth N. Garber, '63, have become associated with the firm Costigan and Nulty.

Frances H. Gelch, '60, was sworn in Sept. 4 as a special assistant attorney general for the State Insurance Department. Francesh practices law with C. L. Green, Jr.

Captain Peter J. O'Brien, '82, recently received the Certificate of Achievement from Army Chief of Staff General Myer for the excellent fulfillment of his assigned duty as counsel to the office of Judge Advocate of the Sixth United States Army.

John D. Trainor, '63, has moved his operations from Quaunton, Va., to Owings Drive, Santa Ana, Calif.

Albert P. Massey, '64, is engaged in the general practice of Law at 23 South Valley Road, Poquoson, Va., and 16 West Market Street, West Chester, Pa.

Edward J. Carey, '59, is presently associated with the firm of Leap, Friendman, Watson, Bryant, and Labrum, in Delaware County.

William H. Pugh, IV, '91, formerly of the Philadelphia Bar, is now associated with the firm of DeAngelis, Tredinnick and Giaggiolo, Montgomery County.

Pennsylvania Supreme Court Adopts New Rule

Griffith Case Alters Course of Conflicts

The Pennsylvania Supreme Court has adopted a new rule changing the "place of accident" rule, which sets an important field of law.

It is expected to have great impact in all sorts of litigation in which a choice must be made between different state or other laws that might apply.

The bare facts of the Pennsylvania case in a year growing out of an airline crash, have handed down a decision that is widely considered a milestone in an important field of law.

The facts are as follows: a Pennsylvania citizen bought a ticket in Philadelphia for a right to Phoenix, Ariz. He was among 17 passengers killed on July 13, 1961, when the plane veered off the runway, while making a scheduled stop at Denver, and burst into flames as soon as the airplane was set.

The plaintiff, an attorney for the family, stated that the airline was at fault for the accident, and that the rule of the Pennsylvania law was the proper one to apply in a situation like this.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adoption of a traditional "choice of laws" rule. There has been a definite trend away from the "place of accident" rule in recent years.

A large number of courts, in breaking with tradition, have devised more flexible criteria than "place of the accident,"" said the court, and to cite two prime examples, in choosing whose laws to apply.

But none of the opinion of legal scholars, has rejected the tradition. All rules in this general field have been fashioned as the court noted, in the mold of the accident case. Five judges agreed on the verdict with only Chief Justice John C. Bell, Jr., dissenting.

The majority expressed the opinion that the strict law of the place of the accident was not desirable, and they felt that the plaintiffs viewpoint, the trouble with the "place of accident" rule was that Colorado had a $25,000 the damages that could be won. There is no such limit in Pennsylvania.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adoption of a traditional "choice of laws" rule. There has been a definite trend away from the "place of accident" rule in recent years.

A large number of courts, in breaking with tradition, have devised more flexible criteria than "place of the accident,"" said the court, and to cite two prime examples, in choosing whose laws to apply.

But none of the opinion of legal scholars, has rejected the tradition. All rules in this general field have been fashioned as the court noted, in the mold of the accident case. Five judges agreed on the verdict with only Chief Justice John C. Bell, Jr., dissenting.

The majority expressed the opinion that the strict law of the place of the accident was not desirable, and they felt that the plaintiffs viewpoint, the trouble with the "place of accident" rule was that Colorado had a $25,000 the damages that could be won. There is no such limit in Pennsylvania.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adoption of a traditional "choice of laws" rule. There has been a definite trend away from the "place of accident" rule in recent years.

A large number of courts, in breaking with tradition, have devised more flexible criteria than "place of the accident,"" said the court, and to cite two prime examples, in choosing whose laws to apply.

But none of the opinion of legal scholars, has rejected the tradition. All rules in this general field have been fashioned as the court noted, in the mold of the accident case. Five judges agreed on the verdict with only Chief Justice John C. Bell, Jr., dissenting.

The majority expressed the opinion that the strict law of the place of the accident was not desirable, and they felt that the plaintiffs viewpoint, the trouble with the "place of accident" rule was that Colorado had a $25,000 the damages that could be won. There is no such limit in Pennsylvania.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adoption of a traditional "choice of laws" rule. There has been a definite trend away from the "place of accident" rule in recent years.

A large number of courts, in breaking with tradition, have devised more flexible criteria than "place of the accident,"" said the court, and to cite two prime examples, in choosing whose laws to apply.

But none of the opinion of legal scholars, has rejected the tradition. All rules in this general field have been fashioned as the court noted, in the mold of the accident case. Five judges agreed on the verdict with only Chief Justice John C. Bell, Jr., dissenting.

The majority expressed the opinion that the strict law of the place of the accident was not desirable, and they felt that the plaintiffs viewpoint, the trouble with the "place of accident" rule was that Colorado had a $25,000 the damages that could be won. There is no such limit in Pennsylvania.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adoption of a traditional "choice of laws" rule. There has been a definite trend away from the "place of accident" rule in recent years.

A large number of courts, in breaking with tradition, have devised more flexible criteria than "place of the accident,"" said the court, and to cite two prime examples, in choosing whose laws to apply.

But none of the opinion of legal scholars, has rejected the tradition. All rules in this general field have been fashioned as the court noted, in the mold of the accident case. Five judges agreed on the verdict with only Chief Justice John C. Bell, Jr., dissenting.

The majority expressed the opinion that the strict law of the place of the accident was not desirable, and they felt that the plaintiffs viewpoint, the trouble with the "place of accident" rule was that Colorado had a $25,000 the damages that could be won. There is no such limit in Pennsylvania.

But Pennsylvania's high court in its ruling had a great effect on the application of the Colorado law. It sent the case back to lower courts for trial on the basis of the non-limit Pennsylvania law.

The court's decision, it must be noted, was based on the Colorado court's adop...
Law Placement Problems Outlined
By Assistant Dean John Cleary

Many vague ideas exist concerning the "placement machinery" of the law school. In the opinion of Assistant Dean John Cleary, the following are some of the problems in the following comments.

The desire to obtain legal employment after graduation which will at once provide the financial independence and remuneration poses a unique problem for the third year law student. The goal is seldom achieved. Lawyers who are successful with the benefit of high academic standing. The difficulty of placement for the average student is greater and may dismay one who began the study of law with a clear picture of what lay ahead. A better understanding of the character of the legal profession may avoid this malaise and help them to maintain an attitude of determination very necessary in placement.

In connection it is significant that the legal profession adheres to the ideal of commitment, and the financial remuneration is but a way to support the ideals.

Money is simply not recognized as a proper first motive. In fact, the law schools have traditionally been regarded as an unprofitable, the bar has never felt special regard for the young lawyer just out of school. A ready return to the school does a number of things to make a law student a success in law school.
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The introduction in class methods of legal research available to law students. It is a precious commodity; a few are working on their moot court asso-

Mr. Abraham's deep interest in the Reimel program has produced in his mind certain questions with

Introductory Course in Legal Research Adds 1 Credit

Stresses Methods And Utilization of Legal Library

This year something new has been added to the first year curricu-

Library is the lawyer's greatest tool; therefore, it is "a class in the methods of legal research available to law stu-
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