



---

2017 Decisions

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

---

1-11-2017

# Michael Norwood v. USA

Follow this and additional works at: [http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017](http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017)

---

## Recommended Citation

"Michael Norwood v. USA" (2017). *2017 Decisions*. 47.  
[http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2017/47](http://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2017/47)

This January is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2017 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. For more information, please contact [Benjamin.Carlson@law.villanova.edu](mailto:Benjamin.Carlson@law.villanova.edu).

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 16-3865

---

IN RE: MICHAEL NORWOOD,  
Petitioner

---

On a Petition for Writ of Mandamus from the  
United States District Court for the District of New Jersey  
(Related to D.N.J. Civ. No. 1-15-cv-02996)

---

Submitted Pursuant to Rule 21, Fed. R. App. P.  
December 1, 2016

Before: MCKEE, JORDAN and RESTREPO, Circuit Judges

(Opinion filed: January 11, 2017)

---

OPINION\*

---

PER CURIAM

Michael Norwood filed a petition for a writ of mandamus seeking an order directing the District Court to rule on his amended motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The District Court denied that motion on November 28, 2016. Thus, because Norwood has

---

\* This disposition is not an opinion of the full Court and pursuant to I.O.P. 5.7 does not constitute binding precedent.

received all of the relief he requested, his petition is now moot. We will therefore dismiss it. See Blanciak v. Allegheny Ludlum Corp., 77 F.3d 690, 698-99 (3d Cir. 1996).