



2006 Decisions

Opinions of the United
States Court of Appeals
for the Third Circuit

1-4-2006

In Re: Wallace

Precedential or Non-Precedential: Non-Precedential

Docket No. 05-4934

Follow this and additional works at: http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2006

Recommended Citation

"In Re: Wallace " (2006). *2006 Decisions*. 1792.

http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2006/1792

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2006 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. For more information, please contact Benjamin.Carlson@law.villanova.edu.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

NO. 05-4934

IN RE: MARGARET WALLACE,
Petitioner.

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the
United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania
(Related to Civ. No. 04-cv-00819)

Submitted Under Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.
December 16, 2005

BEFORE: SCIRICA, CHIEF JUDGE, WEIS and GARTH, CIRCUIT JUDGES

(Filed: January 4, 2006)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Margaret Wallace asks that we issue a writ of mandamus directing Honorable R. Barclay Surrick to recuse himself because he has failed to rule on alleged attorney fraud that Wallace has documented in the record. Wallace additionally asks this Court to adjudicate the issues of attorney fraud she presented to the District Court. For the reasons that follow, we will deny the petition.

The District Court dismissed Wallace's Complaint on November 18, 2005, pursuant to Poulis v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co., 747 F.2d 863 (3d Cir. 1984).

Wallace has appealed from that order. See C.A. 05-5216.

Thus, we will dismiss this mandamus petition as moot.